


## Internal audit insights High impact areas of focus






# Introduction

Internal audit is widely, if not universally, viewed as a key pillar in effective governance with expectations of internal audit greater and more visible than ever. High performance and effectiveness demands that internal audit departments focus their efforts on the key risks and issues facing organisations—a task made more difficult in today’s environment of continued complexity, uncertainty, and change. While transactional oversight was once the prime mover, strategic risk has come to the fore, with internal audit becoming increasingly involved in a range of business-critical areas including major projects, cost reduction, cyber, fraud, and third-party risk management.

On an annual basis, Deloitte leverages its global network of internal audit professionals to identify high impact areas of focus that internal audit departments should consider incorporating into their audit plans. We are pleased to provide you with this year’s edition of our High impact areas of focus series. We hope you find it both thought-provoking and of value.

# Key areas explored in this publication

Cyber  
crime



Vendor  
governance

Risk and  
control  
culture



Social  
media

Data  
protection




Fraud risk  
management

Continuous  
auditing



Software  
asset  
management



Internal audit's  
role in mergers  
and acquisitions



# Cyber crime

All too frequently, organisations, both large and small and across all industries, are targeted and compromised by cyber criminals. Threats posed by cyber crime have increased faster than the abilities of many organisations to detect, prevent and manage such threats. Any organisation dealing with this imminent risk faces potential significant reputational and financial impacts, in addition to regulatory risks, as industry regulators increasingly cite cyber security as one of their top priorities. Today's cyber criminals are increasingly adept at gaining undetected access and maintaining a persistent, low-profile, long-term presence within information technology environments. Meanwhile, many organisations may be leaving themselves vulnerable to cyber crime based on a false sense of security, perhaps even complacency, driven by the lack of understanding about the evolving threat landscape, and use of non-agile security tools and outdated processes. Many are failing to recognise cyber crimes in their IT environments and misallocating limited resources to lesser threats.

It is imperative that internal audit takes a leading role in determining whether a systematic and disciplined approach exists to evaluate and strengthen the effectiveness of cyber risk management and determine if appropriate cyber security capabilities (people, process, and technology) are in place to protect against cyber threats.





# Vendor governance

Many organisations face challenges ensuring that their relationships with vendors yield maximum value for their enterprises. With dependency on multiple vendors to meet their business needs, value lost in the supply chain can result in significant damage to the bottom line. Vendor relationships are often driven by complex and (at times) ambiguous contract clauses, leading to overpayment and/or overbilling in areas related to favorable pricing (often known in the technology industry as “most favored customer”), volume rebates/discounts, mark-ups, subcontractor costs, etc. Such costs are usually undetected due to the volume of transactions, limited operational/financial process controls, and lack of robust analytics tools and capabilities that can holistically monitor activities around vendor spend

Leading internal audit departments see vendor governance as an important element in their overall audit universe. Vendor audits are being conducted to assess third party risk and also generate financial benefit for their organisations through cost recovery and improved controls to prevent excessive costs moving forward. Highly effective vendor audit programs leverage advanced analytical tools and techniques to address risks and generate unique insights into supply chain inefficiencies. The use of analytics also allows for the deployment of data visualisation techniques that can be used both in the internal audit analysis and reporting of results.





# Risk and control culture

Shortcomings in risk and control culture continue to underlie many organisational failures that appear in news headlines. Indeed, an organisation's risk and control culture—the norms, attitudes, and behaviors related to risk awareness, risk taking, risk management and controls that shape decisions on risk— plays a major role in influencing the decisions of management and employees taken in their day-to-day activities, and has a significant impact on the risks they assume.

Accordingly, regulators increasingly engaged in skeptical conversations with the board and senior management on whether the organisation's risk and control culture supports adherence to the board-approved risk appetite, is appropriate for the scale, complexity, and nature of its business and is based on sound, articulated values carefully managed by the leadership of the organisation.

As a result, boards and senior management are considering ways to foster a stronger risk and control culture within their organisation. Internal audit has a key role to play and should develop a culture assessment framework and execute internal audit activities to assess whether the prevailing risk and control culture and related processes, actions, and "tone at the top" align with the organisation's values, ethics, risk strategy, appetite, tolerance, and approach.





# Social media

The use of social media has expanded beyond entertainment and life management and is now an integral part of the business landscape with many organisations having a twitter and Facebook presence.

Whilst the benefits are clear there are significant risks that need to be considered. These include information leakage, social account hacking and people concerns. Internal Audit can play a part in assessing the organisation's overall social media governance policy to ensure it addresses the key issues. Examples of other areas of focus include the organisation's social media presence monitoring / incident response process, alignment of the social media to strategy, content approval controls, and third party contract management arrangements where third party vendors are supporting the social media agenda.





# Data protection

Although data loss is a factor in cyber and social media the topic still warrants consideration in its own right. In recent times we have seen numerous organisations suffer the loss/leakage of their data either through unlawful acts or by way of human error. In addition we have noted a considerable increase in focus from management on the topics of data governance and protection. There are reviews we can complete as Internal Auditors to determine the level of controls in place to mitigate data loss such as the use of physical media, mobile device security, and network monitoring. There is a lot more that Internal Audit can do in this area.

One of the biggest issues many organisations face is not understanding the type and extent of data that they hold. Have you as an Internal Auditor considered whether the business knows what data it holds, where it holds it, if it is appropriate to hold it and if retention policies are being adhered to?





# Fraud risk management

Fraud affects many organisations and, in today's economic climate, the adage "prevention is better than cure" has never been more accurate. While no organisation can be completely immune from fraudulent activity, there is an increasing onus on management to have effective fraud prevention systems in place in order to reduce the exposure to financial loss, reputational damage and service interruption, all of which are common consequences of fraud. An organisation's exposure to fraud risk is often heightened during periods of change and uncertainty. Increased financial pressures and reductions in headcount are two examples of why a fraud control environment may weaken.

It is important that organisations have effective fraud risk management frameworks in place, covering areas such as governance and leadership, risk assessment, policies and procedures, due diligence, training and communications, and monitoring and review. Internal audit, in line with its mandate, should play an important role in either assisting management with the implementation of such a framework (for example, facilitation of fraud risk workshops), or with the provision of assurance over key elements of the framework, including design and implementation testing of key anti-fraud controls, the adequacy of due diligence undertaken, or the effectiveness of the monitoring and review process (i.e. is the organisation learning from past instances of fraud, both internally and within the industry/sector in which they operate).





# Continuous auditing

Continuous auditing (CA) and its benefits are well-recognised and have been talked about for a number of years, yet relatively few enterprises have realised its full potential. CA allows internal audit to continually extract key data from business processes to enable its internal audit activities. CA promotes a shift from cyclical or episodic reviews with limited focus to continuous, broader and more proactive reviews. CA also evolves the traditional, more static annual audit plan to a dynamic plan based on the CA results. CA should not be confused with continuous monitoring which is an approach employed by management to determine more quickly where to focus the organisation's resources and attention to improve processes, address risks, or launch initiatives.

The continued proliferation of new and emerging technologies has helped the business case for CA. Further, the business benefits realised by many organisations through the convergence of governance, risk and compliance solutions has helped make CA a reality for a greater number of leading internal audit departments. Internal audit departments, seeing the benefits of incorporating data analytics into their audit activities, are now realising that the implementation of CA techniques through the extension of analytics is not quite as difficult as it may have been in the past.





# Software asset management

Software purchases account for a significant portion of many organisations' IT capital spending. However, the full impact of the software license agreements and contracts are rarely understood, and the related internal controls are often found to be lacking or immature. According to a recent Gartner survey<sup>1</sup>, over 68 percent of respondents had been audited by at least one software vendor during the past 12 months. As a result, many organisations, during routine audits, are found to be either under-licensed or not licensed optimally for the software they are currently using. Under-licensing can create significant financial, legal and reputational risk, while sub-optimal licensing could mean that the organisation is paying excessive license costs. Many organisations do not closely track the procurement, deployment, and use of software, resulting in an increased security risk as well as unnecessary spends in over-licensing and maintenance. Proper management of software assets can help organisations ensure that their software licensing and related costs are commensurate with their usage and needs. Internal audit departments are responding to these risks by executing software asset management (SAM) operational reviews. These reviews often leverage enabling technology and include the following components: process risk and current state assessments, software risk assessments, and software license baseline reconciliations, resulting in insightful analysis and recommendations to address gaps in the organisation's software asset management processes. The SAM operational reviews performed by internal audit frequently lead organisations to realise that a robust SAM process should be implemented, yielding potentially significant cost savings and enabling the organisation to address future software vendor audits without significantly taxing the organisation's resources.

<sup>1</sup> Gartner Research, *Survey Analysis: Software License Audit Surveys Show Shift in Focus and Intensity in 2014*, Victoria Barber, Frances O'Brien, Stewart Buchanan (Sept 2, 2014)





# Internal audit's role in mergers and acquisitions

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are one of the highest risk activities an organisation undertakes. In the past year, the volume and deal value of M&A globally have increased significantly, a trend that's expected to continue. The principal challenge with M&A transactions is to effectively integrate across multiple dimensions to realise synergy targets, within tight timelines, while relying on resources charged with running the business as usual.

Internal audit, by virtue of its vantage point and deep competencies from a governance, risk and compliance perspective, is ideally positioned to play a key role in an organisation's M&A program. Leading internal audit departments frequently play an important role both in the pre- and post-transaction stages. Pre-transaction roles range from assessments of the overall due diligence program to active involvement in the execution of due diligence procedures. An evaluation of changes to the organisation's risk profile and related risk management activities is also often performed prior to and following M&A transactions. Post M&A activities, internal audit frequently performs activities related to post-acquisition integration and the tracking and validation of synergy capture.



# Contacts

For more details please contact:

**David Kinsella**

**Partner**

Enterprise Risk Services  
Earlsfort Terrace  
Dublin  
davkinsella@deloitte.ie



**Colm McDonnell**

**Partner**

Enterprise Risk Services  
Earlsfort Terrace  
Dublin  
cmcdonnell@deloitte.ie




**Ger Lyons**

**Partner**

Enterprise Risk Services  
Charlotte Quay  
Limerick  
glyons@deloitte.ie







### **Dublin**

Deloitte & Touche  
Deloitte & Touche House  
Earlsfort Terrace  
Dublin 2  
T: +353 1 417 2200  
F: +353 1 417 2300

### **Cork**

Deloitte & Touche  
No.6 Lapp's Quay  
Cork  
T: +353 21 490 7000  
F: +353 21 490 7001

### **Limerick**

Deloitte & Touche  
Deloitte & Touche House  
Charlotte Quay  
Limerick  
T: +353 61 435500  
F: +353 61 418310

**[www.deloitte.com/ie](http://www.deloitte.com/ie)**

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see [www.deloitte.com/ie/about](http://www.deloitte.com/ie/about) for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and its member firms.

With nearly 2,000 people in Ireland, Deloitte provide audit, tax, consulting, and corporate finance to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. With over 210,000 professionals globally, Deloitte is committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

This publication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, Deloitte Global Services Limited, Deloitte Global Services Holdings Limited, the Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Verein, any of their member firms, or any of the foregoing's affiliates (collectively the "Deloitte Network") are, by means of this publication, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your finances or your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

