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Introduction
The social enterprise in a world disrupted

MAKING THE SHIFT FROM “SURVIVE TO THRIVE” DEPENDS ON AN ORGANIZATION BECOMING DISTINCTLY HUMAN AT ITS CORE—A DIFFERENT WAY OF BEING THAT APPROACHES EVERY QUESTION, EVERY ISSUE, AND EVERY DECISION FROM A HUMAN ANGLE FIRST.

In 2020, COVID-19 forced organizations around the world to enact radically new ways of working and operating amid the pandemic’s human and economic impacts. Organizations had to respond to a sudden, unforeseen crisis whose rapidly changing nature confounded efforts to predict and plan for events. The pandemic brought into sharp relief the pitfalls of strategies that envision moving from point A to point B on a static path, and that assume that one has years, not months or weeks, in which to rethink outdated views and establish a new set of truths. As we all learned the hard way, in an environment that can shift from moment to moment, the paths and time frames to achieving one’s goals must shift as well.

Having a plan to deal with the unexpected, as important as it is, isn’t all organizations need in such an environment. Even more necessary is to make a fundamental mindset shift: from a focus on surviving to the pursuit of thriving.

In a world of perpetual disruption, a focus on surviving restricts one’s aspirations to accepting each new reality and working within it to accomplish what an organization has always done. A survival mindset views disruptions as point-in-time crises to be addressed with the expectation that the organization will revert to “business as usual” once the crises are over. Organizations with a survival mindset aim to deal with the reality that the world imposes; it’s about doing what’s necessary to succeed today.

The pursuit of thriving, in contrast, orients organizations toward welcoming each new reality and using it to reimagine norms and assumptions in ways that were not possible before. A thrive mindset recognizes that disruption is continuous rather than episodic, and embraces disruption as a catalyst to drive the organization forward. Organizations with a thrive mindset aim to create new realities that they choose for themselves; it’s about doing what’s possible, not just to succeed today, but also to dominate tomorrow.

It’s our view that the shift from survive to thrive depends on an organization becoming—and remaining—distinctly human at its core. This is not just a different way of thinking and acting. It’s a different way of being, one that approaches every question, every issue, and every decision from a human angle first. And it’s not just a good idea, but a mandate for growth. Today’s environment of extreme dynamism calls for a degree of courage, judgment, and flexibility that
only humans and teams led by humans can bring. A predictable world can be effectively dealt with by algorithms and equations. A messy world cannot, even in an age of increasingly intelligent machines.

Being distinctly human at the core is the essence of what it means to be a social enterprise. To combine revenue growth and profit-making with respect and support for its environment and stakeholder network, an organization needs to ground itself in a set of human principles: purpose and meaning, ethics and fairness, growth and passion, collaboration and relationships, and transparency and openness.¹ The human focus these principles bring to an organization is what puts the social enterprise in a position to thrive—to continually reinvent itself on the back of perpetual disruption.

**Preparedness stems from a “thrive” mindset**

In the 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends report, we set out to understand what characteristics can support organizations in the shift from survive to thrive. We started our exploration by asking a paradoxical question: How can organizations position themselves to thrive when they are focused on making the changes necessary to survive?

To find out, we surveyed 6,000 professionals across every industry, sector, and region of the globe, with 99 countries participating. 3,630 of this year’s respondents were senior executives. And, for the first time in the survey’s 11 years, business executives outnumbered HR executives, underscoring the importance they placed on human capital issues in the COVID-19 crisis. We asked them about their experiences since the pandemic began, seeking to understand how the crisis affected the way they viewed organizational preparedness, the challenges and opportunities they expected to face in future disruptions, and their plans for approaching work transformation strategies moving forward.

From this research, we learned that the organizations that were best prepared for the COVID-19 crisis were already adopting a “thrive” mindset of using disruption as an opportunity to propel the organization forward. The 15% of executives who said that their organization was “very prepared” for the pandemic were 2.2 times more likely to pivot investments for changing business demands. The “very prepared” group was also twice as likely to use technology to transform work. And most importantly, those who were “very prepared” were twice as likely to recognize the importance of organizing work to facilitate rapid decision-making and nearly three times more ready to leverage worker adaptability and mobility to navigate future disruptions.

While it may not be obvious, these last findings highlight that organizational preparedness hinges on the ability to bring human strengths such as decision-making and adaptability to the fore, not just during a point-in-time crisis, but continually. It means perpetually cultivating resilience, courage, judgment, and flexibility in order to navigate a turbulent reality. And it means taking the creativity unleashed by the need to survive a crisis—the creativity that is a hallmark of being human—and using it to reinvent the organization and its future. COVID-19 proved that people and organizations are capable of tremendous growth under the pressure of a crisis. The challenge for many will be to sustain that momentum to discover new ways to thrive in the long term, even as disruption constantly resets the path forward.

**Elevating the “human” in human capital**

In contrast to the idea that disruption can be a catalyst for reinvention, many human capital topics, and particularly those we’re exploring in more depth in
this report, have traditionally been approached through discrete programs and initiatives. As organizations make the shift from survive to thrive, these solutions need to become dynamic so that they can better support the human strengths that enable the broader organization to flourish. In the following chapters, we dive deeper into five topics we wrote about in our 2020 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends report to further explore how organizations can bring out the human strengths that make organizational thriving possible:

• **Integrating workers’ physical, mental, financial, and social health into the design of work itself rather than addressing well-being with adjacent programs.** Embedding well-being into work design helps workers experience well-being while they do their work, not just when they’re away from it. This is good for organizations as well as workers: Work that addresses the human need for quality of life can motivate people to give their best when on the job.

• **Capitalizing on worker agency and choice as the means to drive learning, adaptability, and impact.** Giving workers more control over what work they do and what learning experiences to pursue can increase their engagement because it allows them to focus their efforts on things that truly matter to them. Aligning workers’ passions and interests with organizational needs can improve an organization’s performance as well, again because workers are more motivated and engaged in their work and learning.

• **Creating teams and superteams that use technology to enhance natural human ways of working.** The thoughtful use of technology makes it possible to change the nature of work so that it makes the most of people’s distinctly human capabilities. From collaboration tools that enhance teaming and connection to artificial intelligence technologies that can guide people in making decisions, technologies integrated with humans on teams can enable those teams to pursue new and better outcomes at greater speed and scale.

• **Developing and acting on forward-looking insights using real-time data to harness workforce potential.** Understanding the workforce is the first step to aligning their behavior with organizational objectives in ways that recognize workers’ needs, develop their capabilities, and respect their values and those of the organization. Insights into what work is being done and how people are doing it can help organizations craft new ways of working that bring out the latent potential in every worker.

• **Shifting HR’s role from standardizing and enforcing workforce policies to a new responsibility of re-architecting work across the enterprise.** For an organization to truly become human at its core, HR must take the lead in embedding human considerations into every aspect of work, collaborating with business and other functional leaders to reimagine the what, why, who, and how of work across the entire organization.

Our May 2020 special report challenged organizations to leverage the COVID-19 pandemic as an opportunity to embrace possibility: to explore how they could draw energy from the pandemic’s chaos and disruption and return to work by designing the future of work.\(^2\) Seven months later, that challenge is even more relevant as we learn more about how the focus on the human dimension of work is guiding organizations as they forge a path forward. Organizations that use disruption as an opportunity to embody human qualities will enable them to thrive through disruption. Organizations that do not will quickly fall behind.
THE 2021 GLOBAL HUMAN CAPITAL TRENDS

In this report, we explore the journey from survive to thrive through the lens of five of our 2020 Global Human Capital Trends:

**Designing work for well-being: The end of work/life balance**
- The Trend: Organizations are taking well-being beyond work/life balance by starting to design well-being into work—and life—itself.
- Surviving: Supporting well-being through programs adjacent to work.
- Thriving: Integrating well-being into work through thoughtful work design.

**Beyond reskilling: Unleashing worker potential**
- The Trend: Organizations need a workforce development approach that considers both the dynamic nature of work and the equally dynamic potential of workers to reinvent themselves.
- Surviving: Pushing training to workers from the top down, assuming the organization knows best what skills workers need.
- Thriving: Empowering workers with agency and choice over what work they do, unleashing their potential by allowing them to apply their interests and passions to organizational needs.

**Superteams: Where work happens**
- The Trend: COVID-19 has taught organizations that teams are even more important to thriving amid constant disruption than they might have thought before.
- Surviving: Using technology as a tool to make teams more efficient.
- Thriving: Integrating humans and technology into superteams that use their complementary capabilities to re-architect work in more human ways.

**Governing workforce strategies: Setting new directions for work and the workforce**
- The Trend: Organizations are looking for forward-facing insights about their workforce that can help them quickly pivot and set new directions in the face of uncertainty.
- Surviving: Using metrics and measurements that describe the workforce's current state.
- Thriving: Accessing and acting on real-time workforce insights that can support better, faster decisions based on an understanding of what the workforce is capable of in the future.

**A memo to HR: Accelerating the shift to re-architecting work**
- The Trend: Thanks to their handling of COVID-19’s challenges, HR organizations have earned the right to expand HR’s remit to re-architecting work throughout the enterprise.
- Surviving: Having a functional mindset that focuses on optimizing and redesigning HR processes to manage the workforce.
- Thriving: Embracing an enterprise mindset that prioritizes re-architecting work to capitalize on unique human strengths.
Appendix: Survey demographics

FIGURE 1
Respondents by region

North America and South America: 38%
Asia-Pacific: 17%
Europe, Middle East, and Africa: 45%

Note: n=6,000.

FIGURE 2
Respondents by industry

- Consumer: 19%
- Professional services: 15%
- Financial services: 15%
- Technology, media, and telecommunications: 12%
- Other: 12%
- Energy, resources, and industrials: 9%
- Life sciences and health care: 7%
- Government and public services: 6%
- Cross-industry: 4%

Notes: n=6,000; figures do not sum to 100% due to rounding.
Note: n=6,000.

FIGURE 3
Respondents by level

Individual contributors: 18%
Managers: 21%
Executive: 61%

Executive:
- 25% Vice presidents and directors
- 15% Senior managers
- 14% C-suite
- 7% Board members

Note: n=6,000.

FIGURE 4
Respondents by function

Business: 56%
HR: 44%

Note: n=6,000.
DIVING DEEPER
Five workforce trends to watch in 2021
Designing work for well-being

The end of work/life balance

CO-AUTHORED BY JEN FISHER, DELOITTE US CHIEF WELL-BEING OFFICER

WHILE EXECUTIVES HAVE LONG RECOGNIZED THAT WELL-BEING IS IMPORTANT, THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC BROUGHT HOME HOW SIGNIFICANT IT REALLY IS. ORGANIZATIONS SUDDENLY FOUND THEMSELVES CALLED UPON TO PRIORITIZE WORKERS’ PHYSICAL AND MENTAL WELL-BEING AS A MATTER OF SURVIVAL, AS PROTECTING THEIR HEALTH AND ALLEVIATING THEIR STRESS BECAME CRITICAL TO OPERATIONS. WORK AND LIFE, HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELL-BEING BECAME INSEPARABLE. RECOGNIZING THE INEXTRICABLE LINK AMONG OUR WELL-BEING, OUR WORK, AND OUR LIVES HAS LED MORE ORGANIZATIONS TO THINK DEEPLY ABOUT WAYS THEY CAN DESIGN WELL-BEING INTO WORK ITSELF SO THAT BOTH WORKERS AND THE ORGANIZATION CAN THRIVE MOVING FORWARD.

