

Contactless mobile payments (finally) gain momentum



Deloitte predicts that by end-2015, five percent of the base of 600-650 million near-field communication (NFC³¹⁴) equipped phones will be used at least once a month to make contactless in-store payments at retail outlets³¹⁵. This compares with monthly usage by less than 0.5 percent of the 450-500 million NFC-phone owners as of mid-2014³¹⁶. Contactless mobile payment will not be mainstream by end-2015, but niche adoption will be a major progression from near nil in prior years.

Looking further ahead, Deloitte expects the number of NFC-enabled devices being used for making in-store payment should rise steadily over the medium term, as consumers become more familiar with the process, and more banks and merchants in more markets accept this form of transaction³¹⁷. We expect the volume of NFC-smartphone transactions and the range of spend value to increase steadily over time.

While usage of phones to make contactless payments is expected to increase over time, they are likely to co-exist for some time with all other means of payment, from contactless credit cards to cash. It will be a long while before the majority of us can jettison our physical wallets.

The logic of using mobile phones to make in-store payments has long been recognized, and as far back as the late 1990s prototypes of vending machines equipped to take payment via mobile phones and over cellular networks were being exhibited at trade shows. The benefit of using short-range wireless technologies over a distance of a few centimeters to transmit payment information has also long been understood. Speedpass, the first contactless payment device (a key fob for use in gas stations) was launched in 1997³¹⁸. In the same year, the Hong Kong metro system introduced a contactless pre-paid fare collection system.³¹⁹

Indeed, the combination of contactless payment and mobile phones has existed for over a decade. The first phones with any form of contactless technology were launched in 2004 and the first phone with NFC went on sale in 2006.³²⁰ For many years, smartphones have been used to effect financial operations, such as checking balances, transferring funds, and transacting online.

But prior to 2015 the use of phones to make in-store payments using any technology (such as QR codes, or other short-range wireless technologies) has been minimal, with only a small proportion (ten percent or lower) of the smartphone base claiming to have paid in-store via their phone at any time.³²¹

Deloitte expects that 2015 will be an inflection point for the usage of mobile phones for NFC-enabled in-store payment, as it will be the first year in which the multiple prerequisites for mainstream adoption – satisfying financial institutions, merchants, consumers, technology vendors and carriers – are sufficiently addressed.

We expect the largest card issuers in the majority of the largest developed countries to have activated NFC-smartphone payments by end-2015, although adoption patterns are likely to vary by region, due to differing economics and technical (e.g. payments processing) models.

For financial institutions (card issuers and banks), NFC in-store phone payments offer continuity and improvement to their business models. They levy a commission on the transaction value, which they may share with a handset vendor or other entity.³²² They underwrite the risk on the payment. Account holders are subject, with one of approaches used, to the same transaction limits as with a physical card and the repayment terms for credit card holders are the same.

The core advantage with any contactless smartphone transactions is the potential for greater security, when payments are made with phones featuring either built-in (via hardware or software) or SIM-based tokenization capability.³²³ When someone pays using an NFC-device, the tokenization facility creates a unique code (known as a token) which is sent from the device to the merchant's NFC-enabled till. The credit card number is not transferred which means in the event of a breach, only card information used in traditional transactions would be exposed.³²⁴ The card information is either stored with the issuing networks (such as Visa or MasterCard), or is stored in the cloud (HCE), or in a secure element on the phone. The token is only good for a single transaction and unusable otherwise. A fraudster who intercepted the transaction would only get access to the single-use token but not the card details.³²⁵

314. NFC is a technology standard for very-short-range wireless connectivity that enables quick, secure two-way interactions among electronic devices. NFC technology typically takes the form of a small chip embedded in a phone or a plastic card (like a credit card). The phone or card is simply placed on or very near a reader device (such as a pad on a debit card terminal, kiosk machine) or another portable NFC device to initiate a transaction.

315. Our prediction assumes that the Apple Pay mobile payments solution will launch in other markets during the course of 2015, and the existence of the Apple Pay mobile payments solution will also encourage usage of existing NFC systems from other technology vendors and network operators. See: Google Wallet use grows after Apple Pay launch, ArTechnica, 5 November 2014; <http://arstechnica.com/business/2014/11/google-wallet-grows-after-apple-pay-launch/>; iPhone, Apple Pay, Touch ID are trademarks of Apple Inc., registered in the U.S. and other countries. Deloitte TMT Predictions is an independent publication and has not been authorised, sponsored or otherwise approved by Apple Inc.

