

## Internal audit insights High-impact areas of focus—2016



Many boards and senior executive teams now want internal audit to go beyond “table-stakes” audits. Table-stakes audits allocate too many resources to low-impact areas and too few to high-impact areas of the business. The definition of a high-impact area for your organization will depend on its industry, business model, risks, geography, and regulatory climate. But, generally, low-impact areas involve well-established systems and controls, and well-known risks and issues. These areas must be addressed, but they should not divert resources from high-impact areas.

For this 2016 edition of our *High-impact areas of focus* series, Deloitte has flagged 11 areas along with potential steps for you to consider in upcoming audit plans.



## Cyber security

The ways in which internal audit groups define and prepare to address cyber risk will largely determine their effectiveness in cyber security audits. Too many audits are done on a point-specific basis—for Web security, data access, or the firewall—with the results presented as a “cyber security audit.” While this approach does provide some assurance, it may not truly represent the organization’s full exposure with respect to cyber security risk. The definition of cyber security should be comprehensive and based on standards and frameworks, such as NIST, ISO, COSO and ITIL. Cyber security should cover all digital assets and the processes and systems that produce, store, analyze, and transmit data. It also extends to email, texting, social media, big data, and the Web. And while being secure is more important than ever, internal audit should also assess their organization’s ability to be constantly vigilant and resilient in the face of shifting cyber threats.

### Steps to consider:

As the third line of defense in risk management, internal audit should verify that the steps taken by the first line (business) and second line (risk management) are equal to existing and anticipated cyber risks. If one hasn’t already been done, internal audit should conduct a cyber risk assessment based on a robust framework that considers security, vigilance and resilience to develop a risk-based audit plan. Internal audit should consider the threat profile (who might attack, why they might attack and what they might go after) when developing the plan. Depending on the organization, high-risk areas might include data protection, vendor management, cyber incident management, and resiliency, among others. This is an iterative, multi-year endeavor calling for a programmatic, prioritized approach. Cyber security audits demand ongoing improvement of internal audit talent and skills and close engagement with IT and security staff, business units, and risk management.



## Key Performance Indicator (KPI) assurance

Management uses nonfinancial KPIs to manage customer relationships, product quality, sustainability, and risk, among other things. Yet processes, systems, and controls for KPIs remain far less developed than those for financial data. That said, auditing skills and methods are highly applicable to KPIs. Viewing KPIs through an auditing lens can assist in improving related processes, systems, and controls. KPI assurance is a high-impact area because management teams regularly issue reports and public statements that may be—or may not be—substantiated by KPIs. For example, reports on energy, water usage and labor practices, and statements about customer service and product quality, call for accurate, and reliable KPIs. Internal audit is well-positioned to provide assurance.

### Steps to consider:

Identify where greater attention to KPIs might yield benefits. Consider those used in sustainability reports and regulatory filings and those invoked in commitments to the marketplace, such as measures of service availability or on-time delivery. Then apply auditing expertise to the underlying processes and systems. Internal audit can first ascertain whether management is tracking the right KPIs for what is being measured and whether the underlying processes are well-designed and controlled, and then, over time, provide assurance on the data and processes.



## Internal audit analytics

Audits based solely on sample-based testing and 20-20 hindsight will not satisfy stakeholder needs in areas such as emerging risks, strategic alignment, and performance improvement; hence, the need for analytics. Fortunately, today's analytical tools do not demand deep technical expertise to facilitate analysis of entire populations of transactions. For example, analyzing all orders, invoices, and payments in a unit will detect more irregularities, control breakdowns, and fraud than sampling hundreds of transactions, and enables auditors to drill down. Analytics can apply risk indicators to large datasets to detect risks that would otherwise remain hidden. Yet internal audit groups lag in this area because they often underestimate the benefits and overestimate the complexity, and may be resistant to change. Meanwhile, those that have adopted analytics have seen enhanced focus, efficiency, effectiveness, and value.

### Steps to consider:

Start by applying off-the-shelf analytics packages to datasets with fast ROI, such as expense reports or vendor cost recovery. Look for potential misunderstanding—and skirting—of policies and for control breakdowns. Seek other opportunities to stop leakage or recover money. Also, depending on your industry, regulators will (as they have in banking) identify areas where audit should be forward-looking, and thus, analytical. Down the road, audit can transfer certain analytical tasks to the relevant business or function, thus improving the first line of defense in risk management.



## Data visualization

Data visualization transforms analytical output into visual formats, such as bubble charts, heat maps, and interactive graphs, enabling non-analysts to interpret results. The complexity and prices of these tools, which range from chart libraries to customized dashboards, have dropped sharply. In scoping an audit, data visualization can pinpoint areas of risk, activity, or concern and assist in resource allocation. During an audit, visualization can depict trends, patterns, and anomalies that might otherwise be missed, and enable drill down to relevant transactions. In reporting, visualization can better meet stakeholder needs because most people can readily grasp data in visual form.

