Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu’s global transfer pricing practice, in partnership with International Tax Review, is pleased to present the 2019 edition of the Transfer Pricing Controversy Guide, a discussion and overview of the leading issues, challenges and opportunities around transfer pricing (TP) controversy.

The OECD’s final reports on the BEPS project were issued more than two years ago, but the ripple effects of the recommendations and their implementation into the domestic tax laws of participating countries are still being felt.

One such ripple effect is the increase of TP controversy cases in number and complexity. So, what are taxpayers to do when faced with the growing likelihood of being enmeshed in transfer pricing controversy?

Shaun Austin (Deloitte UK), Darcy Alamuddin (Deloitte Tax LLP), and Jamie Bedford (Deloitte UK) provide some insights on dealing with these new challenges. The authors discuss the need for a strategic approach to TP controversy and conclude that while there may not be a ‘magic bullet’ that can mitigate the risks arising from TP controversy, there are significant steps a taxpayer can take that can make the inevitable challenges of TP controversy more manageable.

Global tax authorities and taxpayers have reacted to the changes brought by the BEPS project in a variety of ways. We include two articles discussing the new environment from a tax authorities’ perspective.

First, Tony Anderson and Alex Evans (Deloitte Canada), Mariusz Kazuch and Rafal Sadowski (Deloitte Poland), and Lian Tang He (Deloitte China) discuss the key trends in tax audits in their respective countries (which are also being seen globally), and find that tax authorities are increasingly focusing on TP matters due to a combination of public and political pressure, and the transparency afforded by country-by-country reporting (CbCR).

In North America, the Canada Revenue Agency recently implemented a risk-based, integrated approach to international and large business audits, creating multidisciplinary teams of specialists to address complex and emerging tax issues. In Poland, an increase in TP risk has been accompanied by the imposition of severe personal penalties on management personnel, broadening compliance obligations. Meanwhile, in Asia, China’s State Administration of Taxation (SAT) now encourages local tax authorities to assist taxpayers to improve their TP compliance level in advance, rather than focusing on conducting investigations.

In his article on the MAP process, Eddie Morris (Deloitte UK) makes the case that the number of TP controversies is on the rise, but argues that the actual volume of controversy is much higher than the number of MAP cases. Morris also discusses the BEPS initiative recommendations regarding improvements to the dispute resolution process, and delves into the general consensus that the MAP system works when available, but does not always work quickly enough.
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The growth in the volume of TP controversy is validated by another metric: a rise in the number of TP court cases recorded around the world since the inception of the BEPS project. As John Henshall (Deloitte UK) and Stan Hales (Deloitte Australia) discuss in their article covering recent TP decisions, that growing body of case law influences OECD members, and ultimately country- specific
The consequences of a rise in TP controversies can be examined from several angles and, in this guide, we include two articles, one that provides a country perspective, and the other which provides an industry perspective.

In an article by Eric Lesprit (Deloitte France), Sanjay Kumar (Deloitte India), and Joseph Tobin (Deloitte Tax LLP), the authors focus on recent developments in France, India, and the US, exploring how recent changes in their approach to TP controversy and the underlying tax regimes have given rise to those controversies.

From an industry perspective, Ralf Heussner (Deloitte Luxembourg), Aydin Hayri (Deloitte Tax LLP) and Juan Ignacio de Molina (Deloitte Spain) focus on the financial services, life sciences and automotive industries. All three sectors have been affected by the interpretation of BEPS rules by the tax authorities, as well as by new requirements for more transparency and information. In the financial sector, the reconciliation of tax and regulatory rules is an additional factor, while the life sciences industry has had to contend with the effect of ‘marketing intangibles’. Meanwhile, the automotive industry has had to analyse how much life is left in the use of traditional TP methods in the face of increasing business integration and global operations.

The common ground for all industries? Tax controversy management must begin well before the start of any tax audit.
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