## Deloitte.

India | Tax & Regulatory | For private circulation only | 8 February 2018



# **Global Business Tax Alert**Sharp Insights

Supreme Court (in case of Essar Teleholdings) confirms Income Tax Rules 1962 (Rule 8D) are prospective in operation

**Issue no:** GBTA/04/2018

In this issue:

Background

Facts of the case

Issues for consideration before the Supreme Court

Ruling of the Supreme

Court

Conclusion

Union Budget 2018 publications and Webcast

archives

Contacts

## **Background**

Section 14A of the Income Tax Act (the Act) provides for disallowance of expenditure related to earning of exempt income. Earlier there was no method prescribed for computing the amount of disallowance for expenses. However, the government, vide notification dated March 24, 2008, introduced Rule 8D to Section 14A, prescribing the method of computation of allocation of these costs. Ever since there has been a controversy around whether such rule can be applied for years prior to Assessment Year (AY) 2008-09 or such rule is prospective in application.

### Facts of the case

- During the Financial Year (FY) 2002-03 (AY 2003-04), the taxpayer was in receipt of both taxable and non-taxable dividend income.
- The Assessing Officer in the assessment order, disallowed partial interest expenditure (approximately INR 26 crores) claimed by the taxpayer in the tax return as disallowance under Section 14A read with Rule 8D relating to investment income claimed as exempt by the taxpayer.
- On appeal, the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) [CIT(A)] partly allowed the appeal. Aggrieved, the taxpayer filed an appeal before the Tribunal (ITAT).
- The Hon'ble ITAT, ruled that the provisions of Rule 8D cannot be invoked in years prior to AY 2008-09 and allowed the appeal in favour of the taxpayer. The ITAT restored the matter back to the files of the Assessing Officer for fresh adjudication without invoking the provisions of Rule 8D. [The Tribunal while passing the order, placed reliance on the judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the case of Godrej and Boyce Manufacturing Company Limited [2010] (328 ITR 81 (Bom)]
- The High Court dismissed an appeal filed by Revenue.
- Aggrieved, the Revenue filed an appeal before the Supreme Court on the issue of retrospective application of Rule 8D.

## **Issues for consideration before the Supreme Court**

Whether Rule 8D of Income Tax Rules is prospective in operation as held by the High Court or it is retrospective in operation and shall also be applicable in the assessment year in question as contended by learned counsel for the Revenue.

## **Ruling of the Supreme Court**

- The Hon'ble Supreme Court has relied on the following to dismiss the appeal filed by the Revenue and has held that Rule 8D is prospective in operation and could not have been applied to any AY prior to AY 2008-09:
  - The principles of statutory interpretation for interpreting retrospectivity of a
    fiscal statute, which provides that fiscal legislation imposing liability is
    generally governed by the normal presumption that it is not retrospective and
    it is a cardinal principle of the tax law that the law to be applied is that in
    force in the assessment year unless otherwise provided expressly or by
    necessary implication
  - The Explanatory memorandum to Finance Act, 2006 and the CBDT Circular dated 28.12.2006, wherein it has been clearly mentioned that sub-section (2) and sub-section (3) of Section 14A of the Act shall be effective with effect from the assessment year 2007-08.
  - The fact that Rule 8D prescribing the method of calculation of deduction under Section 14A was inserted with effect from 24.03.2008 to implement sub section (2) and (3) of Section 14A of the Act.

## **Conclusion**

The Supreme Court by applying the principles of statutory interpretation for interpreting retrospectivity of a fiscal statute, the nature and purpose of sub-section (2) and sub-section (3) of Section 14A of the Act, the purpose and intent of Rule 8D of the Rules coupled with the explanatory notes in the Finance Bill, 2006 and the departmental understanding as reflected by Circular dated 28 December, 2006, held that Rule 8D was intended to operate prospectively and cannot be applied for AYs prior to AY 2008-09.

## **Union Budget 2018**

**Budget 2018 Publications – click to download** 

**Know Your Budget - Detailed Analysis** 

**Glimpses of Budget 2018** 

Understand the impact of the Budget 2018 on Foreign Portfolio Investors.

**BEPS Perspective** 

**Budget 2018 Webcast archives - click to view** 

Session on detailed budget analysis and insights

Session on key budget highlights

## **Contacts**

#### **Ahmedabad**

19<sup>th</sup> Floor, Shapath - V SG Highway, Ahmedabad - 380 015.

Tel: + 91 (079) 6682 7300 Fax: + 91 (079) 6682 7400

#### Coimbatore

Shanmugha Manram 41, Race Course, Coimbatore Tamil Nadu - 641018 Tel: + 91 (0422) 439 2801 Fax: +91 (0422) 222 3615

#### Kolkata

13th and 14th Floor, Building – Omega Bengal Intelligent Park Block – EP & GP Sector V, Salt Lake City, Kolkata – 700091

Tel: +91 (033) 6612 1000 Fax: +91 (033) 6612 1001

#### **Bangalore**

Deloitte Centre, Anchorage II, 100/2, Richmond Road, Bangalore 560 025.

Tel: +91 (080) 6627 6000 Fax: +91 (080) 6627 6010

#### Delhi/Gurgaon

Building 10, Tower B, 7th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Gurgaon 122 002

Tel: +91 (0124) 679 2000 Fax: +91 (0124) 679 2012

#### Mumbai

Indiabulls Finance Centre, Tower 3, 28th Floor, Elphinstone Mill Compound, Senapati Bapat Marg, Elphinstone (W),

Mumbai - 400013

Tel: + 91 (022) 6185 4000 Fax: + 91 (022) 6185 4101

#### Chennai

No.52, Venkatanarayana Road, 7th Floor, ASV N Ramana Tower, T-Nagar, Chennai 600 017.

Tel: +91 (044) 6688 5000

Fax: +91 (044) 6688 5050

#### Hyderabad

1-8-384 and 385, 3rd Floor, Gowra Grand S.P.Road, Begumpet, Secunderabad – 500 003. Tel: +91 (040) 6603 2600

Fax:+91 (040) 6603 2714

#### **Pune**

706, B-Wing, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, ICC Trade Tower, Senapati Bapat Road, Pune – 411 016.

Tel: + 91 (020) 6624 4600 Fax: +91 (020) 6624 4605













#### Deloitte makes an impact that matters

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

This material and the information contained herein prepared by Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India LLP (DTTI LLP) is intended to provide general information on a particular subject or subjects and is not an exhaustive treatment of such subject(s). This material contains information sourced from third party sites (external sites).

DTTI LLP is not responsible for any loss whatsoever caused due to reliance placed on information sourced from such external sites. None of DTTI LLP, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the "Deloitte Network") is, by means of this material, rendering professional advice or services. This information is not intended to be relied upon as the sole basis for any decision which may affect you or your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that might affect your personal finances or business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this material.

©2018 Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India LLP. Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited