

## Tax

Issue P258/2017 – 31 March 2017

# Tax Analysis

## SAT Issued New Rules to Improve Administration of Special Tax Investigation and Adjustment and Mutual Agreement Procedures

On 17 March 2017, the State Administration of Taxation (SAT) issued new regulations to improve administration of Special Tax Investigation and Adjustment and Mutual Agreement Procedures (i.e. Bulletin 6, or Bulletin). These regulations largely complete the revision of the transfer pricing specific clauses of Circular 2, and add to the transfer pricing framework set out in the previously issued Bulletin 42<sup>1</sup> and Bulletin 64<sup>2</sup>.

The Bulletin comes into effect from 1 May 2017, and the corresponding sections of previous regulations are repealed.<sup>3</sup>

Following the release of the three new regulations (i.e. Bulletin 42, 64 in 2016 and Bulletin 6 in 2017) concerning Special Tax Adjustments, the regulatory framework for Transfer Pricing in China is now spreading across a number of regulations. The following table shows the effect of these changes, and aligns the old regulations with the SAT's 2015 Discussion Draft for revising Circular 2, and the newly issued Bulletins in recent years.

### Authors:

#### Shanghai

Eunice Kuo

Partner

Tel: +86 21 6141 1308

Email: [eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Beijing

Liantang He

Partner

Tel: +86 10 8520 7666

Email: [lhe@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:lhe@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Xiaoli Huang

Partner

Tel: +86 10 8520 7707

Email: [xiaolihuang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:xiaolihuang@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Sophie Wei

Director

Tel: +86 10 8520 7622

Email: [swei@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:swei@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Chengdu

Simple Li

Director

Tel: +86 28 6789 8078

Email: [simli@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:simli@deloitte.com.cn)

<sup>1</sup> See Deloitte Tax Analysis on Bulletin 42:

<https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/cn/Documents/tax/ta-2016/deloitte-cn-tax-tap2412016-en-160713.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> See Deloitte Tax Analysis on Bulletin 64:

<https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/cn/Documents/tax/ta-2016/deloitte-cn-tax-tap2482016-en-161018.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> In addition to corresponding sections in Circular 2, a few other regulations are repealed: Guoshuihan [2009] No. 188, Guoshuihan [2009] No. 363 (Circular 363), SAT Bulletin [2014] No. 54 and SAT Bulletin [2015] No. 16 (Bulletin 16).

Circular 2	2015 Discussion Draft	Applicable Regulations
Chapter 2 – Reporting and Filing of Related Party Transactions	Chapter 2 – Reporting and Filing of Related Party Transactions	Bulletin 42
Chapter 3 – Administration of Contemporaneous Documents	Chapter 3 – Administration of Contemporaneous Documents	
Chapter 4 – Transfer Pricing Methods	Chapter 4 – Transfer Pricing Methods	Bulletin 6
Chapter 5 – Transfer Pricing Audit and Adjustment	Chapter 5 – Special Tax Audit and Adjustment	
	Chapter 6 – Intangible Assets Chapter 7 – Related Party Services	
Chapter 6 – Administrative Guidance Concerning Advance Pricing Arrangements	Chapter 8 – Advance Pricing Arrangements	Bulletin 64
Chapter 7 – Administrative Guidance Concerning Cost Sharing Agreements	Chapter 9 – Cost Sharing Agreements	Circular 2 (Article 69 and 74 annulled); Bulletin 42
Chapter 8 – Administrative Guidance Concerning Controlled Foreign Corporations	Chapter 10 – Controlled Foreign Corporations	Circular 2
Chapter 9 – Administrative Guidance Concerning Thin Capitalization	Chapter 11 – Thin Capitalization	Circular 2 (Article 89 annulled); Bulletin 42
Chapter 10 – Administrative Guidance Concerning General Anti-Avoidance	Chapter 12 – General Anti-Avoidance	Circular 2
	Chapter 13 – Profit Level Monitoring	Bulletin 6
Chapter 11 – Corresponding Adjustments and International Negotiation	Chapter 14 – Corresponding Adjustments and Mutual Agreement	
Chapter 12 – Legal Responsibility	Chapter 15 – Legal Responsibility	

