



## Tax alert

### OECD

## OECD issues final BEPS reports

A wealth of tax information within  
your fingers' reach  
Discover Deloitte's apps



Belgium app



Global tax app

On 5 October 2015, ahead of the G20 Finance Ministers' meeting in Lima on 8 October, the OECD Secretariat published [thirteen papers and an Explanatory Statement outlining](#) consensus Actions under the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting ('BEPS') Project. These papers include and consolidate the first seven reports presented to and welcomed by the G20 Leaders at the Brisbane Summit in 2014.

There are two big questions on the BEPS Actions: **when will they be implemented and which countries** will implement the Actions.

### Levels of Agreement

The [Explanatory Statement](#) sets out the various levels of agreement:

"All OECD and G20 countries commit to **consistent implementation in the areas of preventing treaty shopping, Country-by-Country Reporting, fighting harmful tax practices and improving dispute resolution**. Existing standards have been updated and will be implemented, noting however that not all BEPS participants have endorsed the underlying standards on tax treaties or transfer pricing [understood to be only Brazil].

"In other areas, such as **recommendations on hybrid mismatch arrangements and best practices on interest deductibility**, countries have agreed a **general tax policy direction**. In these areas, they are expected to converge over time through the implementation of the agreed common approaches, thus enabling further consideration of whether such measures should become minimum standards in the future.

Guidance based on **best practices** will also support countries intending to act in the areas of mandatory disclosure initiatives or controlled foreign company (CFC) legislation.

"There is agreement for countries to be subject to **targeted monitoring**, in particular for the implementation of the minimum standards. Moreover, it is expected that countries beyond the OECD and G20 will join them to protect their own tax bases and level the playing field."

The **European Union may well decide to implement BEPS Actions** across the 28 member states. The European Commission published in June 2015 a Communication on a *Fair and Efficient Corporate Tax System in the European Union*, which aims to set out how the BEPS measures can be implemented within the EU. The Council of Finance Ministers may choose to adopt BEPS measures across the EU.

### Timeline for Actions to take effect

#### Initial Actions to take effect

The **first Actions to take effect will be the new Transfer Pricing approach (Actions 8-10)**. Both the OECD and United Nations Model Tax Treaties require the use of arm's length pricing and the

OECD's *Transfer Pricing Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Tax Administrations* provide the main guidance on application globally. The new consolidated version of the Guidelines will not be published until 2017, but tax authorities are already starting to use material released in the public consultation in their approaches to open cases. The new approach will require that multinationals start afresh with their functional analysis. The aim is to ensure that "transfer pricing rules secure outcomes that see operational profits allocated to the economic activities which generate them." This will mean that entities must be able to control the risks that give rise to potential rewards and additionally that mere legal ownership of an intangible asset is not sufficient to generate a significant return. The paper adds that "capital-rich entities without any other relevant economic activities ("cash boxes") will not be entitled to any excess profits", which includes interest.

The **next Action to take effect is the country-by-country reporting to tax authorities**, set out in Action 13. There is a fixed template with very clear guidance on its use. All the main parent company countries have committed to this – so other countries will receive the benefit of additional information for risk assessment, provided they have a Double Tax Treaty or a Tax Information Exchange Agreement with the parent company country – or both have signed the multilateral *Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters*. Some NGOs may complain that not all developing countries will get the information – but we must remember that there are 127 countries in the Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes and the 80 or so that have signed the *Administrative Assistance Convention*. The first data (for December year-end groups with global sales of €750 million or US\$840 million) must be delivered to tax authorities by 31 December 2017, which will in turn distribute it by 30 June 2018. Multinationals are busy with their systems work on gathering the necessary data.

The **final Action to take early effect covers those countries with Patent Box or other intellectual property regimes**. As has been flagged, Patent Box incentives may in future be given only where the related R&D is conducted in the same country.

#### **Actions likely to take effect from 2017 or later**

Two important Actions – **Hybrid Mismatches and Interest Restrictions – will require national legislation**. The Working Party looking at these issues has provided over 400 pages of guidance to help countries legislate to counter hybrids (an instrument or entity which, through different treatment in two countries achieves two deductions for the same economic expense or one deduction without equivalent income recognition). **The approach to hybrids will mean that they will no longer be effective** even if only one country enacts the anti-hybrid rules. The basic approach is to disallow the expense, with a secondary rule to tax the income, where the payor country does not counter the deduction. One of the challenges is that of getting enough information to establish that there is a hybrid effect.

The recommendations for **Interest Restrictions** provide that countries should limit interest deductions to a fixed percentage of earnings before interest, tax and depreciation (EBITDA). The cap should be in the range 10-30%. Countries may optionally offer a fall-back to a group-wide ratio of third party net interest expense, should this be higher. There are other options put forward, including a *de minimis* limit to exclude low levels of debt and the ability to carry-forward and back excess interest. Additionally, third party debt to finance public-benefit projects may be excluded, subject to conditions.

#### **Actions requiring amendments to Double Tax Treaties**

The **Multilateral Instrument** is intended to allow the effective modification of many treaties and will be negotiated during 2016. The initial conference to negotiate the convention starts on 5 November 2015, under the chairmanship of the UK, supported by vice-chairs from China and The Philippines. Over 90 countries and jurisdictions have indicated they will participate in the negotiation. The Multilateral Instrument must be completed by the end of 2016 and will then be available for countries to ratify. It is expected that there will be significant optionality within the Instrument, such that participating countries may make different choices.