Shifting realities

Well-being was rising on the organizational agenda even before the COVID-19 pandemic. In fact, well-being was the top-ranked trend for importance in our 2020 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends study, with 80% of our nearly 9,000 survey respondents identifying it as important or very important to their organization’s success. Against that backdrop, when COVID-19 took hold, the crisis cast new light on the importance of well-being and made us acutely aware of the
consequences when well-being is put at risk. Organizations took quick action to redirect resources towards making work safe and keeping workers healthy: moving workers into remote work arrangements, implementing testing and contact tracing strategies for onsite workers, and establishing new programs for emergency medical leave, childcare and eldercare support, and physical, mental, and financial health.

As the pandemic went on, well-being remained paramount in organizational leaders’ minds. Conversations about the toll of social isolation and economic recession on workers’ mental and emotional health entered the public dialogue, and keeping workers physically healthy and safe continued to be a top priority. Some organizations took extraordinary measures to safeguard worker well-being: Delta Air Lines, for example, allowed 5,000 workers at higher risk for COVID-19 to stay at home during the pandemic, with full pay and medical benefits.1

In August 2020, Jen Fisher, Deloitte US’s chief well-being officer, posted a LinkedIn message that asked leaders to share the strategies and practices they were piloting to influence well-being in their organizations. The post, which garnered more than 500 reactions and 200 comments in a few days, revealed an expanding organizational focus on well-being. Leaders of organizations large and small said that they were tailoring their well-being efforts to various worker segments’ needs instead of taking a one-size-fits-all approach; finding new ways to allow workers to disconnect and recharge organization-wide; and focusing on equipping workers with the mental, emotional, and social skills needed to not just cope, but adapt and thrive.

What was most exciting to us in the reactions to Fisher’s post, however, were the examples of organizations designing well-being into work itself. We heard from organizations that were complementing well-being programs adjacent to work with efforts to embed well-being into the work. Some organizations were focusing on building digital wellness and productivity, while others were managing capacity at both the individual and team levels, and still others were encouraging job crafting—giving individuals autonomy to make meaningful decisions about what and how they contribute to the organization. One example of such actions is Starbucks’ approach to designing its partners’ (baristas’) work: A partner can expect their work schedule to be posted two weeks in advance, and if a partner has more than an hour-long commute, Starbucks works to transfer them to a closer store.2

Our 2021 perspective

OUR HYPOTHESIS

COVID-19 has reminded us of the dual imperatives of worker well-being and work transformation, but executives are still missing the importance of connecting the two. Organizations that integrate well-being into the design of work at the individual, team, and organizational levels will build a sustainable future where workers can feel and perform at their best.

Seven in 10 executives responding to the 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey told us that their organization’s shift to remote work had a positive impact on well-being. However, the sustainability of remote ways of working continues to come into question as many parts of the world faced a second wave of COVID-19–related lockdowns.

The importance of work design in supporting remote work arrangements going forward has come to the fore at many organizations. When we asked surveyed executives what factors were most important to sustaining remote work, they
overwhelmingly chose options related to the design of work (figure 1). Programs adjacent to work, such as enhanced corporate benefits and new well-being resources, fell to the back of the list as executives prioritized actions such as providing digital collaboration platforms, enabling worker choice, and changing scheduling and meeting norms, all of which directly embed well-being into the way work gets done.

That said, we also found a continuing disconnect between employers and workers when it comes to prioritizing well-being in work transformation efforts. We asked both senior business and HR executives and individual workers to answer the same question: “What are the most important outcomes you hope to achieve in your work transformation efforts in the next one to three years?” Workers told us that the top three objectives of work transformation should be improving quality, increasing innovation, and improving worker well-being.

### FIGURE 1
The top factors in making remote work sustainable were related to work design
What are the most important factors in making remote/virtual work sustainable?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introducing digital collaboration platforms</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowing for personal choice in determining how work gets done</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing new scheduling and meeting norms</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing in team leader training</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing home access to the internet and needed technologies</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconfiguring the physical workspace at home</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing enhanced corporate benefits (e.g., family care support and resources)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering new well-being resources</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n=3,630 (executives).

Workers told us that the top three objectives of work transformation should be improving quality, increasing innovation, and improving worker well-being.
FIGURE 2

Workers prioritize transforming work for well-being more highly than executives

What are the most important outcomes you hope to achieve in your work transformation efforts in the next one to three years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Senior executives</th>
<th>Individual workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Improving the customer experience</td>
<td>Improving quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increasing innovation</td>
<td>Increasing innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Reducing cost</td>
<td>Improving worker well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Improving quality</td>
<td>Improving the customer experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Doing new work</td>
<td>Doing new work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Increasing capacity</td>
<td>Reducing cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Growing market share</td>
<td>Increasing capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Improving worker well-being</td>
<td>Growing market share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Increasing social impact</td>
<td>Increasing social impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n=4,738 (3,630 executives + 1,108 individual contributors).

improving quality, increasing innovation, and improving worker well-being (figure 2). But improving well-being was the second-to-last outcome identified by executives, with only “increasing social impact” receiving fewer votes. In a world where organizations are increasingly expected to deliver impact beyond shareholders to all stakeholders, executives who deprioritize well-being as a goal of work transformation are missing a huge opportunity.

