



The case for a circular economy

When walking the path towards sustainable manufacturing, an additional consideration revolves around what happens to products once they're in a consumer's hands. While the extent to which manufacturers are responsible for the in-field performance of their products (e.g., their energy intensity or carbon outputs) is unclear, there is no question that the imperative to reduce waste is growing.

Each year, over two billion tons of waste is sent to landfills around the world³³ and that amount is expected to rise in tandem with a growing global population. At the same time, roughly 10% of the garbage collected around the world is estimated to end up in the oceans.³⁴ Add in concerns around the potential toxicity of electronic waste,

landfill-generated air and water pollution, and the strain on limited natural resources required as inputs for countless products, and the case for recycling, reuse, and refurbishment further strengthens.

There is also a growing movement to hold companies accountable for all their product lifecycle emissions. These are defined as "... all the emissions associated with the production and use of a specific product, from cradle to grave, including emissions from raw materials, manufacture, transport, storage, sale, use and disposal."³⁵ While these standards are currently voluntary, ongoing stakeholder pressure around the world will likely continue to push these issues higher up the corporate agenda.

Thinking in loops

In response to these concerns, many manufacturers have begun to consider the viability of circular economies. Beyond its potential to promote sustainable production and consumption, a circular economy model can drive both environmental and financial benefits. The Ellen MacArthur Foundation estimates that circular economy activities could contribute as much as US\$700 million in annual material cost savings to consumer goods production, along with a 48% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 2030.³⁶

Loosely defined, a circular economy is a closed-loop system designed to replace end-of-life waste disposal

with material reduction, reuse, recycling, and recovery (see figure 4). As this definition makes clear, the circular economy model extends well beyond recycling. Its broader focus actually aims to keep resources within the product lifecycle for as long as possible by:

- **Closing the loop:** reintegrating waste or production by-products back into the manufacture of new products (see example 3).
- **Slowing the loop:** extending product life and slowing the resource transition to waste or resource recapture (see example 4).
- **Narrowing the loop:** reducing resource and material intensity requirements during production, use, or disposal.

Figure 4: R hierarchy: Value retention options in a circular economy³⁷

Value retention option	Consumer	Producer
R0: Refuse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Choice to buy/consume less • Reject packaging waste 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No use of hazardous materials (or virgin materials) for products of production processes • Production processes designed to avoid waste
R1: Reduce	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less frequent use of goods • Longer and more careful use of goods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explicit step in product design: less material per production unit > dematerialization • Design long-lasting goods
R2: Resell/Reuse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buy second-hand goods • Resell unused products • Consumer-to-consumer auctions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reuse in fabrication • Use of existing waste streams as inputs • Direct reuse as economic activity via collectors and retailers • Multiple use of (transport) packaging • Reselling unused, unsold products or products with slight defects (e.g., packaging)
R3: Repair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repair by consumer at their place or a repair center • Repair by a third-party company (organized by the consumer) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enable (easy) repair and maintenance of goods through product design • Collect defective products in repair centers controlled by the manufacturer or a third party • Distinguish planned repair as a part of a long-term maintenance plan from ad-hoc repair • Use modular designs, facilitate disassembly

Value retention option	Consumer	Producer
R4: Refurbish	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replace or repair components with overall structure still intact, resulting in improved product quality • Use modular designs, facilitate disassembly
R5: Remanufacture	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disassembly of overall structure, checking, cleaning and potentially repairing components • Retention of original product quality • Use modular designs, facilitate disassembly
R6: Repurpose	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use discarded components adapted for another function
R7: Recycle	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct disposal of goods: separate waste streams/materials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process streams of post-consumer products • Ensure further use of recycled raw materials (own use, brokerage) • Use modular designs
R8: Recover	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capture energy embodied in waste (incineration, use of biomass)
R9: Re-mine	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retrieve materials in landfills, urban mining/landfill mining

The R hierarchy is a widely used framework to rank value retention options. Different versions with varying granularity are in use, with many of the Rs being conceptually related or even overlapping. Depending on the value retention option, there is a consumer and a manufacturer perspective. For options like recycling, there is even a potential governmental perspective to be considered.

Source: *A circular transition*, Deloitte

Example 3: Reverse logistics in action³⁸

After introducing a new product to market, a global consumer electronics company began seeing a high rate of returns. This raised an ancillary concern: what was happening with the products that weren't being returned? If they weren't being disposed of responsibly, the company was at risk of facing fines under local extended producer responsibility (EPR) regulations.

To address these dual challenges, the company decided to implement a reverse logistics program designed to achieve two goals: to conduct a failure analysis on returned products and enhance its sustainability objectives through 100% recycling of returned products.