### Steps to consider:

Train one or more staff members with the interest and aptitude to use visualization tools. A desktop license for a good package is relatively inexpensive, and it takes minimal technical expertise to load data into the application. (Most packages accept standard file formats.) Then try using data visualization in scoping, execution, and reporting on selected areas of the audit. Data visualization is also meaningful in reports to the Board and Audit Committee. Be sure to involve those with visualization experience in the initial pilots to gain the advantage of their expertise and avoid common mistakes.



## Corporate governance

Internal audit can assist organizations in enhancing corporate governance effectiveness. Three guiding principles for corporate governance internal audits are proportionality, objectivity, and specificity. Proportionality prompts internal auditors to consider the size, scope, and complexity of the organization as well as its maturity, industry, and regulatory environment. Objectivity guides internal auditors to consider using external frameworks, such as Deloitte's Corporate Governance Framework, and to consult regulatory guidelines. Specificity prompts internal auditors to focus on mechanisms and behavior.

### Steps to consider:

Internal audit should review the organization's corporate governance framework and mechanisms, and plan internal audits accordingly. The audit function can also assess board and senior management practices against leading industry practices and review the board's and management's self-assessment against those practices. (A corporate governance effectiveness audit should include assessment criteria as well as the elements to be assessed.) General areas to assess would include governance, strategy, operations, planning, performance, integrity, talent, risk, culture, compliance, and reporting. In examining these areas, internal audit can assure stakeholders of the maturity and effectiveness of board and senior management governance practices and advise the board and management of ways to enhance their governance.



## Dynamic internal audit planning

Dynamic internal audit planning uses qualitative and quantitative methods on a frequent or continuous basis to identify issues and allocate resources to key risks—a leap forward from static or rotational audit plans. Risks and opportunities arise quickly and must be addressed. Therefore, dynamic planning creates a flexible, adaptable approach in which data analytics and continuous monitoring (via automated data gathering) supplement annual risk-based assessments. Dynamic internal audit planning can enable the function in its mission to assure, advise, and anticipate. It thus enables internal audit to be highly efficient; it also enables internal auditors to advise management on risks and to provide recommendations and mitigation steps; and it enables audit to anticipate emerging risks and opportunities.

### Steps to consider:

Recognize that dynamic audit planning requires auditors who are as knowledgeable about strategic, business, operational, and risk issues as they are about financial processes, systems, and controls. It also requires the flexibility to plan dynamically, to be comfortable with changes to plans, and to respond quickly to new demands. For example, internal audit can assist in an upcoming acquisition by identifying risks and barriers to realizing value, such as issues around addressing regulations and integrating systems and controls. In addition, artificial intelligence and risk sensing technologies can facilitate dynamic internal auditing.



## Crisis management planning

Globalization and the virtualization of business mean that any crisis can have widespread impact, while social media ratchets reputational risks to new heights. Still, when many internal auditors think “crisis preparedness,” they think business continuity, emergency response, and disaster recovery. Today, crisis management planning must integrate those elements across silos into a broad response plan. That plan must also incorporate internal and external communications and, when necessary, global coordination to keep management in front of any crisis and reassure all stakeholders.

### Steps to consider:

Audit planning can ascertain whether management has identified the full range of potential crises and their likely impacts. Impacts of a crisis—natural or manmade, physical or virtual, and local or remote—may compromise operations, employees, supply chains, plant and equipment, and IT and data. Audit plans should ensure that management has developed integrated plans based on sound assessment of all impacts. Each audit cycle can then focus on two or three areas and assess the depth, responsiveness, and integration of plans. In organizations with less mature approaches to crisis management, audit’s role may focus more on advisory than assurance, given the need for basic guidance.



## Data governance & life cycle management

Most organizations need some form of data governance. Specifics depend on the organization and its industry, but data governance involves policies and procedures regarding who owns data, who uses it for what purpose, and whether it is reliable and accurate. Other issues include how data is handled and safeguards to prevent loss or theft and to ensure proper disposal. Risks typically arise around privacy, regulatory, and reputational issues, with the potential for loss or theft of customer data a common concern. Internal audit should calibrate its efforts to the organization's need for data governance. For example, financial services, life sciences, consumer goods, and business-to-business companies all use data differently, and need different approaches to data governance.

### Steps to consider:

Focus first on the most valuable data and higher risk data. Review policies and procedures across the data life cycle, which would include those for gathering, storing, using, and disposing of data and for controlling access and ensuring accuracy—and how those policies and procedures are implemented. Provide advice and insight to units with nonexistent or rudimentary policies and procedures. Avoid judging data quality, but instead focus on the processes and controls needed to produce and protect data of the desired or required quality.