HR executives were slightly more deliberate than non-HR executives about focusing on well-being as an important outcome of work transformation, with 20% of HR executives selecting it as a priority compared to 15% of non-HR executives. But designing well-being into work cannot be done by HR alone. The incorporation of well-being into work must be done symphonically, championed by leaders at every level and in every function if it is to make a meaningful difference.

One especially important stakeholder for HR to involve is the organization’s technology leader. Technology and work today are inextricably intertwined, with humans and machines partnering in ways previously unimaginable to accelerate work outputs and achieve new outcomes. As technology becomes ingrained in every aspect of how people work, technology leaders will face a growing responsibility to work with HR and the business to ensure that those technologies, and the workflows and processes that complement them, are designed and executed in a way that promotes worker well-being.3
For example, the “right to disconnect” concept, which prompted a 2017 French law limiting the extent to which workers can be required to answer phone calls and emails during nonwork hours, recognizes that 24/7 access to emails and texts encourages an expectation of being “always on” that can compromise worker well-being. An innovative example of how technology can help counteract this problem is Daimler AG’s optional email functionality, “Mail on Holiday,” that automatically deletes incoming messages while people are taking time off. During that time, the system sends autoreplies that suggest alternative people to contact or prompt the sender to get back in touch when the worker returns.

Technology leaders can take the imperative to design enabling technologies for well-being one step further by introducing new technologies to boost workers’ health, performance, and quality of life. Such technologies could include “emo tech” to help people develop self-awareness and emotional regulation; “collaboration, presence, and trust tech” to help people build deeper group connections; and “well tech” that helps people maintain and optimize health and cognition to support general well-being. Technologies such as these can improve well-being by allowing workers to better eliminate distractions, ease anxieties, connect with others, build presence and trust, and learn faster.

Emerging priorities

Organizations looking to build well-being into work should consider actions, policies, and mandates at three levels—individual, team, and organizational:

- **Individual**: Workers should take the initiative in setting their own boundaries and making their well-being needs understood. They should influence the prioritization and design of well-being by participating in the development of flexible and responsive policies and practices that balance individual needs with those of the team and the organization.

- **Team**: The power of teams comes from their ability to connect people with each other to unleash their collective capabilities. Tapping into those capabilities requires team members to understand and honor each individual’s well-being needs to create an environment in which the team can perform at its best.

- **Organizational**: Leaders have a responsibility not only to invest in and promote well-being, but also to commit to it by designing well-being into work and making well-being a consideration as important as any other factor that affects the bottom line.

By reinforcing their efforts across all three levels, organizations can harness well-being to drive improved outcomes in areas such as customer satisfaction, organizational brand and reputation, innovation, and adaptability.

Organizations should also take into account the environments in which they’re designing work, as work increasingly crosses cultures, geographies, functions, and physical and virtual workspaces. The suggestions below offer a starting point for leaders to think through what changes they can make in five environments across the three levels:

- **Cultural**: Building well-being into social behaviors and norms
- **Relational**: Fostering well-being in relationships among colleagues
- **Operational**: Including well-being in management policies, processes, and programs
- **Physical**: Designing the physical workspace to facilitate well-being
- **Virtual**: Designing new technologies and virtual workspaces for well-being
There are a variety of actions organizations can take to integrate well-being into work (figure 3).

The design of well-being into work is a practice that must be developed, strengthened, and flexed over time to be effective. As work itself changes at a rapid pace, the ways that an organization supports individual and team well-being must adapt in tandem. It’s no longer about achieving work/life balance; the pandemic has shown us that well-being is not about balancing work with life, but integrating them. When an organization is able to successfully design well-being into work, well-being becomes indistinguishable from work itself, embedded across all organizational levels and environments to not only drive and sustain human performance, but also human potential.
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Beyond reskilling
Unleashing workforce potential

DURING COVID-19, LEADERS CALLED UPON WORKERS TO EXPAND THEIR ROLES TO WHATEVER NEEDED TO BE DONE—AND WORKERS ROSE TO THE CHALLENGE, IDENTIFYING CRITICAL NEEDS AND DEPLOYING THEIR CAPABILITIES AGAINST THEM FROM THE BOTTOM UP. THE GROWING PREVALENCE OF WORKER AGENCY AND CHOICE DURING THE PANDEMIC SHOWED THAT, WHEN GIVEN THE CHANCE TO ALIGN THEIR INTERESTS AND PASSIONS WITH ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS, WORKERS CAN FULFILL THEIR POTENTIAL IN WAYS THAT LEADERS MAY NEVER HAVE KNOWN THEY COULD, POSITIONING THE ORGANIZATION TO THRIVE IN THE LONG TERM.

Shifting realities

Last year, we called on organizations to employ a workforce development approach that considers both the dynamic nature of jobs and the equally dynamic potential of workers to reinvent themselves. Even before COVID-19, it was clear that workforce development approaches that focused too narrowly on skills would not help organizations, workers, and leaders build the resilience required to navigate perpetual change. Then, organizations were faced with a pandemic that accentuated the scale of the impact disruption can have on organizations and the workforce. During the COVID-19 crisis, organizations did not have time to rewrite job descriptions or meticulously map skills requirements; they were forced to make real-time decisions and to redeploy workers to the areas where they were needed the most, and where they had the capabilities, interest, and passion to contribute. In short, 2020 has helped us understand the importance of worker potential and choice.
As author Natalie Nixon puts it, “The opposite of reactive might not be ‘proactive’ but instead ‘creative.’”7 We are seeing an explosion of creativity and the power of worker potential during the COVID-19 pandemic. Automotive workers used 3D scanners and computer simulations to retool their assembly lines to manufacture ventilators for COVID-19 patients.8 Beverage companies partnered with government organizations to clear administrative hurdles in order to rapidly produce and distribute hand sanitizer.9 And clothing manufacturers adapted production lines to make much needed surgical garments.10