316. The base of NFC phones in use was forecast to exceed 500 million during 2014. See: NFC Installed Base to Exceed 500m Devices Within 12 Months; OEMs Credited for NFC Leadership as MNOs Slow to Act, ABI Research, 26 March 2013; <https://www.abiresearch.com/press/nfc-installed-base-to-exceed-500m-devices-within-1>

317. Visa has announced it will launch the Apple Pay mobile payments solution in Europe in 2015. See: Visa to roll out Apple Pay across Europe in 2015, V3, 10 September 2014; <http://www.v3.co.uk/v3-uk/news/2364539/visa-to-roll-out-apple-pay-across-europe-in-2015>

318. Speedpass, Wikipedia, as accessed on 3 December 2014; <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speedpass>

319. For background, see: Octopus card, Wikipedia, as accessed on 3 December 2014; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Octopus_card

320. The Nokia 6131 was the first mobile phone to incorporate NFC. Other phones prior to this supported other contactless technology standards.

321. According to Deloitte's research, a significant proportion of smartphone owners in developed countries (between 30 and 60 percent in markets surveyed) used their phones to check their bank account balance in mid-2014, but only three to 13 percent reported using their phones to make any type of in-store payment, including NFC stickers and non-NFC alternatives (such as Felica, which is used in Japan, and QR code services, which requires users to download barcodes which are then read by scanners at tills). For more information on Felica, see: Felica, Wikipedia, as accessed on 23 December 2014; <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Felica>. Deloitte's research is from the Deloitte Global Mobile Survey, with fieldwork undertaken between May-July 2014. Respondents were all smartphone owners. The base sizes in each country are as follows: Australia (1,525); Finland (652); France (1,309); Germany (1,364); Italy (1,515); Japan (887); Netherlands (1,423); Norway (875); Singapore (1,773); South Korea (1,759); Spain (1,703); Sweden (1,641); UK (2,802); US (1,167).

322. Banks working with Apple Pay mobile payments solution in the US provide 0.15 percent of their commission to Apple Inc.. See: Apple could be the one, Technradar, 23 September 2014; <http://www.technradar.com/news/world-of-tech/why-apple-pay-is-a-really-really-big-deal-for-well-everyone-1266384/2>. Note however that this commission varies by region, as does the ratio of credit to debit card usage. For more information on planned interchange fees, see: European Parliament Reverses Interchange Fee Proposal By Including Commercial Cards, Business Travel News, 25 March 2014; <http://www.businessstravelnews.com/Expense-Management/European-Parliament-Reverses-Interchange-Fee-Proposal-By-Including-Commercial-Cards/7a-b1bn>

Using a fingerprint, an eye scan or a heart rate sensor as an additional form of authentication makes the payment more secure still.³²⁶ The combination of biometric authentication, an embedded secure element and tokenization may provide more robust security than card swipes or chip and PIN.

For merchants, NFC-equipped phones can enable fast and, with some systems, high-value transactions.³²⁷ All forms of payment have friction points: cash requires change and credit cards require PINs or signatures; but contactless payment requires only a card or device to be placed on a compatible reader. A fundamental benefit with some contactless smartphone payment systems is that the spending limit can be the same as the account holder's credit or debit card limit.³²⁸ By comparison, contactless cards typically have a payment threshold (typically under US\$50)³²⁹ and a transaction limit (the number of contactless payments made) before additional identification is required, so as to mitigate the impact of a stolen contactless card. As one example, the 23.8 million contactless card transactions in the UK in June 2014 had an average value of \$11.03.³³⁰ This was about one seventh of the average transaction value of all credit and debit cards in the UK in the same month (\$78.52).³³¹

Accepting NFC payment requires compatible point-of-sale (POS) terminals, and new POS terminals cost several hundred dollars. As of the start of 2015, there were already millions of NFC-ready payment terminals globally, out of the tens of millions of terminals in use around that world. Over the course of 2015 that base is likely to see a significant increase, particularly in the US where merchants are replacing their terminals to comply with the EMV mandate, these will most likely be ones supporting NFC.³³²

By end 2015, we expect a minority of merchants to be supporting contactless smartphone payments. These will often be retailers that have already made the investment in replacing POS systems, and will often be stores with a high volume of relatively low-value transactions, such as fast food outlets.

