

Japan Inbound Tax & Legal Newsletter

COVID-19's impact on transfer pricing in Japan

March 2020, No. 53

In Brief

The topic dominating conversations globally is the COVID-19 (novel coronavirus) pandemic. In addition to the serious health impact of the virus, it also is impacting vital components of the global economy, such as production, the supply chain, consumption, and financial markets. Industries such as airlines, hospitality and tourism, electronics, consumer goods, pharmaceuticals, and medical equipment are experiencing this impact, and global markets are volatile.

It is still early in the timeline of the virus to have a clear view of the extent of the economic impact. One point of reference may be the SARS outbreak in 2002-03. As of the date of writing, the COVID-19 outbreak is more far-reaching than the SARS outbreak (both in terms of countries with infections and the number of infections). Using this as a benchmark, it is reasonable to expect that the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak may be significantly greater than the SARS outbreak.

It is in this context that global multinationals are beginning to consider how a potential downturn may impact their tax and transfer pricing positions, particularly for industries seriously affected by the outbreak.

In Japan, the government has taken measures relating to tax compliance in response to the outbreak, such as extending the due dates for filing individual income tax returns, consumption tax returns, and gift tax returns. For companies, the corporate income tax and consumption tax payment deadline may be extended under existing disaster relief measures with the approval of the tax authorities.

At the date of writing, no public announcement has been made with respect to measures specifically relating to transfer pricing.

Impact on taxpayers

While the reach of the coronavirus outbreak is global in nature, certain industries and businesses are likely to be more sensitive to a resulting economic downturn. For example, businesses that typically involve a higher ratio of fixed costs may be expected to be more affected than those with a greater proportion of variable costs.

Industries with large fixed costs, such as manufacturing, are likely to experience a significant effect. Distributors also are likely to be affected, to the extent that they need to sell a certain volume to cover fixed costs. In Japan, given the extremely robust labor laws leading to a less flexible workforce scale, employees' labor costs may be considered akin to a long-term fixed cost for a business. Depending on the length of the outbreak, businesses with large permanent employee workforces, and businesses with large capital or labor costs may be substantially impacted.

Impact on "limited risk" transfer pricing models

Many foreign multinationals operating in Japan use "limited-risk" models based on the transactional net margin method (TNMM) of pricing. Such a model may be used for contract manufacturers, contract R&D service providers, and limited-risk distributors. Limited-risk pricing models often are considered to give taxpayers a "guaranteed return" for their activities, benchmarked against the profit margins of comparable companies in the Japanese market. However, foreign tax authorities and taxpayers may question the outcome of such pricing models in the event of a significant market downturn, which may result from the COVID-19 outbreak.

At a high level, the impact of such a market downturn may likely depend on the risk profile of the Japanese subsidiary. For example, a typical limited-risk distributor may be exposed to certain market risks that may result in a loss of revenue streams. If the Japanese distributor is responsible for managing and controlling its fixed costs, it may be exposed to such risk to the extent of those fixed costs.

In order to form a view on the impact of the coronavirus outbreak on a particular Japanese subsidiary, the taxpayer would need to consider its analysis of the risk profile of the subsidiary. Any analysis of risk should start with the terms of the intercompany contract. Taxpayers should review their intercompany contracts as part of their analysis of the risk profile of the Japanese subsidiary. For companies that do not have intercompany contracts, taxpayers may wish to look at their course of dealings over the past few years.

Practical considerations

From a practical perspective, Japanese taxpayers typically approach compliance and risk management through the use of an advance pricing agreement (APA) or a “document-and-defend” strategy (that is, preparing annual transfer pricing documentation to use as a basis for defense in the event of a potential future audit). The practical considerations of the above issues for both strategies are described below.

Transfer pricing documentation

For taxpayers following a document-and-defend strategy, the documentation should be consistent with the outcomes of the risk analysis described above. To the extent that the local entity experiences a significant reduction in profit margin or is in a loss position, an additional explanation and support for this outcome should be provided. Further, the industry analysis typically included in transfer pricing documentation may become a more central aspect of the analysis and documentation strategy in the coming years.

In terms of economic analysis, taxpayers may need to consider the need for adjustments to support the results of their subsidiaries, such as an adjustment for fixed costs to determine the impact of decrease in volume for the selected comparable companies. From a Japanese perspective, the tax authorities often challenge the use of complex adjustments. The authorities typically argue that the Japanese market is broad and deep enough to identify sufficiently comparable companies, and that if the benchmarked companies are truly comparable from a function, asset, and risk perspective, complex comparability adjustments should not be needed. In these circumstances, taxpayers may wish to review the robustness of their existing Japanese benchmarking studies and consider refining their benchmarking strategy if needed.

Finally, depending on the taxpayer’s transfer pricing policy, significant year-end adjustments may be necessary during a major market downturn. It is important that the mechanism for such adjustments are contained in an intercompany contract and supported in the transfer pricing documentation.

Taxpayers with APAs

In principle, taxpayers that have APAs should continue to follow the agreed upon outcome under the APA and generally would be expected to be shielded from the impact of the issues described above.

However, APAs generally include a set of “critical assumptions,” the conditions on which the agreement is based. Critical assumptions often contain items such as significant changes to economic conditions and fundamental changes in the conditions of a particular industry. When a critical assumption is not met, the taxpayer and the revenue authority may agree to continue to honor the APA, revise the APA, or cancel the APA.