In the months of extended crisis recovery, executives have reflected on the challenging road ahead as they attempt to prepare their businesses and ecosystems for an era of continuous disruption. That preparedness depends on workforce potential. In the 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey, executives identified “the ability of their people to adapt, reskill, and assume new roles” as the top-ranked item to navigate future disruptions, with 72% selecting it as the most important or second most important factor. Further, 41% of executives said that building workforce capability through upskilling, reskilling, and mobility is one of the most important actions they are taking to transform work. Yet only 17% say their workers are very ready to adapt, reskill, and assume new roles. Workers themselves recognize the imperative to change as well, with 60% of the 10,000 workers in this year’s Voice of the European Workforce study identifying “capacity to adapt” as the most relevant skill they will need to thrive in the labor market.11

Our 2021 perspective

In our view, the most important way that organizations can unleash workers’ potential is to empower them with agency and choice over what they do. We’ve lived in a world where we assumed organizations knew best what skills workers needed to bring to the table. But the pandemic taught us that potential comes to fuller fruition when workers are allowed to take more initiative. Workforce potential is not about what workers were recruited to do, or what they are certified to do, or even what organizations or leaders want them to do next. It’s about giving workers more freedom to choose how they can best help tackle critical business problems as organizations and ecosystems evolve.

One way to give workers more agency and choice in what they do is through “opportunity—or talent—marketplaces.” These marketplaces are platforms that make visible and communicate to workers defined opportunities for professional development, training, mentorship, project participation, networking, promotion, diversity, and inclusion.12 They’re designed to provide workers with choice by helping them match their interests, passions, and capabilities against current and future business and project demands. Such “passion projects” give workers new development experiences and opportunities to learn in the flow of work, further enhancing the skills they bring to the organization.

Opportunity marketplaces benefit organizations in several ways. By giving workers the chance to volunteer for work they prefer and value, they bring to light valuable information about workers’ interests, passions, and capabilities that may otherwise remain hidden. This, in turn, allows the organization to more quickly identify and redeploy workers against critical business priorities. At the
same time, workers who are able to do what matters to them become more motivated and more engaged.

Capturing the insights that worker choice can help uncover requires a shift in frame from looking for gaps to sensing for evolving patterns and possibilities. To that end, a variety of vendors are employing new approaches to skills graphs and skills engines that break previous, limited understandings of skills adjacencies. Vendors from across a converging set of workforce technology domains, such as Gloat, Degreed, Eightfold, Faethm, Ibbaka, ProFinda, and Pymetrics, are focused less on a top-down inventorying of skills and more on helping organizations reimagine the relationships between skills, positions, teams, and industries to seize opportunities presented by the future of work and help workers reach their potential.

Payments technology company Mastercard exemplifies how a deeper understanding of worker potential can help inform workforce planning and development efforts. Following a period of rapid and extensive growth, Mastercard business and HR leaders realized that the organization needed a clear understanding of its workforce’s skills and capabilities, especially in light of technology-driven change. To clarify how roles and skills were changing as technology evolves, the organization invested in a forward-looking analytics platform, Faethm, that uses artificial intelligence (AI) to model emerging technologies’ impact on any economy, industry, organization, or job. During the pandemic, this platform has been key in guiding decisions on work flexibility ranges. In the future, the organization plans to use the insights from this tool to guide day-to-day learning investments and, ultimately, support worker career progression. This analytics-driven approach has moved Mastercard beyond the traditional approach of identifying employee profiles from the top down and matching them with training needs. Instead, the technology infers employee profiles from the bottom up by analyzing multiple large-scale data sets from many systems and sources (such as performance management, job descriptions, learning management systems, and career conversations). This allows Mastercard to more accurately understand its workers’ skills to identify organization-wide strengths and development areas.

The deeper understanding of workers resulting from worker choice can help organizations break free of the constraints of traditional workforce planning models. Historically, workforce planning has relied on competency frameworks, static job descriptions, and linear career paths to define and organize work and the workforce. Efforts to prepare for the future have largely taken the form of a supply chain–inspired focus on pipelines for critical roles, with conversations about hot skills, skills gaps, and skills adjacencies dominating conversations around talent. But those conversations often lose sight of the latent potential within the workforce—and the value they can create when their potential is understood and harnessed. For instance, during the pandemic, Scandinavian Airlines recognized that its cabin staff members could be well suited for roles in
health care due to their basic medical training and experience dealing with people in difficult situations. The organization created a program to rapidly retrain laid-off cabin staff as assistant nurses to meet rising health care staff needs during COVID-19. To date, this program has helped place more than 300 cabin attendants and people with equivalent experience from other sectors in Sweden’s health care system, fulfilling an important social need.  

Giving workers a voice in what they do also helps organizations act more dynamically and in real time. Top-down approaches based on identifying business needs and then finding or developing the skills to put against them will always be slower than approaches that allow workers to self-select based on their interests and abilities. The challenge here is to put guardrails in place that channel workers’ interests and abilities toward the good of the organization, allowing choice not for its own sake but because what is chosen helps the organization grow and thrive. Organizations that figure this out can benefit from the increased agility and resilience to change that are critical to navigating constant disruption.

