

Tax insights CbC reporting implementation package



Snapshot

On 8 June 2015, the OECD, as part of the G20/OECD work on the action plan to address base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS), released the Action 13: Country-by-Country Reporting Implementation Package. This follows the two reports previously issued by the OECD, being:

- i. the agreement of a three-tier global standard for transfer pricing documentation, including a common template for county-by-country (CbC) information to be reported to the tax authorities (released in September 2014); and
- ii. implementation guidance in relation to the CbC report, including the timing of introduction, application to “large” businesses and filing mechanisms (released in February 2015).

The implementation package outlines model legislation that governments can use to adopt the new rules, as well as competent authority agreements to implement the sharing mechanisms for the CbC report.

The Australian Government announced in the 2015-16 Budget that it will implement the OECD recommendations on Action 13 with effect from 1 January 2016.

Groups who will be required to file CbC reports should determine whether they have the systems and processes in place to capture the required information and the potential impacts on current transfer pricing policies and processes.

Model legislation

The implementation package includes model legislation for countries to adopt CbC reporting in their domestic legislation.

Definitions

The model legislation includes some key definitions.

Group: A collection of enterprises related through ownership or control that is either required to prepare consolidated financial reporting statements, or would be so required if “equity interests in any of the enterprises” were publicly traded on a stock exchange.

Excluded multinational enterprise group: A group with consolidated group revenue of less than EUR 750 million at 1 January 2015 (or an equivalent in local currency being approx. A\$1 bn). This will be tested against the results of the previous fiscal year. Such a group will be exempt from filing the CbC report.

Constituent entity: Any separate business unit of the group, including companies together with permanent establishments that prepare separate financial statements for any purpose (including management control).

The model legislation sets out how to determine which constituent entity is required to file the CbC report (i.e. the “reporting entity”). This usually will be the “ultimate parent entity,” as the company that prepares consolidated financial statements for the group. Where the ultimate parent entity:

- i. is not required to file a CbC report in its jurisdiction; or
- ii. has not signed up to the relevant information exchange agreements; or
- iii. has systematically failed or suspended its agreement to exchange information, the group can appoint a “surrogate parent entity.” This is a constituent entity within the group, in an appropriate jurisdiction with the ability to exchange information, that is nominated to file the CbC report in its jurisdiction on behalf of the group.

If the CbC report is not, in certain circumstances, filed with and shared by the tax jurisdiction of either the actual parent company or a surrogate,

companies may be required to file the CbC report locally. The model legislation allows a nominated constituent entity within a jurisdiction to file the report on behalf of all constituent entities in that jurisdiction.

Notification

Each constituent entity will need to notify its local tax authorities by the last day of the financial reporting year either:

- i. that it will be filing the CbC report for the group for the year; or
- ii. the name and tax residence of the company that will file the report for that fiscal year.

Timing for preparation and filing of CbC reports

As previously announced, the G20/OECD proposes that CbC information should be required for years beginning on or after 1 January 2016 and should be filed annually within 12 months of the end of the financial reporting year to which it relates. Groups with a year ending 31 December 2016 will be the first to file, with a deadline of 31 December 2017. The contents of the CbC report will be as set out in the template issued in the OECD report from September 2014.

The model legislation does not include any specific penalty provisions for non-compliance. It leaves this for individual jurisdictions to determine in line with existing transfer pricing documentation penalties.

Competent authority agreements

The implementation package also includes three model competent authority agreements that could be used by tax authorities to facilitate implementation of the exchange of CbC reports.

Multilateral competent authority agreement: A multilateral agreement that allows jurisdictions to sign up and exchange information with all other appropriate jurisdictions signed up to the same agreement (based on the model used for the Common Reporting Standard).

Tax treaty competent authority agreement: To be agreed on a bilateral basis.

Tax information exchange agreement (TIEA) competent authority agreement: To be agreed on a bilateral basis.

The three model agreements are worded similarly, including definitions that are consistent with the model legislation and include the scope, timing, procedures and safeguards applying to the automatic exchange.

Timing of exchange of information

Tax authorities will be required to share the CbC information with other relevant tax authorities:

- (i) within 18 months of the end of the financial reporting year for the first year; and
- (ii) within 15 months of the end of the financial reporting year for subsequent periods.

For example, a group with a year ended 31 December 2016 will file its first CbC report by 31 December 2017, which would then be shared with other relevant tax authorities by 30 June 2018. (For the year ended 31 December 2017, the CbC report will be shared by 31 March 2019).

Confidentiality and safeguarding of information

The exchange agreements make clear that information shared as a result of these agreements must be kept confidential and used appropriately. In particular, the agreements reiterate previous requirements that the information should not be used as a substitute for detailed transfer pricing analysis of individual transactions based on full functional and comparability analysis, and that transfer pricing adjustments should not be made on the basis of the CbC reporting alone. In addition, there are proposals to deal with tax authorities that breach confidentiality, or otherwise fail to comply with the terms, by excluding them from future information exchanges.

The implementation package also includes a questionnaire which outlines requirements for data safety and security for tax authorities to adhere to under normal international standards.

Deloitte perspective

The implementation package is designed for governments to introduce the CbC report into their respective domestic legislation. In addition, it provides details of the exchange of information mechanisms by which tax authorities will share the CbC report with their counterparts in other countries where the multinational has a company or permanent establishment. **The provision of model legislation (a first for the OECD in the area of international corporate taxation) is in clear support of the G20/OECD objective that the CbC report is to be a single international standard.** As such, it is to be implemented consistently by all participating countries. Businesses will welcome such uniformity, which will be helpful in mitigating unnecessary compliance costs.

As expected, the sharing of information will be by a suitable mechanism, either under existing tax treaties, TIEAs or under the OECD's mutual administrative assistance in tax matters convention (that has been signed by more than 80 countries to date). Businesses will be pleased to see specific safeguards relating to the confidentiality of data, and, as expected, the use of the information is to be restricted to transfer pricing/BEPS risk assessment and economic analysis only.

The CbC report will need to be filed electronically in a tagged format. The OECD will release the xml tagging schema shortly and is currently looking at tax authority systems to enable the exchange of data in a secure, electronic manner.

There is no further guidance on the master file and local file approach to transfer pricing documentation proposed alongside the CbC report. Businesses were disappointed in February that the master file was not to be subject to a parent-filing and government-sharing mechanism as for the CbC report, and therefore issues may remain in relation to enabling local filing of group information that is not in the possession of the local entity.

Contacts

For more information, please contact

Vik Khanna

Partner
+61 (3) 9671 6666
vkhanna@deloitte.com.au

David Watkins

Partner
+61 (2) 9322 7251
dwatkins@deloitte.com.au

Mark Hadassin

Partner
+61 (2) 9322 5807
mhadassin@deloitte.com.au

Fiona Craig

Partner
+61 (2) 9322 7770
ficraig@deloitte.com.au

Claudio Cimetta

Partner
+61 (3) 9671 7601
ccimetta@deloitte.com.au

For further information, visit our website at www.deloitte.com

This publication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively the "Deloitte Network") is, by means of this publication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

About Deloitte

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see www.deloitte.com/au/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. Deloitte's approximately 195,000 professionals are committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

About Deloitte Australia

In Australia, the member firm is the Australian partnership of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu. As one of Australia's leading professional services firms, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and its affiliates provide audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services through approximately 6,000 people across the country. Focused on the creation of value and growth, and known as an employer of choice for innovative human resources programs, we are dedicated to helping our clients and our people excel. For more information, please visit our web site at www.deloitte.com.au.

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

© 2015 Deloitte Tax Services Pty Ltd.