*Note: The table above is a summary of the regulations related to special tax adjustment issued in 2016 and 2017 with reference to Circular 2 and the Discussion Draft only, which does not cover all current regulations related to special tax adjustment such as the Administrative Measures for the General Anti-Avoidance Rule (for Trial Implementation) (SAT Order No. 32) and SAT Bulletin on Regulating Cost Sharing Agreements (SAT Bulletin [2015] No. 45). We have also omitted references to introductory, administrative and supplemental chapters of Circular 2 and the Discussion Draft.*

Bulletin 6 has clarified certain key transfer pricing issues, as well as the methodology and procedures for special tax audits and adjustments. In making the changes, the SAT has generally incorporated positions taken in the Discussion Draft regarding intangible assets, related party services and the monitoring of profit levels, as well as the guidance around mutual agreement procedures. Bulletin 6 puts more emphasis on a risk-oriented tax administration system which looks to improve cooperation between enterprises and tax authorities, and overall compliance with the regulations. In clarifying the technical positions, the regulation incorporates changes arising from the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Actions 8 to 10 and Action 14.

For more information, please contact:

**Transfer Pricing Services**  
**National Leader**  
**Eastern China**  
**Shanghai**

**Eunice Kuo**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 21 6141 1308  
Email: [eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn)

**Deputy National Leader**  
**Northern China**  
**Beijing**

**Liantang He**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 10 8520 7666  
Email: [lhe@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:lhe@deloitte.com.cn)

**Southern China**  
**Shenzhen**

**Victor Li**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 755 3353 8113  
Email: [vicli@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:vicli@deloitte.com.cn)

**Western China**  
**Chongqing**

**Frank Tang**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 23 8823 1208  
Email: [ftang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:ftang@deloitte.com.cn)

Another encouraging sign is that the SAT has given due consideration to comments provided by enterprises and the public, and has revised and clarified some points that were of concern to taxpayers, or were not entirely clear, for example:

- Reinforcement of the arm's length principle as the primary requirement for transfer pricing in China;
- Removal of the controversial "Secondary Adjustment" provision, as well as similar provisions allowing tax authorities to deny or re-characterize related party transactions; and
- Permitting working capital adjustments when analyzing toll processing businesses, with the requirements of revisiting comparable companies when the adjustment to profit levels exceeds the acceptable range, i.e. when working capital adjustments result in a profit level adjustment by more than 10%.

Key points of the Bulletin are discussed in more details below.

## 1. Monitoring of profit level

In the past, companies may only have been subject to tax authority scrutiny on an ongoing basis after they had been audited, within a 5-year follow-up supervision period. However, in the future all companies may have their profit levels and transactions monitored, through the improved related party transaction disclosure requirement. It will be more important for the companies to manage the transfer pricing risks proactively than ever before.

With this in mind, the introductory article of Bulletin 6 establishes an expectation that the tax authorities will focus on risk management, strengthening the monitoring of profit levels, and enhancing compliance with tax laws through special tax adjustments, supervision and investigation. Tax authorities' monitoring of profit levels may lead to a taxpayer receiving a "Notice of Taxation Matters" from the authorities, indicating that a transfer pricing risk has been identified. Taxpayers will be encouraged to make self-adjustments if they agree with the issues raised by the authorities – although the tax authorities can still conduct a special tax audit in the future.

Overall, the changes are expected to lead to a more comprehensive, real time, and dynamic monitoring environment. The SAT is already looking to leverage "Big Data" analyses of the information that is collected, and we expect that this will allow the SAT to more reliably monitor and focus on transfer pricing risk areas.

## 2. Special tax audits and adjustments

Bulletin 6 is written from the perspective of how the tax authorities should make special tax adjustments to a taxpayer's related party transactions. Articles 4 to 43 step through the audit process, and the technical process that the tax authorities should follow – covering audit processes, comparability analyses, transfer pricing methods, and the treatment of services, royalties and losses, etc. Taxpayers will use this prescribed process as a roadmap for their own transfer pricing analysis.