Emerging priorities

The success of work transformation depends on an organization’s ability to unlock human potential to define and deliver new outcomes. Organizations that want to unlock human potential should consider actions in the following areas:

SHIFT THE SUPPLY AND DEMAND EQUATION
- Build talent marketplaces that actively address both sides of the workforce supply and demand equation. Marketplaces can expose business and project needs to workers and can expose workforce skills and capabilities to the organization.
- Design roles to assume ongoing reinvention and include excess capacity earmarked for it. Cultivate worker passions to solve unseen and future problems. Reward workers who identify critical gaps and reinvent themselves to fill them. New vendors such as Learn In can help provide time, not just money, allowing workers to engage in lifelong learning while limiting the typical opportunity costs.

CENTER WORKFORCE PLANNING ON POTENTIAL
- Shift workforce planning approaches away from a reliance on top-down mandates, providing more agency to workers themselves. Empower workers to reimagine what, how, and where work gets done.
- Consider AI-enabled technologies that can help make sense of unstructured data from inside and outside the organization and surface latent patterns such as the inferred presence of one skill based on the presence of others. It’s important to ensure that such new AI tools are integrated into the strategy and that the implications of their deployment are understood and accepted by all stakeholders. They will struggle to get traction if their value is not acknowledged and demonstrated.

DRIVE TOWARD REAL-TIME, DYNAMIC ACTION
- Gather and act on workforce data that provides a real-time view of workers’ skills across the entire talent ecosystem. Ask forward-looking questions about workers’ desired future directions rather than tracking prescriptive metrics such as hours spent in training or credentials earned, and use the answers to encourage workers to make learning choices that benefit both themselves and the organization.
- Remember that teams are becoming the driving unit of organizational performance. Teams will be able to learn and adapt faster than individual workers alone, since teams of motivated
individuals will challenge each other to come up with better, more creative ideas.¹⁴

The year 2020 witnessed an amazing display of workforce adaptability. Extraordinary circumstances and challenges uncovered the potential of workers and teams when confronted with new, changing, and dramatic business and organizational problems and priorities. We saw that the workforce can adapt more dramatically than many would have expected when faced with new challenges. Going forward, the power of agency and choice, enabled by opportunity and talent marketplaces, can quickly connect changing work priorities with workers’ skills, experiences, and—importantly—their interests. 2020 also highlighted how little organizations actually know about their workforces—their skills and capabilities now and the capacity for ongoing reinvention. The challenge for organizations now is to develop strategies and programs for workforce development and deployment as dynamic and adaptable as the business problems we are trying to solve.
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During the COVID-19 pandemic, organizations doubled down on teams and teaming as a survival strategy to enable adaptability and speed. Leaders now have the opportunity to use what they have learned to construct “superteams” that pair people with technology to re-architect work in more human ways. By amplifying humans’ contributions to new and better outcomes, superteams can play an integral part in an organization’s ability to grow and thrive.

Shifting realities

In early 2020, the escalating COVID-19 pandemic forced organizational leaders to quickly reset business and workforce priorities. The pandemic’s scale and severity forced organizations to challenge their views about what work was essential to deliver to their customers, shareholders, and stakeholders during a prolonged period of heightened uncertainty. To rapidly reorient their goals and operations, we saw organizations turn to teams and teaming as the go-to unit for organizational performance. For example, Ford formed special teams and set up new production lines in its manufacturing facilities to shift from making hybrid car batteries to tens of thousands of ventilators. Teams, newly forming, growing, and reconfiguring, were supercharging organizations’ ability to pivot and get work done amid turbulent and demanding conditions.

Teaming became a life raft for talent and organizational strategies during COVID-19 because teams are built for adaptability rather than predictability and stability. Teams can learn and adapt faster than individual workers alone, since teams of motivated individuals will challenge each other to come up with better, more creative ideas. As organizations shift from a focus on efficiency to
FIGURE 4

Both human capability and technological capability are critical to transforming work

What are the most important actions you are taking or will take to transform work?

- Building an organizational culture that celebrates growth, adaptability, and resilience (45%)
- Building workforce capability through upskilling, reskilling, and mobility (41%)
- Implementing new technologies (35%)
- Establishing new work practices, policies, and incentives (31%)
- Restructuring the organization to support new work outcomes (22%)
- Building portfolios of humans and machines working together (16%)

Note: n=3,630 (executives).

As the world emerges from the pandemic, organizations have an opportunity to use what they have learned to multiply the value of teams even further. The next frontier in teaming is superteams: combinations of people and technology leveraging their complementary capabilities to pursue outcomes at a speed and scale not otherwise possible.

Superteams have yet to take hold as a widespread organizational strategy, in part because many organizations still tend to view technology as a tool and enabler rather than as a team member and collaborator. Most respondents to our 2020 Global Human Capital Trends survey, for example, said they view artificial intelligence (AI) mainly as an automation tool—a substitute for manual labor—rather than a way to augment or collaborate with human capabilities. However, this view may be slowly starting to change. Executives responding to the 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey recognized that the use of technology and people is not an “either-or” choice but a “both-and” partnership.

The top three factors executives identified as important in transforming work were organizational culture, workforce capability, and technology (figure 4)—factors that must all work together for an organization to envision and assemble effective superteams.

AI can be especially important to a superteam’s ability to create new value. In organizational psychology, what Scott Page calls a “diversity bonus” results from forming teams composed of different kinds of thinkers, meaning that heterogeneous teams outperform homogenous ones at solving problems, making predictions, and developing solutions. Research shows that organizations with above-average diversity produced a greater proportion of revenue from innovation (45% of total) than those with below-average diversity (26%), which translated into stronger overall financial performance. With AI bringing its own style of “thinking” to a team, the
mix of human and machine intelligence can yield diversity bonuses that exceed those produced by teams composed of only humans, however diverse. 