To achieve these goals, the company set up regional return hubs around the world—shortening return streams for quicker inspection results and disposal. With greater access to robust end-of-life data, the company's engineering and design teams were able to improve device reliability, extend product life, reduce discards, while simultaneously introducing a sustainable disposal process for product dismantling, reuse, and recycling.

Example 4: Cradle to cradle carpet design

In a bid to reduce waste, promote material reuse, and enhance its sustainability outcomes, global carpet manufacturer Desso pioneered a “cradle to cradle” program designed to transition the company to a circular business model. In addition to designing its carpets with recyclable yarn that can be separated from the backing and continuously reused, the company also introduced a take-back program to prevent its products from landing in landfills.³⁹ Additionally, the company’s growing reliance on renewable energy to power its manufacturing has seen it reduce carbon emissions by 50%.⁴⁰

Unlocking the benefits

Critically, studies suggest that up to 80% of a product’s circularity may already be determined at its design stage.⁴¹ Transitioning to a circular economy consequently requires fundamental changes not only to the ways that materials are sourced but also to the ways in which products are designed, produced, sold, used, and disposed of. It also requires collaboration among multiple actors across the supply network.

Given the variability of business models and operational realities, there is no one-size-fits-all solution to establishing a circular economy or embracing reverse logistics. What works in consumer goods may not work in industrial products.

Despite this, it remains important to consider the available options so that manufacturers can identify opportunities within their unique value chains.

In addition to reducing the environmental impact of their products, this type of strategy can unlock a range of ancillary benefits. These extend from lower costs for materials, waste management, and energy to enhanced compliance with the growing number of regulations that now mandate a move towards responsible disposal practices (such as the EU Green Deal and the Swiss Responsible Business Initiative). It also holds the potential to generate new streams of revenue (e.g., by leasing equipment versus selling it outright).

Contacts

Global

Vincent Rutgers

Global Leader - Industrial Products & Construction
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited
vrutgers@deloitte.nl

John Coykendall

US and Global Aerospace & Defense Leader
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited
jcoykendall@deloitte.com

Asia

Debasish Mishra

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte India
debmishra@deloitte.com

Ricky Tung

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte China
rictung@deloitte.com.cn

Koji Miwa

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Asia Pacific and Japan
kmiwa@tohatsu.co.jp

Europe

Thomas Doebler

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Central Europe
tdoebler@deloitte.de

Markus Koch

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Switzerland
markkoch@deloitte.ch

Duncan Johnston

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte United Kingdom
dujohnston@deloitte.co.uk

Sami Laine

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte North and South Europe
sami.laine@deloitte.fi

Andrea Muggetti

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Italy
amuggetti@deloitte.it

Javier Parada

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Spain
japarada@deloitte.es

Americas**Gabriel Gervais**

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Canada
ggervais@deloitte.ca

Manuel Nieblas

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Mexico
mnieblas@deloittemx.com

Florian Ploner

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte Germany
fploner@deloitte.de

Jean-Louis Rassineux

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte France
jrassineux@deloitte.fr

Paul Wellener

Industrial Products & Construction Leader
Deloitte United States
pwellener@deloitte.com

Acknowledgements

Deloitte Industrial Products & Construction Leadership would like to thank the following colleagues for their contributions to the report: Timothy Archer, Jimmy Asher, Heather Ashton Manolian, Gary Bearden, Nick Davis, Matthew Davy, Duane Dickson, Sam Freeman, Takeshi Fujii, Nobuhiro Hemmi, Stephen Laaper, Richard Longstaff, Derek Pankratz, Nina Schmid, Andrew Swart, Geoff Tuff, Brian Umbenhauer, Konstantin van Radowitz, Peter Vickers, and Rene Waslo.