### *Types of enterprises be focused on special tax audits*

Article 4 of the Bulletin lists nine risk characteristics that the tax authorities should focus on when conducting special tax audits. Compared with Circular 2, certain new characteristics are added, e.g. where an enterprise is in excess of the standard related party debt to equity ratio, or has tax planning or business arrangements without a bona fide commercial purpose. The Bulletin also includes a risk criteria for enterprises controlled by a Chinese resident in tax jurisdictions with an effective tax rate below 12.5%, and distributing no or minimal profit without reasonable business needs – effectively targeting Chinese Controlled Foreign Companies. This new criteria reflects an understanding that Chinese "One Belt One Road" and "Go Global" enterprises have global operations and that further scrutiny of their subsidiaries may be necessary.

### *Transfer pricing methods*

In addition to the traditional five transfer pricing methods introduced in Circular 2, Bulletin 6 permits other asset valuation methods that comply with the arm's length principle, including the cost, market, and income approaches – generally used for valuing tangible or intangible assets. The value contribution allocation method, which was introduced in the Discussion Draft (i.e. Article 35(1) of the Draft) is not prescribed as a transfer pricing method in Bulletin 6, although the general profit split method specifically includes consideration of value contribution.

Bulletin 6 also allows the tax authorities to apply other methods that could align the profit with economic activity and the creation of value. Therefore, although the "value contribution allocation method" is not specifically included, this clause, current tax authorities' practice, and the contents of Bulletins 42 and 64 suggest that the authorities may be able to allocate profits based on their perception of where the value is created.

### *Loss-making enterprises with simple functions*

Following the release of Bulletin 42, one missing element was the documentation requirement for simple function entities that had losses. The existing Circular 363 requirement was still applicable, but the required content of the documentation was unknown. Bulletin 6 now confirms the requirement for loss making simple function entities (pure manufacturing, distribution or contract R&D activities) to maintain a reasonable level of profit in principle. If they are in a loss, the enterprises should prepare the China local file – although there is no requirement to submit the file. The master file would only be required if the thresholds in Bulletin 42 for a master file were met. As an additional comment, Bulletin 6 also states that tax authorities should focus on reviewing the local file of these simple function entities and strengthen their ongoing monitoring activities. This reveals that Chinese tax authorities will more rely on the monitoring system to identify transfer pricing risks of such loss-making entities. It also puts more pressure on subsidiaries with losses and limited activities within China – management will need to consider the rationale for any losses, and ensure robust documentation is prepared.

### *Concealed transactions*

The Bulletin also makes specific reference to restoring any "concealed related party transactions" reducing the collection of tax nationally – impacting domestic companies not charging their overseas related parties. If the tax authorities discover through their information gathering activities that a Chinese company is providing a service, or allowing the use of intangible property, but not charging the related parties, a special tax adjustment may be made to restore the transaction. Along with other changes in the Bulletin, this is reflective of the fact that the Chinese tax authorities are paying more attention to the transfer pricing issues associated with "Go Global" enterprises.

### *Intangibles*

Unlike the Discussion Draft, Bulletin 6 does not have a separate chapter considering intangibles. Rather, it discusses specific analyses and considerations that the tax authorities should have before making Special Tax Adjustments – as well as incorporating Bulletin 16 requirements. The definition of intangibles from the OECD comments that was included in the Discussion Draft has been removed, along with specific definitions and references to separate "legal" and "economic" owners of the IP.

However, the regulations have incorporated the requirement that entities that own IP without contributing to the value of the intangible will not be entitled to any returns from the intangible. Likewise, parties that only contribute funding or capital, will only be entitled to a reasonable return on their capital. The regulations are consistent with the contents of BEPS action plans.

When reviewing a royalty or other IP arrangement, the tax authorities are directed to analyze the value creation factors for the IP, and the contribution of all parties to the development, enhancement, maintenance, protection, exploitation and promotion ("DEMPE+P") of the intangible. If the recipient of royalty has not contributed to the value creation or DEMPE+P, and not complied with the arm's length principle, then the tax authorities may make an adjustment.

The Bulletin's specific reference to "not in accordance with the arm's length principle" seems to provide an exemption for "mere legal owners" if the royalty arrangement would still be an arm's length transaction (despite the lack of value creating functions and risks), for example if the IP was acquired from a third party and needs to be licensed to the China subsidiary. Further observation will be needed to determine whether such related party transactions would be acceptable.

The focus on "Go Global" companies has also been considered specifically in relation to intangibles. Tax authorities' practice in the past has been focusing on reviewing royalty payments by enterprises, and the Discussion Draft had language focusing on the payment side of the transaction. However, the Bulletin has broadened the requirement for tax authorities – asking them to also consider royalty recipients, and whether they have received sufficient return. Combined with the references to "concealed related party transactions", this underlies the increasing focus on China "Go Global" enterprises.