That said, sometimes adding technology may not be the right answer, and doubling down on human capabilities may be a better approach. For example, Walmart has ended its program to use robots to check the inventory on store shelves in favor of using human workers instead. Even as demand ballooned during the pandemic, the organization found that managing its on-shelf products could be done just as simply and cost-effectively by its people as by the robots.

Our 2021 perspective

Our Hypothesis

Superteams can give organizations the opportunity to re-architect work in more human ways, leveraging technology to elevate teams’ ability to learn, create, and perform in new ways to achieve better outcomes.

The big payoff from superteams is not just that they can get work done faster and cheaper. Rather, their greatest value lies in their potential to re-architect work, using technology to change the nature of work so that it makes the most of people’s distinctly human capabilities. Doing this goes beyond considerations of user experience and human-centered design. And as the Walmart story shows, it’s not just a matter of using technology to perform parts of the work. It means deliberately using a superteam’s complementary human and technological strengths to design work so that it enhances humans’ natural ways of working. The following three examples highlight that when technology is combined with humans in superteams, it can enable distinctly more human ways of working that lead to distinctly better outcomes.

Often, people do their best work when they work in teams. The collaboration tools that made remote and virtual work possible during COVID-19 also prompted some organizations to rethink how those technologies could be used to team far more effectively across organizational and ecosystem boundaries. For instance, in its response to COVID-19, AstraZeneca, one of the world’s leading pharmaceutical companies, pulled together hundreds of scientists from across therapeutic areas—respiratory, cardiology, and oncology, among others—and from the University of Oxford to work together to develop a vaccine and other therapeutics. Additionally, AstraZeneca played a critical role in setting up the testing laboratories for the national testing program within the United Kingdom, enlisting scientists from across its organization and from its partnerships with the University of Cambridge Laboratories and GlaxoSmithKline. The organization used collaboration technologies to enable real-time partnership and data-sharing that increased the speed and level of the teamwork necessary for rapid progress.

Technology can also enhance people’s natural powers of judgment. For instance, the insurance industry is experimenting with AI and predictive modeling to evolve the traditional underwriter role. As application processing moves closer to the point of sale, AI can provide data-driven suggestions to increase underwriters’ ability to make informed decisions about risk. These “exponential underwriters” don’t have to become tech experts or data scientists to use and benefit from the technology. They only need to understand how and when to leverage AI-assisted solutions to accomplish the work more effectively, fulfilling their role in the human-machine collaboration.

Finally, technology can improve people’s uniquely human ability to create new knowledge. One
example comes from Remesh, a company that helps to facilitate live, online focus groups at scale using an AI-enabled platform. Remesh uses AI to analyze and organize responses in real time and capture insights arising from the answers. Not only does this deliver insights faster, but it also improves the quality of the insights by surfacing views that might otherwise not emerge. The platform prompts participants to vote on which responses from other anonymous participants they agree with the most. Its algorithms then automatically calculate and rank responses based on group popularity, allowing for a clear view into participants’ ideas unclouded by factors such as bias and individual personality differences.

Emerging priorities

The 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey showed that executives are shifting their focus away from work optimization and redesign toward work reimagination, with 61% saying that they would focus on reimaging work going forward as opposed to 29% before the pandemic. But to move from optimization and redesign to reimagination, organizations must also change the way they’re leveraging technology in work. Work optimization and work redesign focus on achieving the same work outputs more efficiently, so they largely depend on using technology to substitute or augment human work. Work reimagination, on the other hand, uses technology to transform the nature of work in ways that achieve new outcomes and make possible new aspirations.

To create an environment where superteams flourish, executives should consider the following:

• Set audacious goals. Stop focusing on how to improve existing processes and outputs and instead focus on defining new aspirations and outcomes.

• Don’t stop with envisioning new ways to achieve those outcomes. Re-architect the work to put reimagining into action.

• Avoid the instinct to use technologies only as an enabler for the work you already do. Instead, take a broader view of technology’s transformative potential to elevate the impact it can have on work.

• Use technology to design work in ways that allow humans to perform at their best: working
collaboratively in teams, breaking down silos to work across functions and businesses, creating knowledge, learning in the flow of work, and personalizing and humanizing the work experience.

- Make the creation of superteams a cross-organizational imperative, leveraging the best thinking from HR, IT, and the business.

Superteams are most powerful when organizations use technology to empower teams in a way that makes work better for humans and makes humans better at work. They hold the promise of helping organizations bring human and technological capabilities together to re-architect work and deliver new value to all stakeholders. When given the right environment to thrive, superteams of humans and technology together can unlock organizational potential and achieve greater results together than either humans or machines could achieve on their own.
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Governing workforce strategies
Setting new directions for work and the workforce

COVID-19 was a rude awakening that governing workforce strategies using retrospective metrics and measurements describing the workforce’s current state severely limits an organization’s ability to survive disruption, let alone thrive in it. Asking and answering different questions—questions that push leaders to constantly challenge their approaches to work and the workforce—can help organizations meet constant change with the confidence that comes from thinking and looking ahead.

Shifting realities

The need for organizations to better understand their workforce is under urgent pressure from unprecedented, once-in-a-lifetime health, economic, and social challenges. The COVID-19 pandemic is raising critical health issues around employee well-being and safety, as well as remote work and alternate workforce arrangements. The pandemic’s economic fallout is forcing employers to make tough decisions about staffing levels, worker and team redeployment, and worker retention. And a dramatically intensified focus on social and racial injustice in the United States—and its widening ripple effect—is drawing significant attention to companies’ diversity, equity, and inclusion (DE&I) efforts and results.

These challenges have exacerbated an employer-workforce information gap that our research identified more than a year ago. Ninety-seven percent of respondents to our 2020 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey stated that they need additional information on some aspect of their
workforce. Only 11% of organizations said they were able to produce information on their workforce in real time—a statistic that was staggering even before organizations were forced to make a series of immediate pandemic-driven decisions about their workforce.