The **areas to be covered by tax treaty changes are Permanent Establishment (taxable presence); Treaty Abuse; and Dispute Resolution**. There is also a small change to cover part of Hybrid Mismatches.

The wide-ranging **Permanent Establishment** changes are intended to lower the threshold for recognising a taxable presence. The first area is reducing the importance of the place where a contract is legally entered into. The Action notes "As a matter of policy, where the activities that an intermediary exercises in a country are intended to result in the regular conclusion of contracts to be performed by a foreign enterprise, that enterprise should be considered to have a taxable presence in that country unless the intermediary is performing these activities in the course of an independent business. The changes to Art 5(5) and 5(6) and the detailed Commentary thereon address commissionaire arrangements and similar strategies by ensuring that the wording of these provisions better reflect this underlying policy."

The second area for change limits the use of exemptions "...to ensure that profits derived from core activities performed in a country can be taxed in that country". The exemptions in Article 5(4) will be modified to ensure that each of the exceptions included therein is restricted to activities that are otherwise of a "preparatory or auxiliary" character. There is also an anti-fragmentation rule to limit multinationals from splitting activities so as to avoid a taxable presence.

Additionally, so as to provide greater certainty about the determination of profits to be attributed to the permanent establishments that will result from the changes and to take account of the need for additional guidance on the issue of attribution of profits to permanent establishments, follow-up work on attribution of profits issues will be carried out with a view to providing the necessary guidance before the end of 2016, which is the deadline for the negotiation of the multilateral instrument.

The **Treaty Abuse** Action springs from concern that double tax treaties could be used to make available treaty benefits in circumstances not intended by the treaty signatories. Countries have agreed to include anti-abuse provisions in their tax treaties, including a minimum standard to counter treaty shopping (routing payments via a treaty country to reduce taxes). They also agree that some flexibility in the implementation of the minimum standard is required as these provisions need to be adapted to each country's specificities and to the circumstances of the negotiation of bilateral conventions. The approaches put forward are limitation on benefits rules (used by the United States and Japan) and principal purpose tests (used by many other countries). Collective investment vehicles (widely-held funds) will be able to qualify for treaty benefits in some circumstances. There will also be optional specific measures.

The **Dispute Resolution** Action is most important. The G20/OECD notes "Double taxation would harm multinationals which have contributed to boosting trade and investment around the world, supporting growth, creating jobs, fostering innovation and providing pathways out of poverty. Double taxation would also increase the cost of capital and could deter investment in the economies concerned."

The measures developed under Action 14 aim to strengthen the effectiveness and efficiency of the Mutual Agreement Procedure – where cases are settled between countries. The OECD's statistics on MAP show that there were over 4,600 cases at the end of 2013 between OECD members and four partner countries – including 1,900 new cases in the year.

The new minimum standard will ensure that treaty obligations related to the mutual agreement procedure are fully implemented in good faith and that MAP cases are resolved in a timely manner and ensure that taxpayers can access the MAP when eligible.

Additionally, there will be a "robust peer-based monitoring mechanism that will report regularly through the Committee on Fiscal Affairs to the G20." This type of mechanism has worked well in the *Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes* and it is intended that this will help ensure consistent application of the MAP in future.

Twenty countries, covering 90% of reported open MAP cases, have said that they will add mandatory binding arbitration to their double tax treaties, using the 'last best offer' approach. This requires the independent arbitrator to choose between one of the proposals put forward by the countries, rather than making his own decision. The mechanism for adding arbitration would presumably be the Multilateral Instrument, although the United States (one of the twenty) has not yet decided to participate in the negotiations.

#### Further work

The G20/OECD will undertake more work in 2016 on several Actions:

- Harmful Tax Practices: revision of criteria; expanding participation of non-OECD countries.
- Treaty Abuse: treaty entitlement of certain funds.
- Interest: finalise design of group ratio carve-out, special rules for banking, insurance.
- Permanent Establishments: profit attribution rules.
- Transfer Pricing: financial transactions, use of the profit split method.

#### Deloitte bulletins and EMEA Dbriefs webcasts

Deloitte's Dbriefs webcast programme for Europe, the Middle East and Africa will include a roundup of the G20/OECD Deliverables on 20 October 2015 at 14.00pm, with further detailed webcasts from 21 October. For full details and to register for the webcasts please go to [www.emeadbriefs.com](http://www.emeadbriefs.com). Deloitte will publish detailed bulletins on all the main actions.

#### Contacts

If you have any questions concerning the items in this alert, please contact your usual tax consultant at our Deloitte office in Belgium or:

- Pascal Van Hove, [pvanhove@deloitte.com](mailto:pvanhove@deloitte.com), +32 2 600 67 70
- Patrick Cauwenbergh, [pcauwenbergh@deloitte.com](mailto:pcauwenbergh@deloitte.com), +32 2 600 69 27
- André Schaffers, [aschaffers@deloitte.com](mailto:aschaffers@deloitte.com), + 32 2 600 67 15

For general inquiries, please contact:  
[bedeloittetax@deloitte.com](mailto:bedeloittetax@deloitte.com), + 32 2 600 60 00

Be sure to visit us at our website: <http://www.deloitte.com/be/tax>



[Deloitte Belgium](#)

Berkenlaan 8A, 8B, 8C  
1831 Diegem  
Belgium

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see [www.deloitte.com/about](http://www.deloitte.com/about) for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. Deloitte's more than 200,000 professionals are committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte Network") is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. No entity in the Deloitte network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

Click [here](#) to (un)subscribe or modify your subscription.

© 2015. For information, contact Deloitte Belgium.