### *Intra-group services*

Intra-group services is also no longer a standalone chapter, and similar to the royalties articles, takes existing regulations from Bulletin 16. The Bulletin unifies the "beneficial service" and arm's length principles, and confirms the requirement for services to bring economic benefits to the recipient, and the service fee be calculated in the same way as services taking place in the market, or third parties would be willing to engage in such services or otherwise perform them themselves in the same or similar circumstances. The Bulletin also sets out the "six tests" from Bulletin 16 in more detail, and clarifies that transactions failing the tests are not beneficial services.

One change from the Discussion Draft is the removal of the language "plus an arm's length mark-up" from the regulations on calculating a service fee – the basis for the calculation is now only the "reasonable cost" or the "apportioned cost". Therefore, any service fee calculation will need to take into consideration the cost of the service, as well as the benefit or value created by the service provider when determining the arm's length service fee. For example, if an overseas related party had outsourced almost all of the service which is provided to a China subsidiary, and therefore not created a benefit itself, the overseas related party should not be entitled to charge the China subsidiary a mark-up on that external cost.

Reinforcing this point, and also applicable to intangibles, the Bulletin specifically provides that payments made to overseas entities that do not have functions, risks, or substantial operating activities, may be subject to special tax adjustments, if the payments do not otherwise comply with the arm's length principle.

### *Procedures for special tax audits and adjustments*

The Bulletin provides a clear outline of the formal procedures where the tax authorities conducts an audit, discussing procedures whether there are, or are not going to be any special tax adjustments. This also establishes the process for where an enterprise disagrees with the tax authority's proposed adjustment, etc.

Under the process, enterprises which disagree with proposed special tax adjustments may choose to pay the disputed tax, interest, surcharges, and then file an application for "administrative reconsideration", and subsequent "administrative litigation".

The Bulletin also ensures that the common practice of "self-adjustments" of tax are now formalized through the "Special Tax Adjustment Self-declaration", where payments may be made before receiving a Special Tax Adjustment Notice.

Irrespective of whether the enterprise makes a self-adjustment, or not, interest will continue to be calculated based on the Basic Lending rate published by the People's Bank of China. The additional 5% penalty interest will apply in situations where the taxpayer does not provide contemporaneous documentation and other documents requested by the tax authorities. This means that if an enterprise thinks it is below the threshold and is not required to prepare documentation, but the tax authority considers the transactions are underpriced, or there are "concealed transactions", the 5% penalty interest may be triggered if the restored transactions exceed the threshold and the taxpayer has not prepared the documentation.

One omission from the Bulletin is the absence of the "secondary adjustment" language that was included in the Discussion Draft. This controversial provision seems to have been dropped in response to comments and advice received from taxpayers and advisors.

### 3. Corresponding adjustments and mutual agreement procedure

Recent regulatory changes show that China has positively implemented changes coming out of the BEPS project, through both Bulletin 42 and Bulletin 6. The revisions to China's dispute resolution mechanisms for Special Tax Adjustments, amending the existing rules in Circular 2, continues this. The changes have given more certainty to taxpayers that plan to apply for the mutual agreement procedure (MAP), with further guidance on requirements for initiating the process and providing information, as well as outlining the situations when the authorities will reject an application, or suspend or terminate the MAP process. The Bulletin also confirms that the new regulation will apply to all MAP applications that have been accepted, but not concluded at the effective date of the Bulletin.

It is noteworthy that Bulletin 6 has also specified circumstances when a "suspension of mutual agreement procedure" is applicable. This gives both taxpayers and tax authorities the right to suspend the process. This could be a response by the authorities to feedback received regarding time limits for dispute resolution mechanisms. This would show that the Chinese tax authorities are actively looking for solutions to some of the practical issues they encounter in practice, and that they will continuously implement these solutions in newly issued regulations. However, some rules are tighter under Bulletin 6. For example, when discussing circumstances where the SAT may reject a MAP application (from either an enterprise or the competent tax authorities of the tax treaty contracting party), the SAT may reject the MAP application when the enterprise fails to pay taxes from a Special Tax Adjustment.