For most of the parties involved in the adoption of NFC mobile payments, the reason to adopt is financial. For consumers it is also behavioral. Using NFC-equipped smartphones to make payments will be adopted only if it can make the payment process simpler, sleeker or provide specific incentive in the form of digital coupons or discounts.

We would expect that when offered a choice, about 30 million individuals may opt to pay using their phone instead of a contactless card.

The multiple components that enable NFC-smartphone in-store payments have been falling into place over the last few years. Hundreds of millions of smartphone owners have already submitted their credit card data (one or multiple cards) to a range of vendors so as to be able purchase apps, or download songs, or purchase additional cloud-based storage.³³³ Tens of millions of consumers have become acclimated – over the course of many years – to the idea of contactless payments using their credit and debit cards, and in some markets their contactless transport cards.³³⁴ For most people, using a fingerprint reader is a rare requirement, typically occurring only when passing through border control in some countries. But as of early 2015 it has become an everyday action for approaching 100 million individuals using phones equipped with a fingerprint reader.³³⁵

So for smartphone users who already have credit card data linked to their phone, have made contactless payments and are accustomed to submitting a fingerprint to unlock their phone or authorize an app purchase, submitting a fingerprint reading to authorize a contactless payment should not feel unfamiliar.³³⁶

The existence of hundreds of millions of contactless credit and debit cards should not constrain the usage of NFC-enabled smartphones as an additional means of payment. We would expect that when offered a choice, about 30 million individuals may opt to pay using their phone instead of a contactless card.

For some, this will be because they are more likely to be holding their phone than their wallet. A few may decide to pay by smartphone to signal their status as early adopters. With some approaches, a smartphone may offer a higher payment limit than a regular contactless card.

Some NFC-based smartphone payment systems require pre-payment.³³⁷ We would expect these systems to remain popular, and co-exist with approached linked to debit and credit cards. Pre-pay would prevail among the under-banked.

323. The handset can have a dedicated hardware tokenization element, or it can be software-based, with each approach offering pros and cons. The latter is the approach used with Host Card Emulation (HCE). For more information on this, see: HCE and NFC: threat or opportunity?, Banking Technology, 17 July 2014; <http://www.bankingtech.com/232262/hce-and-nfc-threat-or-opportunity/>

324. Dabbling in the future of payment: A week of Apple Pay and Google Wallet, Engadget, 29 October 2014; <http://www.engadget.com/2014/10/29/week-apple-pay-google-wallet/>. See also: Apple Pay and security: Could tokenization be the tool that curbs data breaches?, ZD Net, 11 September 2014; <http://www.zdnet.com/apple-pay-and-security-could-tokenization-be-the-tool-that-curbs-data-breaches-7000033585/>

325. Tokenization allows for a unique code to change hands between the customer and the merchant – not the actual card number. The unique code, or 'token', is only good for that transaction; so if a fraudster were to intercept the transaction, he/she would only get access to the token, not the card number. The token is useless outside of that one transaction. The Apple Pay mobile payments solution keeps only the tokens on the phone, not the card number, further securing the payment system.

326. Fingerprint readers, as with all forms of identification are fallible: prints can be taken and replicated. But it requires far more effort and cost to compromise a fingerprint than to catch sight of a PIN or fake a signature. The quality of fingerprint technology is likely to improve over time, as fingerprint readers become more difficult to fool. See: iPhone 6 fingerprint scanner found accurate enough for Apple Pay, CSO Online, 23 September 2014; <http://www.csoonline.com/article/2687372/data-protection/iphone-6-fingerprint-scanner-found-accurate-enough-for-apple-pay.html>. Also see: Why I hacked TouchID (again) and still think it's awesome, Lookout, 23 September 2014; <https://blog.lookout.com/blog/2014/09/23/iphone-6-touchid-hack/>

327. As of November 2014, some payments made using the Apple Pay mobile payments solution and Google Wallet were requiring additional security, such as a signature, for transactions beyond a relatively low value (typically US\$25). However we expect these limits to be lifted for Apple Pay mobile payments solution transactions. See: Dabbling in the future of payment: A week of Apple Pay and Google Wallet, Engadget, 29 October 2014; <http://www.engadget.com/2014/10/29/week-apple-pay-google-wallet/>

