“We tend to think of trust as fragile—it’s not. Trust waxes and wanes because of actions companies take. Be prepared to figure out what you did to lose trust, and take steps to fix the problem. Let people know what you’ve done and why.”

Professor Sandra J. Sucher
Harvard Business School

THE FUTURE OF TRUST

When the World Economic Forum released the Davos Manifesto 2020, it signalled a shift in the corporate world. Shareholder primacy is no longer the only purpose of a business. Emerging as the new requirement is creating sustained value for all stakeholders and reconciling their divergent interests. And just as their role is evolving, CEOs are facing increasing scrutiny from the media and the public. All this has meant that CEOs and senior business leaders today have to lead with trust at the forefront—what happens when trust is lost, how to regain it, and how to actively build it.

Trust is essential for the functioning of society and the economy. We rely on others to act with integrity and honesty. We expect governments, businesses, and organizations of all types to deliver on their promises and conduct themselves ethically and responsibly. The rise of complex and polarizing societal forces, the pace of technological change, free access to information, and the demands of a new generation of consumers and workers are changing the nature of business leadership, influencing the call for a bold vision, a renewed sense of purpose, and new models of thinking. Furthermore, these shifts are leading to business leaders being held more accountable in living up to their slogans of purpose.

Data from the World Bank indicates the economic activities of the last decade have resulted in greater social well-being, with record low rates of global poverty and unemployment. At the same time, we have seen a remarkable global decline in public confidence in institutions. Canada has not been immune to these larger global trends; only four in 10 Canadians find organizations to be generally trustworthy, according to a recent Deloitte study.

In a changing environment that is reshaping the nature of how business is conducted, senior business leaders are faced with the challenge of measuring trust among their different stakeholders and identifying and understanding the levers available to manage trust.

As we reflect on the lessons of the last decade and set out to explore how businesses can build and sustain trust, Deloitte leaders deliberated over three key questions that form the basis of our three-part series on trust:

• In an increasingly complex world, how can executives understand what trust means to the different stakeholders an organization serves and how can they balance stakeholders’ competing interests?

• What industries do Canadians find more trustworthy and what factors do they value most when evaluating the trustworthiness of an organization?

• What levers can CEOs and board members influence to measure, monitor, build, and nurture trust among their numerous stakeholders?

To answer these questions, we interviewed CEOs and senior business leaders across Canada in multiple industries, fielded a Canadian market survey to understand the perspectives of the consumer/citizen, and worked with Prof. Sandra Sucher and Shalene Gupta at Harvard Business School, to inform our thinking about how executives can operationalize trust in a viable framework.
Trust is forged through the alchemy of human relationships. Similar to the call for increased diversity in the corporate world and the product-quality movement that preceded it, today, trust is a social value that is under siege.

The effects of an adverse event are hard to predict even with meticulous analyses of historical data. If there is one lesson our case studies of other headline-making events provide, it is that fostering trust activity is critical to winning in business. When stakeholders trust a company, they look to it to provide clarity in an increasingly complex, challenging, and polarized world. Trust enables companies to not only be resilient in troubled times but also adapt, change, grow, and set themselves apart in a crowded, noisy market.

To build and maintain stakeholders’ trust, business leaders must first ensure they understand what their stakeholders expect of trustworthy organizations and then take steps to deliver on those expectations on behalf of their own organizations. In the next part of this series, Navigating trust, we will distill our findings into a framework for executives and board members to use as a guide to embed trust across all operations of an organization, thereby creating a trustworthy company.

**TRUST DEFINED**

The fundamental element of all relationships

Trust means different things in different contexts and to different organizations. We spoke with more than 20 CEOs and senior executives of iconic Canadian and global businesses to understand what it means to them. They describe trust as the input to and the outcome of human relationships; that it is founded and established within relationships, and built upon through shared confidence, experiences, and continued interactions. The CEO of one global private company noted that while trust may evade a single definition, it is the invisible bond in every relationship.

Trust is the foundational element of relationships that becomes conspicuous in its absence. Many of the executives we spoke with appreciated the importance of trust in navigating complexity during times of change. They also identified the need to build or rebuild trust with not only their shareholders and consumers but also their employees, suppliers, regulators, and the communities in which they operate.

The shift towards building trust among different stakeholders is occurring globally. In August 2019, Business Roundtable, an association of CEOs of companies in the United States, updated its principles of corporate governance to move away from shareholder primacy to promote an economy that serves all Americans.6 This upends the role of business in society by imparting organizations to include environmental, social, and governance responsibilities in addition to their financial, legal, and ethical obligations.

All executives we spoke with shared that they do not yet have a way to measure and quantify trust. Without the appropriate trust measurement and monitoring tools to inform the decisions CEOs should make to improve trust, companies remain vulnerable to loss of revenue and reputation.