Endnotes

1. United States Environmental Protection Agency. "Sustainable Manufacturing." Accessed at <https://www.epa.gov/sustainability/sustainable-manufacturing#:~:text=Sustainable%20manufacturing%20is%20the%20creation,employee%2C%20community%20and%20product%20safety> on May 13, 2021.
2. Fortune, January 1, 2021. "2020 was the year of the 'net zero by 2050' commitment. Will 2021 be the year we get the details," by Katherine Dunn. Accessed at <https://fortune.com/2021/01/01/climate-change-paris-agreement-cop26-net-zero-2050-commitments-biden-policy-zero-emissions/> on June 29, 2021.
3. Deloitte. "2021 Climate Check: Business' views on environmental sustainability." Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/global/en/pages/risk/articles/2021-climate-check-business-views-on-environmental-sustainability.html> on May 13, 2021.
4. Deloitte Switzerland, 2021. "Sustainable manufacturing: a profitable business case." Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/ch/en/pages/risk/articles/sustainable-manufacturing.html> on May 13, 2021.
5. Carbon Disclosure Project. "Supply chain." Accessed at <https://www.cdp.net/en/supply-chain#7a435f2b77fbfeb6c447370252aeebe1> on May 13, 2021.
6. Reuters, January 28, 2021. "Sustainable fund assets hit record \$1.7 trln in 2020: Morningstar," by Simon Jessop, Elizabeth Howcroft. Accessed at <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-global-funds-sustainable-idUSKBN29X2NM> on May 18, 2021.
7. Statista, 2021. "Historical carbon dioxide emissions from global fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes from 1758 to 2020." Accessed at <https://www.statista.com/statistics/264699/worldwide-co2-emissions/> on May 13, 2021.
8. BusinessGreen, January 14, 2020. "'Unprecedented transformation': European Commission unveils €1tr investment strategy to decarbonize a continent," by Toby Hill. Accessed at <https://www.businessgreen.com/news-analysis/3085011/eu-commission-unveils-eur1-trillion-investment-strategy-to-decarbonise-a-continent> on May 17, 2021.
9. CFO.com, June 4, 2019. "Joe Biden's Climate Proposal Calls for \$1.7 Trillion Investment," by William Sprouse. Accessed at <https://www.cfo.com/sustainability/2019/06/joe-bidens-climate-proposal-calls-for-1-7-trillion-investment/> on May 17, 2021.
10. Statista, 2021. "Investment in clean energy globally in 2019, by select country." Accessed at <https://www.statista.com/statistics/799098/global-clean-energy-investment-by-country/> on May 17, 2021.
11. Scott Corwin and Derek Pankratz, "Leading in a low-carbon future," Deloitte Insights, May 25, 2021.
12. Deloitte Insights, October 17, 2015. "3D opportunity for life cycle assessment: Additive manufacturing branches out." Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/uk/en/insights/focus/3d-opportunity/additive-manufacturing-in-lca-analysis.html> on June 23, 2021.
13. Pacific Northwest Pollution Prevention Resource Center, July 2, 2019. "Waterborne Paint is the Future: Transition Today." Accessed at <https://pprc.org/2019/pprc/waterborne-paint-is-the-future-transition-from-solvents-today/> on July 20, 2021.
14. Mitsubishi Elevator Europe B.V. Accessed at <https://www.mitsubishi-elevators.com/m-use/> on June 23, 2021.
15. Unilever, May 6, 2020. "Unilever celebrates 10 years of the Sustainable Living Plan." Accessed at <https://www.unilever.com/news/press-releases/2020/unilever-celebrates-10-years-of-the-sustainable-living-plan.html> on May 20, 2021.
16. Unilever, May 10, 2018. "Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan continues to fuel growth." Accessed at <https://www.unilever.com/news/press-releases/2018/unilevers-sustainable-living-plan-continues-to-fuel-growth.html> on May 20, 2021.
17. Reuters, May 7, 2019. "Siemens spins off struggling gas and power in smart digital shift," by John Reville, Arno Schuetze. Accessed at <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-siemens-power-idUSKCN1SD2C7> on May 20, 2021.
18. Siemens Energy. "Global player in the energy sector." Accessed at <https://www.siemens-energy.com/global/en/company/investor-relations.html> on May 20, 2021.
19. Deloitte, 2021. "Responsible Business Initiative – what does the no vote mean for companies?" Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/ch/en/pages/audit/articles/responsible-business-initiative-what-does-this-mean-for-companies.html> on July 20, 2021.