328. As at present, the maximum transaction value on Google Wallet across all devices is US\$10,000 per day; additional spend can be authorized once identity has been verified. See: Daily spending limit & fees, Google, as accessed on 3 December 2014; <https://support.google.com/wallet/answer/2857409?hl=en>

329. In the UK, the current limit is £20 (\$31.3), in the European Union it is €25 (\$30.8) and in Australia it is A\$100 (US\$83.6). See: Are Contactless Payments Flawed?, TopGateways.com, 4 November 2014; <http://topgateways.com/contactless-payments-flawed/>. In the US, Visa has set the limit for contactless purchases at US\$25. See: Visa changes contactless rules, Mobile Payments World, as accessed on 5 December 2014; <http://www.mobilepaymentsworld.com/visa-changes-contactless-rules/>. In Canada, the limit can be up to CAD\$100 (US\$87.6). See: MasterCard Paypass™ Your Wallet Gone Digital, MasterCard, as accessed on 5 December 2014; <http://www.mastercard.ca/paypass.html>

330. Contactless Statistics, The UK Cards Association, as accessed on 3 December 2014; http://www.theukcardsassociation.org.uk/contactless_contactless_statistics/index.asp

331. Total transaction value in June 2014 was £47 billion (\$73 billion); total volume was 993 million purchases. See: Card Expenditure Statistics, The UK Cards Association, June 2014; http://www.theukcardsassociation.org.uk/wm_documents/June%202014%20Full%20Report.pdf

332. As of October 2015, any merchants in the US that do not support EMV credit cards with integrated circuits that enable point of sale authentication, typically via the entry of a PIN, will become liable for fraudulent use. This is likely to catalyze wide-scale upgrades of point of sale terminals by millions of merchants in the US market. New terminals are very likely to support NFC. As of mid-2014, about a quarter of a million merchants supported EMV; by mid-2015, there is likely to have been a massive spike in terminals capable of handling NFC transactions. See: 3 Trends in EMV Adoption in the U.S., BankTech, 21 January 2014; <http://www.banktech.com/payments/3-trends-in-emv-adoption-in-the-us/a/d-id/1296794?> As for other markets, Visa had 1.5 million contactless terminals in Europe as of mid-2014. In Canada, 75 percent of all major retailers accept contactless payment as of mid-2014. Looking ahead, Mastercard expects all new point of sale terminals to be NFC-ready as of 1 January 2016. See: Visa works on Apple Pay for Europe, Mastercard eyes NFC as standard by 2020, ZD Net, 11 September 2014; <http://www.zdnet.com/visa-works-on-apple-pay-for-europe-mastercard-eyes-nfc-as-standard-by-2020-7000033564/>. Also see: Why Apple Pay Should Have Launched in Canada First, TechVibes, 14 October 2014; <http://www.techvibes.com/blog/why-apple-pay-should-have-launched-in-canada-first-2014-10-14>

Bottom line

Contactless payment, initially in single-vendor closed-loop systems, has already been available for decades, but it is only in recent years that contactless cards have started to enjoy a surge in adoption. 2015 should see strong growth in contactless mobile and card payments usage, but the rise will be from a small base to a slightly less small base. Customer education and marketing will be essential to increase awareness of the ability to pay using a phone.³³⁸

While we expect significant growth in usage in 2015 relative to the prior base, many challenges remain before smartphone contactless payments can become mainstream, even in developed countries.

For financial institutions, smartphone contactless payments offer an additional way to transact which also may help maintain the current ecosystem, albeit at a cost in terms of commissions.

Retailers should consider four main benefits: reducing the need to protect customer data, the higher speed of contactless transactions relative to other payment means, the ability to attract consumers with higher disposable incomes, and the opportunity to provide more personalized experiences, for example by integrating loyalty schemes.³³⁹

Handset vendors can differentiate their devices through the inclusion of components, such as a fingerprint reader, or a tokenization engine, that would enable contactless payments. These functionalities need to be offered as part of a payment ecosystem, and should be easy to use.

Over time, other contactless processes such as premise entry and exit could be incorporated in a handset; and contactless payment is likely to be combined with other processes at the point of transaction, such as collection and redemption of loyalty points.³⁴⁰

All players should consider how contactless smartphone payments could be made even more secure. One possible way of doing this would be to use the location data routinely collected by smartphones as a security check.³⁴¹ Deviations from a normal purchasing location could trigger a request for further verification, such as PIN entry.