#### Deloitte observation

Bulletin 6 is an important release for the administration of transfer pricing and special tax adjustments. The Bulletin has implemented changes from the Discussion Draft, and improved the regulations related to special tax audits and adjustment. Overall, the reform to the regulations through this and earlier bulletins, shows how the SAT has responded to BEPS and how it will look to monitor transfer pricing in the future. Overall, there is a strong signal that the SAT will pay more attention to the management of prospective risks in tax administration, a transition from the current "ex-post" focus in audits, to looking problems on an "ex-ante" basis. This should allow the authorities to administer the regulations through the contemporaneous documentation requirements, the annual related party transactions reporting forms, continuous monitoring of profit levels, and by encouraging self-adjustments.

We have now seen from Bulletin 42, Bulletin 64, and Bulletin 6, that the Chinese tax authorities are paying attention to technical positions regarding intangible assets, related party services and value chain analysis. Taxpayers should respond to this, by reviewing their transfer prices for related party transactions, and making changes to any unsupported transaction pricing proactively. At the same time, taxpayers should consider the profits in the supply chain on an overall basis, and ensure that they can support the allocation as consistent with the arm's length principle through both qualitative and quantitative analysis. This will help establish a base line level of compliance, in preparation for any special tax audits or adjustment.

Finally the changes clearly show that Chinese tax authorities are paying more attention to related party transactions and transfer pricing policies of Chinese headquartered companies that are expanding around the world. There is a clear focus on identifying transactions where the Chinese company has not been adequately remunerated for its contribution to value creation, intangible development or service provision. Chinese headquartered companies will need to consider how they meet these new challenges, and put more focus on dealing with their global transfer pricing.

Tax Analysis is published for the clients and professionals of the Hong Kong and Chinese Mainland offices of Deloitte China. The contents are of a general nature only. Readers are advised to consult their tax advisors before acting on any information contained in this newsletter. For more information or advice on the above subject or analysis of other tax issues, please contact:

#### Beijing

**Andrew Zhu**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 10 8520 7508  
Fax: +86 10 8518 1326  
Email: [andzhu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:andzhu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Chengdu

**Frank Tang / Tony Zhang**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 28 6789 8188  
Fax: +86 28 6500 5161  
Email: [ftang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:ftang@deloitte.com.cn)  
[tonzhang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:tonzhang@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Chongqing

**Frank Tang / Tony Zhang**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 23 8823 1208 / 1216  
Fax: +86 23 8859 9188  
Email: [ftang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:ftang@deloitte.com.cn)  
[tonzhang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:tonzhang@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Dalian

**Bill Bai**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 411 8371 2816  
Fax: +86 411 8360 3297  
Email: [bilbai@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:bilbai@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Guangzhou

**Victor Li**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 20 8396 9228  
Fax: +86 20 3888 0121  
Email: [vicli@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:vicli@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Hangzhou

**Qiang Lu / Fei He**  
Partner / Director  
Tel: +86 571 2811 1901  
Fax: +86 571 2811 1904  
Email: [qilu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:qilu@deloitte.com.cn)  
[fhe@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:fhe@deloitte.com.cn)

#### About the Deloitte China National Tax Technical Centre

The Deloitte China National Tax Technical Centre ("NTC") was established in 2006 to continuously improve the quality of Deloitte China's tax services, to better serve the clients, and to help Deloitte China's tax team excel. The Deloitte China NTC prepares and publishes "Tax Analysis", "Tax News", etc. These publications include introduction and commentaries on newly issued tax legislations, regulations and circulars from technical perspectives. The Deloitte China NTC also conducts research studies and analysis and provides professional opinions on ambiguous and complex issues. For more information, please contact:

#### National Tax Technical Centre

Email: [ntc@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:ntc@deloitte.com.cn)

#### National Leader

**Ryan Chang**  
Partner  
Tel: +852 2852 6768  
Fax: +852 2851 8005  
Email: [ryanchang@deloitte.com](mailto:ryanchang@deloitte.com)

#### Southern China (Hong Kong)

**Davy Yun**  
Partner  
Tel: +852 2852 6538  
Fax: +852 2520 6205  
Email: [dyun@deloitte.com.hk](mailto:dyun@deloitte.com.hk)