20. Initiative for Responsible Mining Assurance. Accessed at <https://responsiblemining.net/what-you-can-do/become-a-member/> on June 23, 2021.
21. Coin Telegraph, May 10, 2018. "De Beers Tracks Diamonds With Blockchain For The First Time," by Aaron Wood. Accessed at <https://cointelegraph.com/news/de-beers-tracks-diamonds-with-blockchain-for-the-first-time> on October 29, 2018.
22. Walmart, 2018. "In Wake of Romaine E. coli Scare, Walmart Deploys Blockchain to Track Leafy Greens," by Matt Smith. Accessed at <https://corporate.walmart.com/newsroom/2018/09/24/in-wake-of-romaine-e-coli-scare-walmart-deploys-blockchain-to-track-leafy-greens> on May 25, 2021.
23. Geospatial World, July 17, 2018. "Factory automation and environmental benefits," by Teresa Tomas. Accessed at <https://www.geospatialworld.net/blogs/factory-automation-and-environmental-benefits/> on May 18, 2021.
24. Deloitte. Sustainable manufacturing a profitable business case. Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/ch/en/pages/risk/articles/sustainable-manufacturing.html> on June 18, 2021.
25. Shell/Deloitte, 2020. "Decarbonizing Shipping: All hands on deck." Accessed at https://www.shell.com/promos/energy-and-innovation/decarbonising-shipping-all-hands-on-deck/_jcr_content.stream/1594141914406/b4878c899602611f78d36655ebff06307e49d0f8/decarbonising-shipping-report.pdf on May 26, 2021.
26. Shell/Deloitte, 2020. "Decarbonizing Shipping: All hands on deck." Accessed at https://www.shell.com/promos/energy-and-innovation/decarbonising-shipping-all-hands-on-deck/_jcr_content.stream/1594141914406/b4878c899602611f78d36655ebff06307e49d0f8/decarbonising-shipping-report.pdf on May 26, 2021.
27. Shell/Deloitte, 2020. "Decarbonizing Road Freight: Getting into Gear." Accessed at <https://www.shell.com/energy-and-innovation/the-energy-future/decarbonising-road-freight.html#iframe=L2ZvcmlzL2VuX2diX2VucXVpcnlfZm9ybQ> on May 26, 2021.
28. Shell/Deloitte, 2020. "Decarbonizing Road Freight: Getting into Gear." Accessed at <https://www.shell.com/energy-and-innovation/the-energy-future/decarbonising-road-freight.html#iframe=L2ZvcmlzL2VuX2diX2VucXVpcnlfZm9ybQ> on May 26, 2021.
29. UPS, January 29, 2020. "UPS To Enhance ORIO With Continuous Delivery Route Optimization." Accessed at <https://about.ups.com/us/en/newsroom/press-releases/innovation-driven/ups-to-enhance-orion-with-continuous-delivery-route-optimization.html> on June 23, 2021.
30. inbound logistics, May 18, 2020. "Carbon Neutrality Is Shaping the Fleets of the Future," by Ray Hatch. Accessed at <https://www.inboundlogistics.com/cms/article/Carbon-Neutrality-Is-Shaping-Fleets-of-the-Future/> on June 29, 2021.
31. Cision, May 18, 2020. "Scania to Deliver 75 Battery Electric Trucks to ASKO in Norway." Accessed at <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/scania-to-deliver-75-battery-electric-trucks-to-asko-in-norway-301060634.html> on May 26, 2021.
32. Industry Week, November 3, 2020. "Why We're Reshoring Our Manufacturing: A CEO's View," by Daniel Burrows. Accessed at <https://www.industryweek.com/leadership/strategic-planning-execution/article/21146695/why-were-reshoring-manufacturing-a-ceos-view> on May 26, 2021.
33. The World Bank. "What A Waste 2.0." Accessed at https://datatopics.worldbank.org/what-a-waste/trends_in_solid_waste_management.html on May 27, 2021.
34. National Geographic, February 22, 2019. "Ocean Trash: 5.25 Trillion Pieces and Counting, but Big Questions Remain." Accessed at https://www.nationalgeographic.org/article/ocean-trash-525-trillion-pieces-and-counting-big-questions-remain/?utm_source=BiblioRCM_Row on May 27, 2021.
35. World Resources Institute, WBCSD. "Greenhouse Gas Protocol: FAQ." Accessed at https://ghgprotocol.org/sites/default/files/standards_supporting/FAQ.pdf on May 27, 2021.
36. Deloitte. "A Circular Transition." Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/ch/en/pages/risk/articles/a-circular-transition.html?nc=1> on May 27, 2021.
37. Deloitte. "A Circular Transition." Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/ch/en/pages/risk/articles/a-circular-transition.html?nc=1> on May 27, 2021.
38. Deloitte. "A Circular Transition." Accessed at <https://www2.deloitte.com/ch/en/pages/risk/articles/a-circular-transition.html?nc=1> on May 27, 2021.
39. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. "Desso: Cradle to Cradle design of carpets." Accessed at <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/case-studies/cradle-to-cradle-design-of-carpets> on May 29, 2021.
40. Desso. "Cradle to Cradle®." Accessed at <http://www.desso.ro/c2c-corporate-responsibility/cradle-to-cradle/> on May 29, 2021.
41. Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2017. "What is the Circular Economy?" Accessed at <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/circular-economy/what-is-the-circular-economy> on May 27, 2021.
42. Philips. "As of 2020 we are carbon-neutral in our operations." Accessed at <https://www.philips.com/a-w/about/sustainability/climate-action.html> on May 29, 2021.

Read the full report: <https://www2.deloitte.com/sustainablemanufacturing>



Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”). DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte is a leading global provider of audit and assurance, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services. Our global network of member firms and related entities in more than 150 countries and territories (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”) serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies. Learn how Deloitte’s approximately 312,000 people make an impact that matters at www.deloitte.com.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication. DTTL and each of its member firms, and their related entities, are legally separate and independent entities.