In the medium term the impact of contactless mobile is wide: it provides the opportunity to deliver new customer experiences such as displaying special offers in store to NFC based devices, it may catalyze the removal of point of sales systems for merchants. And NFC may become incorporated into a wider range of devices beyond phones.

333. See: iTunes Has 800 Million Accounts.... and 800 Million Credit Card Numbers.... Digital Music News, 24 April 2014. <http://www.digitalmusicnews.com/permalink/2014/04/24/itunes800m>. Also see: Google touts 1 billion active Android users per month. The Verge, 25 June 2014: <http://www.theverge.com/2014/6/25/5841924/google-android-users-1-billion-stats>

334. In the UK, contactless credit cards have been in circulation since 2008. But even as of mid-2013, transaction volume over contactless cards was still under 50 million per month, or an average of little over one payment per card in circulation. It took till 2014, or six years since first introduced, for usage to take off, with transaction volumes increasing 238 percent year-on-year to £158.5 million (US\$262.95 million). See: Contactless Statistics, The UK Cards Association, as accessed on 3 December 2014: http://www.theukcardassociation.org.uk/contactless_contactless_statistics/index.asp. In London, payments on buses went cashless in July 2014. As of this point, 99 percent of all journeys were paid for or authorized (in the case of season tickets) via contactless card. See: London buses go cashless, The Guardian, 6 July 2014: <http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2014/jul/06/london-buses-cashless>

335. Deloitte estimates that as of the start of 2015, the installed base of smartphones with a built-in fingerprint reader consisting of Apple iPhone 5S and iPhone 6 mobile digital devices, Samsung Galaxy S5, Motorola Atrix 4G and HTC One Max is likely to be over 180 million. We expect at least half of these will be used regularly.

336. For more detail on how fingerprint scanners work, and also for views on their ease of use, see: Galaxy S5 Fingerprint Scanner vs iPhone 5S Touch ID, Trusted reviews, 7 April 2014: <http://www.trustedreviews.com/opinions/galaxy-s5-fingerprint-scanner-vs-iphone-5s-touch-id>. We would expect that the availability of fingerprint-reading APIs to third party developers to increase further the usage of fingerprints in lieu of, or in addition to, passwords. For more information on APIs for Apple Touch ID fingerprint identity sensor, see: App developers are already doing amazing things with iOS 8. Apple, as accessed on 3 December 2014: <https://www.apple.com/uk/ios/developer/>

337. As examples, Rogers in Canada and EE in the UK offer this option. See: Rogers customers can change the way they pay with the launch of the suretap™ wallet, Newswire, 11 April 2014: <http://www.newswire.ca/en/story/1337875/rogers-customers-can-change-the-way-they-pay-with-the-launch-of-the-suretap-tm-wallet>; Also see: About cash on tap from EE, EE, as accessed on 3 December 2014: <http://ee.co.uk/help/add-ons/benefits-and-plans/contactless-payment/cash-on-tap/about-cash-on-tap>

338. For more information see: Apple Pay's Black Friday, By The Numbers, InfoScoutBlog, 1 December 2014: <http://blog.infoscout.co/apple-pays-black-friday-by-the-numbers/>

339. One of the launch retailers for Apple Pay mobile payments solution is the premium grocer Whole foods. See: Apple's Wallet Killer Is Already Making An Impact At Whole Foods, Business insider, 8 November 2014: <http://uk.businessinsider.com/apple-pay-already-making-an-impact-at-whole-foods-2014-11>

340. For example, the Rogers suretap solution plans to integrate loyalty cards into its payment app. See: Rogers customers can change the way they pay with the launch of the suretap™ wallet, Newswire, 11 April 2014. <http://www.newswire.ca/en/story/1337875/rogers-customers-can-change-the-way-they-pay-with-the-launch-of-the-suretap-tm-wallet>

341. Most smartphones use GPS, GLONASS, Wi-Fi hot-spots to identify where the phone is, and could log where the device's owner normally goes, and also where purchases are made.

As used in Predictions, "Deloitte" refers to the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited member firm TMT (Technology, Media & Telecommunications) Practices. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk management, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. Deloitte's more than 210,000 professionals are committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte network") is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. No entity in the Deloitte network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

© 2015. For information, contact Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.

Designed and produced by The Creative Studio at Deloitte, London. 39856A