#### Harbin

**Jihou Xu**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 451 8586 0060  
Fax: +86 451 8586 0056  
Email: [jihxu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:jihxu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Hong Kong

**Sarah Chin**  
Partner  
Tel: +852 2852 6440  
Fax: +852 2520 6205  
Email: [sachin@deloitte.com.hk](mailto:sachin@deloitte.com.hk)

#### Jinan

**Beth Jiang**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 531 8518 1058  
Fax: +86 531 8518 1068  
Email: [betjiang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:betjiang@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Macau

**Raymond Tang**  
Partner  
Tel: +853 2871 2998  
Fax: +853 2871 3033  
Email: [raytang@deloitte.com.hk](mailto:raytang@deloitte.com.hk)

#### Nanjing

**Frank Xu / Rosemary Hu**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 25 5791 5208 / 6129  
Fax: +86 25 8691 8776  
Email: [frakxu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:frakxu@deloitte.com.cn)  
[roshu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:roshu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Shanghai

**Eunice Kuo**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 21 6141 1308  
Fax: +86 21 6335 0003  
Email: [eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:eunicekuo@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Northern China

**Julie Zhang**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 10 8520 7511  
Fax: +86 10 8518 1326  
Email: [juliezhang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:juliezhang@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Southern China (Mainland/Macau)

**German Cheung**  
Director  
Tel: +86 20 2831 1369  
Fax: +86 20 3888 0121  
Email: [gercheung@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:gercheung@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Shenyang

**Jihou Xu**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 24 6785 4068  
Fax: +86 24 6785 4067  
Email: [jihxu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:jihxu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Shenzhen

**Victor Li**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 755 3353 8113  
Fax: +86 755 8246 3222  
Email: [vicli@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:vicli@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Suzhou

**Maria Liang / Kelly Guan**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 512 6289 1328 / 1297  
Fax: +86 512 6762 3338  
Email: [mliang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:mliang@deloitte.com.cn)  
[kguan@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:kguan@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Tianjin

**Jason Su**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 22 2320 6680  
Fax: +86 22 2320 6699  
Email: [jassu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:jassu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Wuhan

**Justin Zhu / Gary Zhong**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 27 8526 6618  
Fax: +86 27 6885 0745  
Email: [juszhu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:juszhu@deloitte.com.cn)  
[gzhong@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:gzhong@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Xiamen

**Jim Chung / Charles Wu**  
Partner / Director  
Tel: +86 592 2107 298 / 055  
Fax: +86 592 2107 259  
Email: [jichung@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:jichung@deloitte.com.cn)  
[chwu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:chwu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Eastern China

**Kevin Zhu**  
Director  
Tel: +86 21 6141 1262  
Fax: +86 21 6335 0003  
Email: [kzhu@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:kzhu@deloitte.com.cn)

#### Western China

**Tony Zhang**  
Partner  
Tel: +86 23 8823 1216  
Fax: +86 23 8859 9188  
Email: [tonzhang@deloitte.com.cn](mailto:tonzhang@deloitte.com.cn)

If you prefer to receive future issues by soft copy or update us with your new correspondence details, please notify Wandy Luk by either email at [wanyluk@deloitte.com.hk](mailto:wanyluk@deloitte.com.hk) or by fax to +852 2541 1911.

#### About Deloitte Global

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see [www.deloitte.com/about](http://www.deloitte.com/about) for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. Deloitte serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies through a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries bringing world-class capabilities, insights, and high-quality service to address clients' most complex business challenges. To learn more about how Deloitte's approximately 244,400 professionals make an impact that matters, please connect with us on Facebook, LinkedIn, or Twitter.

#### About Deloitte China

The Deloitte brand first came to China in 1917 when a Deloitte office was opened in Shanghai. Now the Deloitte China network of firms, backed by the global Deloitte network, deliver a full range of audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory and tax services to local, multinational and growth enterprise clients in China. We have considerable experience in China and have been a significant contributor to the development of China's accounting standards, taxation system and local professional accountants. To learn more about how Deloitte makes an impact that matters in the China marketplace, please connect with our Deloitte China social media platforms via [www2.deloitte.com/cn/en/social-media](http://www2.deloitte.com/cn/en/social-media).

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively the "Deloitte Network") is by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. None of the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

©2017. For information, contact Deloitte China.