



IRS files notice of appeal in *Altera* case

Global Transfer Pricing Alert 2016-005

The Internal Revenue Service on February 19 filed a notice of appeal in *Altera Corp. v. Commissioner*, 145 T.C. No. 3 (2015), to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Docket Nos. 006253-12 and 009963-12). The Ninth Circuit will decide whether a regulation that mandates that stock-based compensation (SBC) costs related to the intangible development activity of a qualified cost sharing arrangement (QCSA) must be included in the joint cost pool of the QCSA (the “all costs rule”) is consistent with the arm’s length standard as enunciated under section 482. This is the second time the Ninth Circuit will consider this issue, and the first time the issue will be considered since the regulations were amended in 2003 to specifically include SBC costs in the all costs rule. The Ninth Circuit invalidated a prior version of the all costs rule that did not specifically mention SBC costs in *Xilinx Inc. v. Commissioner*, 125 T.C. 37 (2005), *aff’d*, 598 F.3d 1191 (9th Cir. 2010).

Factual Background

The taxpayer-petitioner in this case, Altera Corporation, develops, manufactures, and sells programmable logic devices (PLDs) and related hardware, software, and predefined design building blocks for use in programming the PLDs. On May 23, 1997, Altera U.S., the parent corporation incorporated in Delaware, and Altera International, a subsidiary of Altera U.S. incorporated in the Cayman Islands, entered into a technology license agreement and a technology research and development (R&D) cost sharing agreement that met the requirements of a QCSA under the 2003 cost sharing regulations.

During Altera’s 2004-07 tax years, Altera U.S. granted stock options and other SBC costs to some of its employees, including employees who performed R&D activities subject to the QCSA. Those employees’ cash compensation was included in the cost pool under the QCSA, but the SBC costs were not. The IRS sent Altera notices of deficiency for those tax years, making allocations of \$15,463,565 in 2004, \$23,015,453 in 2005, \$17,365,388 in 2006, and \$15,463,565 in 2007, all pursuant to the all costs rule requiring that such SBC be included in the joint cost pool for the QCSA.

Tax Court Decision

Altera challenged the adjustments, and contested the validity of all costs rule. Altera argued that, in adopting this rule, the IRS had violated the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). The Tax Court agreed with Altera in a 15-0 decision on July 27, 2015, and concluded that the regulation was invalid because the IRS and Treasury had failed to satisfy the requirements of the APA. Specifically, when the IRS and Treasury issued the final rule, the files they maintained did not contain any expert opinions, empirical data, published or unpublished articles, papers, surveys, or reports supporting a determination that the amounts attributable to SBC costs must be included in the cost pool of the QCSA to achieve an arm’s length result consistent with section 482 and the other regulations adopted under the statute. Additionally, when the IRS and Treasury issued the final rule, they were not aware of any written contracts between unrelated parties, whether in a cost sharing arrangement or not, that required one party to pay or reimburse the other for amounts attributable to SBC costs. Moreover, the Tax Court found that the IRS had not sufficiently rebutted taxpayer’s

assertions that inclusion of such costs was not consistent with the arm's length standard adopted in the section 482 regulations.

Procedural Posture on Appeal

The IRS is now appealing the unanimous U.S. Tax Court opinion described above. The Tax Court decision caused taxpayers engaged in cost sharing arrangements to reevaluate whether to continue including SBC costs as part of the total costs included not only for purposes of Treas. Reg. §1.482-7, but also Treas. Reg. §1.482-9. Such an evaluation requires analysis of the facts surrounding the cost sharing arrangement on a case-by-case basis.

On appeal, the Ninth Circuit will consider all the legal arguments and it will not be bound by any of the Tax Court's legal determinations in the case.

Contacts

Kerwin Chung
kechung@deloitte.com
+1 202 879-3108

Philippe Penelle
ppenelle@deloitte.com
+1 202 220 2601

Joseph Tobin
jtobin@deloitte.com
+1 202 220 2081

David Varley
dvarley@deloitte.com
+1 202 220 2633

Gretchen Sierra
gretchensierra@deloitte.com
+1 202 220 2690

Timothy Tuerff
ttuerff@deloitte.com
202.378.5223

Useful links

Resources

[2015 Global Transfer Pricing country guide](#)

[Arm's length standard](#)

[Transfer pricing alerts](#)

Get connected

[Deloitte tax@hand](#)

[Join Dbriefs](#)

[Follow @DeloitteTax](#)

www.deloitte.com/tax

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see <http://www.deloitte.com/about> for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk management, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. Deloitte's more than 220,000 professionals are committed to making an impact that matters.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte network") is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

© 2016. For information, contact Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.



30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0015
United States



Official Professional Services Sponsor

Professional Services means audit, tax, consulting and financial advisory services.

Copyright © 2016 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.
36 USC 220506
Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

To no longer receive emails about this topic please send a return email to the sender with the word "Unsubscribe" in the subject line.