## IT internal audit

IT issues now include social media, big data, devices, and apps, as well as technology-driven disruption of entire industries. Yet IT internal audit plans often resemble those of five to 10 years ago, leading to IT audits that stakeholders often ignore. While audits of Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance, disaster recovery, and resiliency must get done, resource constraints should not be allowed to result in IT audits that fail to address key existing and emerging technology risks. If audit reports generate low value, under-resourcing will persist. Mapping audits against a risk and value chart can show where audit resources are going; too often, 70% to 80% are going to low-risk/low-value areas.

### Steps to consider:

Given the pace of technology development and the value of digital assets, audit needs a balanced approach. Consider grouping IT audit activities into core, advanced, and emerging technology categories. Core activities related to technology have been around for years, with SOX compliance audits being a good example. Advanced technologies have been around, but haven't been an historical focus for IT internal audits. Emerging issues involve new, potentially disruptive technologies. The IT internal audit plan should be balanced across all three categories.



## Vendor governance

While third-party relationships provide many benefits, they also present risks, and management cannot outsource accountability for risk. Meanwhile, management of third-party risk is often spread across various units and functions, obscuring the view of the contract structure and generating a reactive and reparative, rather than proactive and preventive, approach to compliance. A more complete picture can be developed by reviewing contracts and KPIs of vendors with the aim of realizing the full value of third-party relationships. Most contracts have right-to-audit clauses but they vary, and those doing the “audit” may lack the skills required to assess specialized business models and complex contract provisions. While vendors may provide specific controls and service reports, they tend to be generic and can fall short of contract requirements.

### Steps to consider:

The sooner internal audit is involved, the better. For example, internal audit can validate a relationship’s workings after a single quarter rather than wait for an annual review. Clarity at the front-end smooths the relationship on both sides, with many vendors appreciating early notice of errors and contract interpretation issues rather than lengthy back-end recovery proceedings. Data analytics can facilitate review of all transactions to identify anomalies requiring investigation. A hands-on approach to a few critical relationships can prove the worth of internal audit involvement. That said, auditors should work within their capabilities and know when specialized skills are required to assess contract compliance and performance. In general, organizations miss many opportunities by tolerating less than full transparency in this area. Finding these opportunities is the right of all parties to a contract, and an area where internal audit can add measurable value.

---



## International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF)

The Institute of International Auditors (IIA) released an updated IPPF in July 2015 to reflect the evolving role of internal audit—the first major revision since 1999. While the definition of internal audit, the code of ethics, and the standards remain the same, the framework features a new mission and core principles for the professional practice of internal auditing. The mission—“To enhance and protect organizational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice and insight”—raises expectations regarding what an internal audit function should strive to accomplish. It recognizes the function’s role in governance, and the need to provide advice and insight to key stakeholders. Yet many internal audit groups remain heavily focused on assurance related to established controls, Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance, and other basics.

### Steps to consider:

To fulfill its mission under the IPPF, internal audit should assess how it is currently doing and identify needed changes. Examine how the function fulfills the mission of internal audit and the core principles. Consider quality assurance and improvement: Is there a program? How robust is it? How are quality and improvement measured? Also, assess audit plans for percentages of resources devoted to assurance versus advisory activities. Use the IPPF to generate discussion regarding the value internal audit should be generating versus current activities. This may mean educating management and the board, particularly if they have “old school” perspectives regarding internal audit roles and activities. Finally, monitor IIA guidance as it evolves and evaluate internal audit programs against the IIA Standards through an internal assessment, external assessment or self-assessment with independent external validation.

### Global Internal Audit Leadership

#### Terry Hatherell

Global and Americas  
Internal Audit Leader  
[thatherell@deloitte.ca](mailto:thatherell@deloitte.ca)

#### Peter Astley

EMEA  
Internal Audit Leader  
[pastley@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:pastley@deloitte.co.uk)

#### Porus Doctor

Asia Pacific  
Internal Audit Leader  
[podoctor@deloitte.com](mailto:podoctor@deloitte.com)

#### Sandy Pundmann

United States Internal Audit  
Leader  
[spundmann@deloitte.com](mailto:spundmann@deloitte.com)

#### Sarah Adams

Global IT Internal Audit  
Audit Leader  
[saradams@deloitte.com](mailto:saradams@deloitte.com)

#### Neil White

Global Internal Audit  
Analytics Leader  
[nwhite@deloitte.com](mailto:nwhite@deloitte.com)

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee (“DTTL”), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) does not provide services to clients. Please see [www.deloitte.com/about](http://www.deloitte.com/about) for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. Deloitte’s more than 200,000 professionals are committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms, or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte network”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. No entity in the Deloitte network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.