In this context, taxpayers that have an APA should review the terms included as critical assumptions and assess whether the COVID-19 outbreak could trigger a discussion with the revenue authority to revise or cancel the APA. In the event that a critical assumption has been triggered, taxpayers should consider working with their advisors to initiate contact with the relevant revenue authorities.

Deloitte's View

Alongside the concerning health aspects of the coronavirus pandemic are real economic issues. As part of planning for a market downturn resulting from the outbreak, multinationals should proactively consider their tax and transfer pricing positions. Taxpayers with an APA may wish to consider reviewing the critical assumptions and working with their advisors to contact the tax authorities as appropriate. Other taxpayers may wish to consider the risk analysis for their Japanese subsidiary and form a view on whether reduced profitability during an economic downturn would be appropriate. Taxpayers also should review their intercompany contracts, benchmarking, and transfer pricing documentation, and prepare additional support for lower profitability or losses as appropriate.

Finally, a modification to a taxpayer's transfer pricing position may affect existing contractual and regulatory obligations with third parties or local governments and tax authorities, in respect of customs duties, consumption tax, value added tax, goods and services tax, excise duties and other taxes. Taxpayers should evaluate all of these issues before implementation of any modifications.

Newsletter Archives

To see past newsletters, please visit our website. www.deloitte.com/jp/inboundtaxnewsletter

Subscribe to Japan: Inbound Tax Alert and tax@hand

To automatically receive future newsletters, please email deloitte-tokyo.newsletter@tohmatu.co.jp and register by providing your name, company, position, and email address.

Click [here](#) to download our tax@hand app to view inbound tax alerts and other content on your mobile device.

Contacts

Deloitte Tohmatsu Tax & Legal Inbound Group

Not all facts and circumstances are covered in this alert. If you have any questions regarding your specific situation, please contact one of the tax professionals at our Deloitte office in Tokyo as follows:

Jun Sawada, Inbound Group Leader		jun.sawada@tohmatu.co.jp
Business Tax Services	Sunie Oue, Partner	sunie.oue@tohmatu.co.jp
	David Bickle, Partner	david.bickle@tohmatu.co.jp
Indirect Tax Services	Fumiko Mizoguchi, Partner	fumiko.mizoguchi@tohmatu.co.jp
Global Employer Services	Russell Bird, Partner	russell.bird@tohmatu.co.jp
Transfer Pricing	Timothy O'Brien, Partner	timothy.obrien@tohmatu.co.jp
	Samuel Gordon, Partner	samuel.gordon@tohmatu.co.jp
Tax Management Consulting	Sreeni Menon, Director	sreeni.menon@tohmatu.co.jp
International Tax and M&A	Koichi Hattori, Partner	koichi.hattori@tohmatu.co.jp
Financial Service Industry	Yang Ho Kim, Partner	yangho.kim@tohmatu.co.jp
	Kai Hielscher, Partner	kai.hielscher@tohmatu.co.jp
Tax Controversy	Yutaka Kitamura, Director	yutaka.kitamura@tohmatu.co.jp
Legal	Kaori Oka, Partner	kaori.oka@tohmatu.co.jp
Immigration	Yoshito Kijima, Partner	yoshito.kijima@tohmatu.co.jp
Payroll and Social Benefits Processing	John Dorff, Partner	john.dorff@tohmatu.co.jp

Issued by

Deloitte Tohmatsu Tax Co.

Tokyo Office

Marunouchi-Nijubashi Building, 3-2-3 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8362, Japan

Tel: +81 3 6213 3800

email: tax.cs@tohmatu.co.jp

Corporate Info: www.deloitte.com/jp/en/tax

Deloitte Tohmatsu Group (Deloitte Japan) is a collective term that refers to Deloitte Tohmatsu LLC, which is the Member of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and of the Deloitte Network in Japan, and firms affiliated with Deloitte Tohmatsu LLC that include Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC, Deloitte Tohmatsu Consulting LLC, Deloitte Tohmatsu Financial Advisory LLC, Deloitte Tohmatsu Tax Co., DT Legal Japan, and Deloitte Tohmatsu Corporate Solutions LLC. Deloitte Tohmatsu Group is known as one of the largest professional services groups in Japan. Through the firms in the Group, Deloitte Tohmatsu Group provides audit & assurance, risk advisory, consulting, financial advisory, tax, legal and related services in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. With about more than 10,000 professionals in nearly 40 cities throughout Japan, Deloitte Tohmatsu Group serves a number of clients including multinational enterprises and major Japanese businesses. For more information, please visit the Group's website at www.deloitte.com/jp/en.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited ("DTTL"), its global network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") and each of its member firms and their affiliated entities are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities provide services in Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, East Timor, Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Indonesia, Japan, Laos, Malaysia, Mongolia, Myanmar, New Zealand, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Singapore, Thailand, The Marshall Islands, The Northern Mariana Islands, The People's Republic of China (incl. Hong Kong SAR and Macau SAR), The Philippines and Vietnam, in each of which operations are conducted by separate and independent legal entities.

Deloitte is a leading global provider of audit and assurance, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services. Our network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories serves four out of five Fortune Global 500 companies. Learn how Deloitte's approximately 286,000 people make an impact that matters at www.deloitte.com.

These materials describe only our general and current observations about a sample case in accordance with relevant tax laws and other effective authorities, and none of Deloitte Network is, by means of this publication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. The opinions expressed in the materials represent the personal views of individual writers and do not represent the official views of Deloitte Network. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

Member of

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

© 2020. For information, contact Deloitte Tohmatsu Tax Co., DT Legal Japan, Deloitte Tohmatsu Immigration Co.



IS 669126 / ISO 27001