

## Hong Kong Tax Newsflash

Draft legislation on enhancement measures for lease reinstatement costs and commercial/industrial building allowances gazetted

## INspire HK 躍動香港

The Bill<sup>1</sup>, which seeks to implement two enhancement measures for the deduction of expenses under profits tax as outlined in the 2024-25 Budget<sup>2</sup>, was gazetted on 18 October 2024. The enhancement measures include a new tax deduction for lease reinstatement costs and the removal of the time limit for claiming Commercial Building Allowance (CBA) and Industrial Building Allowance (IBA). These measures are expected to alleviate the tax burden on businesses, foster a business-friendly environment, and enhance the competitiveness of Hong Kong's profits tax system. The Bill is scheduled for its first reading in the Legislative Council on 30 October 2024.

### Tax deduction for lease reinstatement costs

### Background

It is a common practice that tenants are obliged to reinstate the leased premises to their original condition at the end of the lease. Currently, lease reinstatement costs are regarded as capital in nature and are therefore non-deductible for profits tax purpose. Nevertheless, these costs are effectively ordinary business expenses that must be incurred

for leasing premises. As such, the government considers it justified to allow tax deductions for lease reinstatement costs.

### Proposed measure

It is proposed that lease reinstatement costs would be deductible, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The person claiming the deduction is a lessee of the lease;
- 2) The lessee has an obligation, whether express or implied, arising from the lease or another agreement with the lessor, to reinstate or pay (whether in full or in part) for the reinstatement of the premises to their original condition at the end of the lease term or on early termination of the lease;
- 3) The reinstatement costs have been incurred (i.e. they are not provisions made under accounting standards); and
- 4) The amount of the reinstatement costs is reasonable<sup>3</sup>.
- Payments made by the lessee to the lessor for discharging the lessee's obligation to reinstate the leased premises would also qualify for a tax deduction.
- There is no restriction on the types of premises (residential or commercial), as long as they are used in the production of the chargeable profits (e.g. residential premises for use as staff quarters).

The new deduction for lease reinstatement costs will become effective from the year of assessment (YA) beginning on or after 1 April 2024 (i.e. YA 2024/25).

#### Our observation

This measure would alleviate the tax burden on businesses and improve the business environment, thereby enhancing the competitiveness of Hong Kong's profits tax system. Notably, Hong Kong's proposed tax deduction for lease reinstatement costs is more flexible than that of its neighbouring jurisdiction Singapore, which only allows reinstatement costs that are contractually provided for in the lease agreements. In contrast, Hong Kong would provide tax deduction even under verbal agreements. Furthermore, unlike Singapore, there is no requirement in Hong Kong that the premises must not be vacated due to cessation of business. We are pleased that the Hong Kong SAR government seeks to introduce a more competitive and taxpayer friendly tax measure.

### Removal of time limit for claiming CBA / IBA

#### Current issue

Currently, there is a usage time limit (generally 25 years<sup>4</sup>) for claiming annual allowances for a second-hand commercial or industrial building or structure (CBA / IBA), which begins from the YA in which a building is first used. If a commercial / industrial building is sold after the usage period, the buyer will not be entitled to claim any CBA / IBA while the seller will be subject to a balancing adjustment (i.e. effectively a clawback of the CBA / IBA previously claimed). In other words, no capital

expenditures could be deducted for purchasing a commercial / industrial building that has been in use for more than 25 years. This creates a disparity in tax treatment for buyers of commercial / industrial buildings who incur the same amount of capital expenditure before and after the usage period.

### Proposed amendment

It is proposed to remove the time limit for claiming annual allowances in respect of a commercial / industrial building, as follows:

- (i) If a taxpayer acquired an industrial building or structure before the YA 2024/25 but was not granted any annual allowance because the usage period had expired at the time of acquiring the building or structure; or
- (ii) If a commercial or industrial building or structure (whether the usage period has expired) is acquired and used by the buyer in or after the YA 2024/25,

an annual allowance of 4% on the residue of expenditure immediately after the sale will be granted to the buyer starting from the YA 2024/25 onwards, until the residue of expenditure immediately after the sale has been fully claimed.

We summarize the tax treatment under different scenarios after the amendment below:

*Industrial building or structure* 

Acquisition year	Has the usage period expired?	Annual allowance
Before YA 2024/25	No	Residue of expenditure <sup>5</sup> over the remaining YAs of the usage period
	Yes	4% of residue of expenditure starting from YA 2024/25
In or after YA 2024/25	N/A <sup>#</sup>	4% of residue of expenditure

### Commercial buildings or structure

Acquisition year	Annual allowance
Before YA 2024/25#	Residue of expenditure over the remaining YAs of the usage period
In or after YA 2024/25#	4% of residue of expenditure

<sup>#</sup> regardless of whether the usage period has expired

### **Implications**

The proposed amendment would remove the disparity in tax treatment by allowing buyers to claim the capital expenditure incurred on the construction of a commercial / industrial building or structure in general. On the other hand, the balancing adjustment to the seller remains the same in accordance with the existing provisions under the Inland Revenue Ordinance.

The amendment would not affect newly constructed buildings or structures because the annual allowance for these is based on 4% of the construction cost, not the formula with time limit, according to the existing provisions.

Below are some examples illustrating buyers' entitlement to annual allowances in respect of the commercial / industrial building or structure post-amendment:

# Example 1: Purchase of a second-hand commercial / industrial building in YA 2024/25 or after

The buyer would be entitled to an annual allowance of 4% on the residue of expenditure immediately after the sale, regardless of whether the usage period has lapsed.

# Example 2: Purchase of a second-hand industrial building (first used in 1980) in YA 2020/21 (when the 25-year usage period has expired)

The buyer was unable to claim any allowance from YA 2020/21 to YA 2023/24 as the 25-year usage period had expired. Starting from YA 2024/25, the buyer would be entitled to an annual allowance of 4% on the residue of expenditure immediately after the sale (i.e. in 2020/21) until fully claimed.

# Example 3: Existing second-hand commercial building (first used in YA 1998/99) that was purchased in YA 2020/21

The buyer should continue to claim annual allowances on the residue of expenditure over the remaining 4 years of the 25-year time limit (YA 2020/21 to YA 2023/24). No annual allowance would be claimed from YA 2024/25 onwards as the residue of expenditure has been reduced to zero after YA 2023/24.

### Our observation

With advancements in construction technology, it is not unusual for buildings to remain in good condition and still in use beyond 25 years. Consequently, the market for second-hand industrial and commercial buildings beyond 25 years remains active.

The existing tax provisions for CBA / IBA, with a time limit on claiming allowances, no longer keep pace with the current business environment. We welcome the proposed amendment which can fix the issue in time. Amending these provisions is crucial to avoid discouraging buyers from purchasing old or second-hand buildings or structures for business use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Inland Revenue (Amendment) (Tax Deductions for Leased Premises Reinstatement and Allowances for Buildings and Structures) Bill 2024

- <sup>2</sup> Please see our <u>Hong Kong Tax Analysis Issue H115/2024</u> and <u>Hong Kong Tax Newsflash Issue</u> 220 for details.
- <sup>3</sup> In determining whether the reinstatement costs are "reasonable", the Inland Revenue Department will consider the facts and circumstances of each case. The reinstatement costs are considered "reasonable" if they are made in accordance with the arm's length principle and would not significantly deviate from the market rates for reinstating similar leased premises.
- <sup>4</sup> 50-year time limit for industrial building first used before the year of assessment 1965/66
- <sup>5</sup> "Residue of expenditure" refers to the amount of capital expenditure incurred on the construction of the commercial / industrial building or structure, reduced by the amount of any initial allowance, annual allowance and/or balancing allowance granted, and increased by any balancing charge made.

**Tax Newsflash** is published for the clients and professionals of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu. The contents are of a general nature only. Readers are advised to consult their tax advisors before acting on any information contained in this newsletter.

If you have any questions, please contact our professionals:

### **Authors**

### **Doris Chik**

Tax Partner +852 2852 6608 dchik@deloitte.com.hk

### Kiwi Fung Tax Manager +852 2258 6162

kifung@deloitte.com.hk

### Carmen Cheung

Senior Tax Manager +852 2740 8660 carmcheung@deloitte.com.hk

Tax & Business Advisory Southern Region Leader

Jennifer Zhang
Tax Partner
+86 20 2885 8608
jenzhang@deloitte.com.cn

### Southern Region Deputy Leader

### **Raymond Tang**

Tax Partner +852 2852 6661

raytang@deloitte.com.hk

### Get in touch

















Deloitte China provides integrated professional services, with our long-term commitment to be a leading contributor to China's reform, opening-up and economic development. We are a globally connected firm with deep roots locally, owned by our partners in China. With over 20,000 professionals across 31 Chinese cities, we provide our clients with a one-stop shop offering world-leading audit, tax and consulting services.

We serve with integrity, uphold quality and strive to innovate. With our professional excellence, insight across industries, and intelligent technology solutions, we help clients and partners from many sectors seize opportunities, tackle challenges and attain world-class, high-quality development goals.

The Deloitte brand originated in 1845, and its name in Chinese (德勤) denotes integrity, diligence and excellence. Deloitte's global professional network of member firms now spans more than 150 countries and territories. Through our mission to make an impact that matters, we help reinforce public trust in capital markets, enable clients to transform and thrive, empower talents to be future-ready, and lead the way toward a stronger economy, a more equitable society and a sustainable world.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited ("DTTL"), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte organization"). DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see <a href="https://www.deloitte.com/about">www.deloitte.com/about</a> to learn more.

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity, provide services from more than 100 cities across the region, including Auckland, Bangkok, Beijing, Bengaluru, Hanoi, Hong Kong, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Melbourne, Mumbai, New Delhi, Osaka, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Taipei and Tokyo.

This communication contains general information only, and none of DTTL, its global network of member firms or their related entities is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication.

© 2024 Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu in Hong Kong, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu in Macau, and Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Certified Public Accountants LLP in the Chinese Mainland. All rights reserved.

To no longer receive emails about this topic please send a return email to the sender with the word "Unsubscribe" in the subject line.