



OECD alert

BEPS Action 15: 68 jurisdictions sign MLI to modify bilateral tax treaties

On 7 June 2017, representatives covering 68 jurisdictions gathered at the OECD's headquarters in Paris for the signing of the *Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty-Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting* (MLI).

The MLI is designed to implement swiftly the tax treaty-related measures arising from the G20/OECD base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS) project. "Minimum standard" changes to the functioning of existing bilateral tax treaties in the areas of treaty abuse, mutual agreement procedures (MAPs) and treaty preambles will be implemented through the MLI. Further, depending on the reservations and notifications made by each party, optional changes to modify tax treaties in respect of permanent establishments (PEs), transparent entities, residency tiebreakers, double tax relief, minimum shareholding periods, capital gains derived from immovable property and a jurisdiction's right to tax its own residents will be facilitated.

A subgroup of 26 jurisdictions, including 16 EU member states, have opted into the mandatory binding arbitration provisions, based on the principles set out in the final report on BEPS action 14 on making dispute resolution mechanisms more effective.

Signing jurisdictions and treaties covered

The 68 parties to the MLI are the following:

- Andorra
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Australia
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Canada
- Chile
- China
- Colombia
- Costa Rica
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Egypt
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Greece
- Guernsey
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Japan
- Jersey
- Korea
- Kuwait
- Latvia
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Mexico
- Monaco
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Norway
- Pakistan
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Russia
- San Marino
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Singapore
- Slovak Republic
- Slovenia
- South Africa
- Spain
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Turkey
- United Kingdom
- Uruguay

Eight other jurisdictions have expressed their intent to sign the MLI, including Mauritius and Estonia, the only EU/EEA state remaining to sign.

The OECD, in its role as Depository, has published on its website (<http://oe.cd/mli>) provisional lists of the treaties they intend to bring within the scope of the MLI, along with their reservations and notifications (“MLI Positions”). A treaty will be modified only if the parties to it agree (“Covered Tax Agreement”). Signatories may amend their MLI Positions until ratification. After ratification, signatories can choose to opt in with respect to optional provisions (such as arbitration) or to withdraw reservations. They cannot add reservations.

Mechanism for modifying Covered Tax Agreements

The MLI does not function in the same way as an amending protocol to an existing bilateral treaty. The MLI does not directly change the underlying text, but will be applied alongside the existing treaty, modifying its application.

Flexibility and transparency

In some cases, the BEPS recommendations include multiple alternative ways to address an issue and, in other cases, provide for a main provision to be supplemented with optional additional provisions. The MLI is sufficiently flexible to support all previously agreed BEPS approaches by allowing jurisdictions to select from alternative options and by filing standardized technical reservations that identify their choices.

The extent to which the MLI modifies an existing tax treaty depends on the MLI Positions of the parties to the treaty and the corresponding application of the mechanical provisions of the MLI. The OECD has published a toolkit, including interactive flowcharts, to assist in the application of the MLI to existing tax treaties. A public online matching tool, to simulate the likely matching outcome based on MLI Positions, is under development and a beta version is expected to be available by October 2017.

In general, any reservations or choices made by a country will apply to all its Covered Tax Agreements, but can be restricted to a subset of its Covered Tax Agreements based on objective criteria.

Treaty abuse (minimum standard)

The treaty abuse minimum standard addresses concerns that bilateral tax treaties could be used to make treaty benefits available in unintended circumstances. Options are given to support the different approaches permitted under the minimum standard: principal purpose tests (PPT) or simplified limitation on benefits rules (LOB), supplemented with a PPT. Alternatively, the use of detailed LOB rules (supplemented by a mechanism to deal with conduit arrangements) is permitted. A multitude of outcomes can arise where the approaches differ, and asymmetric results are possible if both jurisdictions approve.

All 68 signing jurisdictions have opted to include the PPT within their Covered Tax Agreements. The following 12 countries have chosen to opt for the supplementary LOB rules: Argentina, Armenia, Bulgaria, Chile, Colombia, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Russia, Senegal, Slovakia and Uruguay.

Where only one of the parties has opted for the simplified LOB rules, the specific notifications and reservations must be considered to determine the specific outcome.

Permanent establishment (optional)

The PE provision in the MLI lowers the threshold at which a PE (taxable presence) will arise by:

- Broadening the scope of a dependent agent PE (capturing the use of commissionaire arrangements and other matters);
- Narrowing the exemptions for a fixed place of business PE by requiring activities to be “preparatory or auxiliary” in nature and/or by introducing an anti-fragmentation rule; and
- Countering avoidance where long-duration construction contracts are split into a series of shorter contracts.

Within the EU, only eight countries have opted for the dependent agent/commissionaire changes: Croatia, France, Lithuania, Netherlands, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain.

Fourteen EU member states have opted for the narrower preparatory or auxiliary provisions: Austria, Belgium, Croatia, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain.

Thirteen member states have opted for the anti-fragmentation measures: Belgium, Croatia, France, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and the UK.

The splitting up of contracts anti-avoidance has only been fully adopted within the EU by France and Slovakia.

Eleven member states have reserved against all of the above changes to the PE threshold: Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Malta, Poland and Sweden.

Mandatory binding arbitration (optional)

The mandatory binding arbitration rules will apply only if both parties to a treaty opt in. Unlike in most other areas of the MLI where reservations are standardized, parties are free to determine the

scope of cases that will be eligible for arbitration (subject to acceptance by the other relevant parties).