**THERE IS LASTING LEGAL AND FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCES WHEN THE BONDS OF TRUST ARE BROKEN**

There are lasting legal and financial consequences when the bonds of trust are broken

To understand the financial impact of a scandal, we looked at three notable companies that have been embroiled in scandal.7 The scale and scope of the problems were trust-related and stretched over both space and time. They also led to regulatory or government intervention. Prior to the scandal, each of the companies we studied was considered “large,” with a market cap of at least $10 billion. The data, which was largely publicly available and analyzed with Deloitte’s proprietary risk-sensing technology, show that these episodes were deeply detrimental to the company’s financial health, with the companies in our case study analyses losing 20-56 percent of their market cap over a period ranging from three months to two years. The combined total value lost by the companies we studied was approximately $70 billion. Even more damaging is the relative value they lost in comparison to their peers and the comparable industry index, with the companies in our case studies falling behind the index by 26-74 percent.

In the companies we studied, a negative trust-related event eroded its market cap by 20-56 percent, with these losses accounting for a combined value of $70 billion.

**THE IMPACT OF LOSING TRUST**

One investigation may trigger a chain reaction

Our research shows that a company will experience the reputational and financial impact of an adverse trust-related event within months of its misconduct becoming public. An investigation that begins in one regulatory jurisdiction often kicks off a chain reaction, with increased scrutiny of past incidences in that jurisdiction as well as across others where the company operates. Such attention results in a significant loss of market cap and a drop in share price.

A speedy response may not always prevent the dilution of enterprise value

Historically, swift and efficient responses to scandal were rewarded with a rebound in financial value, such as seen during Johnson & Johnson’s Tylenol poisoning crisis in 1982.8 But, social media and the ease of access to misinformation means that bad news spreads faster and farther than before. Given the volume of compromising events, even swift and comprehensive responses may not be enough to convince stakeholders of an organization’s sincerity and trustworthiness.

Maturity may mitigate impact of a scandal

Both the maturity of an industry and its level of regulation appear to play an important role in determining the magnitude of the impact of a breach of trust. This indicates that expectations of trust vary across different industries and continue to evolve. Yet no matter the industry, an organization affected by a trust-related event loses enterprise value compared to its competitors.

**KEY INSIGHTS**

- There are lasting legal and financial consequences when the bonds of trust are broken.
- To understand the financial impact of a scandal, we looked at three notable companies that have been embroiled in scandal.
- The combined total value lost by the companies we studied was approximately $70 billion.
- Swift and efficient responses to scandal were rewarded with a rebound in financial value.
- Maturity may mitigate the impact of a scandal.

**CONCLUSION**

Trust is forged through the alchemy of human relationships. Similar to the call for increased diversity in the corporate world and the product-quality movement that preceded it, today, trust is a social value that is under siege.

The scale and scope of the problems were trust-related and stretched over both space and time. They also led to regulatory or government intervention. Prior to the scandal, each of the companies we studied was considered “large,” with a market cap of at least $10 billion. The data, which was largely publicly available and analyzed with Deloitte’s proprietary risk-sensing technology, show that these episodes were deeply detrimental to the company’s financial health, with the companies in our case study analyses losing 20-56 percent of their market cap over a period ranging from three months to two years. The combined total value lost by the companies we studied was approximately $70 billion. Even more damaging is the relative value they lost in comparison to their peers and the comparable industry index, with the companies in our case studies falling behind the index by 26-74 percent.

In the companies we studied, a negative trust-related event eroded its market cap by 20-56 percent, with these losses accounting for a combined value of $70 billion.

One investigation may trigger a chain reaction

Our research shows that a company will experience the reputational and financial impact of an adverse trust-related event within months of its misconduct becoming public. An investigation that begins in one regulatory jurisdiction often kicks off a chain reaction, with increased scrutiny of past incidences in that jurisdiction as well as across others where the company operates. Such attention results in a significant loss of market cap and a drop in share price.

A speedy response may not always prevent the dilution of enterprise value

Historically, swift and efficient responses to scandal were rewarded with a rebound in financial value, such as seen during Johnson & Johnson’s Tylenol poisoning crisis in 1982. But, social media and the ease of access to misinformation means that bad news spreads faster and farther than before. Given the volume of compromising events, even swift and comprehensive responses may not be enough to convince stakeholders of an organization’s sincerity and trustworthiness.

Maturity may mitigate impact of a scandal

Both the maturity of an industry and its level of regulation appear to play an important role in determining the magnitude of the impact of a breach of trust. This indicates that expectations of trust vary across different industries and continue to evolve. Yet no matter the industry, an organization affected by a trust-related event loses enterprise value compared to its competitors.

**“Trust is not what we are able to define but is behind every relationship we think about.”**
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2 Deloitte analysis of poverty and unemployment data from the World Bank
3 Deloitte Senior Advisor and former Governor General of Canada, David Johnston
4 Deloitte national survey of more than 1,000 Canadians
6 Business Roundtable statement on the purpose of a corporation, released on August 19, 2019
7 See Appendix for more detail on methodology and analyses
CASE STUDY 1
Large Global Bank

Major global bank sees market cap plummet after misconduct revealed

In late 2015, a large Europe-based global bank agreed to fire a number of employees and pay millions of dollars in fines to resolve investigations by US federal and state banking regulators. The bank's share price tumbled by nearly half in the aftermath of this settlement, yet by mid-2017, it had regained some ground. However, subsequent revelations of other misconduct and financial crimes across several jurisdictions soon began to erode the bank's enterprise value. Its share price was sent on a roller coaster ride for the rest of 2017 and into 2018 before settling into a slow, steady decline. The share price reached a record low in late 2019.

The impact of the bank's misconduct, and the sharp loss of stakeholder trust that followed, has been significant. Since 2015, when the first revelations of wrongdoing came to light, the bank's share price has fallen approximately 75 percent—and its market capitalization has dropped by about 31 percent annually. The bank's woes have also contributed to a 35 percent drop in the STOXX Europe 600 Bank Index.

The bank has also lost significant ground to several competitors, which have seen their share prices rise by more than 40 percent—and by more than 100 percent in some cases. Despite this, it seems clear the breach of trust has caused most of the bank's investors to flee, and to place their trust, and their money, into other, more trustworthy financial institutions.

IMPACT OF A SCANDAL

CASE STUDY 2
Large Global Automaker

Scandal sees large global automaker fall well behind its competitors

In 2015, one of the world's biggest auto-makers—and a well-regarded brand—got caught up in a serious scandal that shattered many stakeholders' trust in the organization. News of the misconduct quickly gave rise to talk of regulators imposing fines that could potentially reach into the billions, and the automaker soon announced plans to recall millions of vehicles. By the end of 2015, the company's market capitalization had plummeted 56 percent from its highest point in April of the same year.

Two years later, in 2017, the automaker appeared to have recovered some of its lost enterprise value, though its market capitalization remained 33 percent below its April 2015 pinnacle. Yet from a competitive perspective, the company had clearly lost its momentum as others sped forward. By August 2017, the automaker's share price was still down 20 percent from its pre-scandal level. In comparison, the STOXX Europe 600 Auto Index, comprising 15 companies, had risen 6 percent over the same period and the median market capitalization gain was 43 percent. One of the automaker's competitors had accelerated well in front of the pack in the post-scandal period, experiencing an 88 percent jump in its own share price.
CASE STUDY 3
Global Engineering and Infrastructure Services Provider

Ethical breach sinks engineering and infrastructure services provider

In mid-2018, a former executive of a North America-based global provider of engineering and infrastructure services pleaded guilty to a charge in connection with a fraud investigation. The news caused some ripples in the market, and the company’s share price began to decline slowly.

In early 2019, however, media reports emerged that provided more detail about the nature of the company’s misconduct, including allegations of bribery. Government officials were soon drawn into the story, which gave rise to additional allegations of improper or unethical conduct on the part of some politicians. The company’s share price, already declining, dropped precipitously, falling 45 percent from where it had stood less than a year before.

The company’s share price continued to fall throughout 2019, finally halting its slide and trending upward again in November 2019, after an election in a geography of particular importance to the organization.

By this time, however, the company’s breach of stakeholders’ trust had already resulted in significant damage. The company’s share price had dropped 64 percent from its level before the scandal broke; its competitors, in comparison, all recorded double-digit percentage gains, while the relevant industry index rose 10 percent.

APPENDIX

IMPACT OF A SCANDAL

This company lost 20 percent of its market cap during a bribery scandal; recently, a moderate recovery seems to have begun.

Global Engineering and Infrastructure Services Provider: Impact on enterprise value during the bribery scandal17, 18

In the aftermath of the scandal, this company lost 64 percent of its share value while the relevant industrials index recorded gains of 10 percent.

Global Engineering and Infrastructure Services Provider: Share price fluctuations against comparable engineering and infrastructure companies19, 20

17 Stock price data was obtained from investing.com
18 Information in the dots indicating adverse events were obtained from Deloitte’s risk-sensing technology
19 Share price values were obtained from investing.com
20 Market cap value was obtained from company filings

Key milestone events were selected to convey a sense of the magnitude or severity of the events and the social media chatter generated.
Our three-part series gives you our perspective on business trust. Learn about the importance of embedding trust into your organization, what trust means to your customers, and how to truly understand its complexities and benefits.

Part 1: The future of trust
Shareholder primacy is no longer the only purpose of a business. Emerging as the new vital business requirement is creating sustained value for all stakeholders and reconciling their divergent interests. Similar to the call for increased diversity in the corporate world and the product quality movement that preceded it, trust is a social value under siege. In this part of the series, we explore what trust means to the leaders of iconic Canadian companies, quantify the financial impact of a scandal with lessons on what to do during tough times.

Part 2: Navigating consumer trust
Trust takes a long time to build, but it can be lost quickly. Executives should know where to invest their attention and efforts. Through a research study of a key stakeholder group—the consumer—we test our trust framework and discover what factors matter to them in building trust. The lessons learned here have implications that extend beyond the consumer. In this report, we delve into the nature of consumer trust and determine what companies can do to build a more trustworthy base.

Part 3: Deconstructing trust
While the importance of trust is undeniable, how can business leaders operationalize it? They should start by assessing all the initiatives that impact stakeholder relations across the organization and then develop tailored strategies to manage their complex stakeholder environment. Finally, they should monitor stakeholder relations periodically and revise or enhance strategies to effectively safeguard an organization. In this part of our series, we dive deeper into how to build a trustworthy organization.
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