Typically, a taxpayer can request arbitration where a case has been subject to a MAP for at least two years without resolution. Two different types of decision-making processes are facilitated by the MLI: (i) “final offer” rules, whereby each competent authority presents its own proposed resolutions and the arbitrators choose their preferred outcome; and (ii) the “independent opinion” approach, which results in a decision written by the arbitrators based on their analysis of the information provided to them.

The 25 jurisdictions that have opted into mandatory binding arbitration are: Andorra, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Fiji, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Singapore, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the UK. Most have opted for the default option of final offer arbitration.

The UK has reserved the right for existing arbitration provisions in 18 specified treaties not to be affected by the MLI, including those in the treaties with Belgium, Canada, France, Germany and the Netherlands. No reservations restricting the scope of matters acceptable for arbitration have been entered by the UK.

Entry into force and into effect

Individual signatories will need to ratify the MLI in line with their domestic constitutional arrangements. The MLI must be ratified by at least five jurisdictions before it first enters into force. Following a period of three months after the date of ratification by the fifth state, the MLI will enter into force for those first five jurisdictions at the start of the subsequent calendar month. A three-month period will apply for all other jurisdictions that subsequently ratify the MLI.

The MLI can enter into effect for a specific Covered Tax Agreement only after the three-month period has expired for all parties to the Covered Tax Agreement. The default timings are:

- Modified withholding tax provisions will have effect for payments made after the first day of the following calendar year; and
- Changes relating to taxes levied with respect to taxable periods will have effect for taxable periods beginning on or after a period of six calendar months has elapsed (or less if both parties agree).

Jurisdictions can unilaterally replace the term “calendar year” with “taxable period,” and vice versa (potentially leading to asymmetry).

Different provisions apply for dispute resolution and cases could be eligible even where the dispute relates to a period before the MLI was in force.

Comments

The MLI is an important milestone in global agreement on international corporate taxation. It sends a signal that countries are determined to cooperate on corporate taxation to minimize base erosion while working to avoid economically damaging double taxation.

The participation of 68 jurisdictions in the signing ceremony is expected to result in the amendment of over 1,100 treaties in line with BEPS recommendations—about one-third of the global total. The

MLI remains open to interested parties, and the OECD Secretariat hopes that 90 jurisdictions will have signed by the end of 2017.

Many countries have listed significant numbers of treaties in their provisional notification: UK (119); China (102); Belgium (98); France (88); India (93); Luxembourg (81); and Netherlands (82). Widespread adoption should help to ensure consistency in the implementation of the BEPS project, resulting in more certainty for businesses and tax authorities. The next step is for the signatories to complete their domestic ratification procedures; this will determine when the changes have effect for each tax treaty—likely to be from 2019.

All members of the BEPS Inclusive Framework are committed to endeavoring that treaties will comply with the minimum standard requirements. The US did not participate in the signing ceremony, but does have robust LOB provisions in its existing treaties. The Inclusive Framework on BEPS will undertake a peer review and monitor whether its members' treaties satisfy the BEPS minimum standards.

The PPT will be introduced in all 1,100 treaties covered by the MLI although 12 signatories, including India and Russia, have chosen to supplement this with a simplified LOB clause. Japan has opted for the PPT.

The proposed changes in respect of PEs have not been adopted as widely. Within the EU, only France and the Netherlands will broadly adopt all of the PE changes and the UK will only adopt the anti-fragmentation rule. These provisions may need to be revisited by the Inclusive Framework to increase consistency.

The effective resolution of disputes that could lead to double taxation remains an essential objective of double tax treaties and key to removing one of the barriers to international trade. The number of disputes between tax authorities globally continues to rise, and the adoption of the optional mandatory binding arbitration rules by 26 jurisdictions, will be welcomed by business.

The OECD, in its capacity as Depositary, has published on its website a number of useful tools along with provisional lists of treaties, options and reservations for each of the signatories. Businesses that currently benefit from double tax treaties between the initial signatories can now begin to analyze the impact of the changes published. Careful analysis will be needed since the information available is long and complex (the UK's positions alone amount to 66 pages+. The public online matching tool expected to be launched in October 2017 will be welcomed.

Although there is no requirement to do so, many governments may produce some form of consolidated treaties once the positions are finalized on ratification.

Contacts

If you have any questions or would like additional information on the topics covered in this alert, please email one of the following Deloitte professionals:

Bill Dodwell
bdodwell@deloitte.co.uk

Pascal van Hove
pvanhove@deloitte.com

Aart Nolten
anolten@deloitte.nl

Additional resources

[Global Tax Alerts subscription page](#)

[Global Tax Alerts archive](#)

[World Tax Advisor](#)

[Deloitte International Tax Source \(DITS\)](#)

[Deloitte tax@hand app](#)

[Dbriefs](#)

www.deloitte.com/tax



Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee (“DTTL”), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. Deloitte serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies through a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories bringing world-class capabilities, insights, and high-quality service to address clients’ most complex business challenges. To learn more about how Deloitte’s approximately 245,000 professionals make an impact that matters, please connect with us on [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), or [Twitter](#).

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte Network”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

© 2017. For information, contact Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.

If you no longer wish to receive these emails, please send an email to the sender with the word “Unsubscribe” in the subject line.