In our 2020 special report, *Returning to work in the future of work: Embracing purpose, potential, perspective, and possibility during COVID-19*, we explained how the pandemic has highlighted and accelerated “the need for and ease of access to insightful and future-oriented workforce data.”

We encouraged organizations to ask different questions and measure and report the answers in real time in order to shed light on important workforce issues, have discussions about them, and move to action. These forward-looking insights, not backward-looking, stale data, can help organizations understand how to achieve new outcomes by harnessing workforce potential and transforming work.

For instance, understanding workers’ immediate concerns and preferences can be an invaluable guide to when and how to bring them safely back to work during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Financial services provider Lincoln Financial took a multidimensional approach to gaining these insights, using employee surveys and advanced analytics to collect information that could help it effectively design its return-to-work strategy. The surveys allowed leaders to identify a range of worker personas reflecting workers’ safety concerns, flexibility desires, and their individual effectiveness in a remote environment. Insights into the roles most affected by virtual work highlighted the potential impacts to productivity, collaboration, and relationship-building. Using these workforce sentiment analyses, Lincoln Financial’s leaders were able to design future ways of working to boost productivity and engagement while maintaining an all-important focus on workforce safety and mental wellness.

Our 2021 perspective

**OUR HYPOTHESIS**

We’re entering a world in which it’s becoming paramount that organizations shift from using workforce insights to improve old patterns of work to using it to set new directions.

Results from the 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey showed that COVID-19 has prompted many organizations to shift their approach to preparedness from planning for likely, incremental events to anticipating unlikely, high-impact events and considering multiple possible scenarios. Seventeen percent of executives said that their organizations would focus on unlikely, high-impact events moving forward, as opposed to 6% before the pandemic. And 47% said that their organizations planned to focus on multiple scenarios in the future, up from 23% before the pandemic.

To effectively deal with multiple possible futures and unlikely events, organizations need to be able to quickly pivot and set new directions—which depends, in large part, on the ability to access and act on real-time workforce insights. The new element here is the use of workforce strategies to plan for uncertainty. A more dynamic, action-oriented approach to understanding the workforce can help leaders make better, faster decisions based on up-to-the-minute information on what their workforce is capable of.

Enabling such a dynamic approach requires tying workforce data to both economic value and organizational values, using it to gain insights on how to grow and thrive in the marketplace as well as on how to align worker and organizational behavior with an organization’s principles. It means mining workforce data for insights that are
useful not only to leaders and workers internally, but also to the external community. It involves sharing workforce data and the insights it supports with leaders, workers, and the community in order to drive both direction and accountability. And it means having up-to-date insights always at your fingertips, because in a constantly changing environment, an organization must constantly be setting new directions as well.

To achieve a more dynamic workforce strategy, organizations across countries are exploring new approaches to gaining deeper workforce insights. While these approaches vary to accommodate different national laws, regulations, and norms, the common thread is a movement toward greater transparency and a stronger call to action.

One powerful example of using workforce insights to prompt movement toward new outcomes is the DE&I advances made by Edison International and its largest subsidiary, Southern California Edison (SCE). SCE not only publishes its DE&I data on its external website but has undertaken initiatives to understand and act on this data at a deeper level. Its efforts include a series of listening tours beginning with Black employees in partnership with Networkers, SCE’s business resource group (BRG) dedicated to advancing inclusion for Black employees, to better understand their experiences and an in-depth gender-focused study on pay in partnership with SCE’s Women’s Roundtable BRG. In 2020, SCE demonstrated its commitment to DE&I by publicly sharing information on representation by race and gender across pay, access, and employee sentiment; the status of its suppliers; and its community investment. Finally, the organization has announced a series of actions to advance social and economic equity in SCE communities, with an initial increased commitment to the Black community. As SCE’s VP, People, Culture & Strategy explained, “We’ve done a lot of really good work not only just releasing the data transparently but acting on it. We have commitments, both internally and externally, that we’ve made public.”

Emerging priorities

In our 2020 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends report, we compiled a list of key questions that leaders should be asking to gain real-time insights about workforce productivity, their well-being, and their priorities, as well as DE&I metrics. This year, we explore three themes—worker potential, talent ecosystems, and translating organizational values into action—that have emerged from the past year’s events to see how answering these questions can help organizations set new work and workforce directions for the future.

CAPITALIZING ON WORKER POTENTIAL

In 2020, organizations experienced an unprecedented need to redeploy skills and rethink work outputs as they struggled to deal with the pandemic and its economic fallout. COVID-19 was a forcing mechanism for workers and leaders to consider how to apply their workforce in new ways to address new needs. Employers called on workers to extend their remit to all necessary tasks, whether or not those tasks fell within their preexisting roles. In tandem, jobs and roles underwent a de facto expansion to reflect what workers were actually doing. And workers proved that they were capable of reaching far beyond their job descriptions when their potential to do so was tapped.

Along the way, organizations learned that their definitions of what work needs to be done, who needs to do it, and how to motivate people to achieve their greatest potential can be much more fluid than they had previously supposed. If leaders take this lesson to heart, we may be headed toward an environment where organizations reevaluate the nature of work and jobs, not just when forced to by a crisis, but on an ongoing basis to anticipate
rapid environmental shifts. Organizations will need to be vigilant about capturing employees’ potential in a data-driven way so that they know what capabilities the organization can draw upon at a moment’s notice.

Meanwhile, adroit leadership will become even more important at all organizational levels, of individual groups and teams as well as in the C-suite. Sixty percent of the executives in the 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey said that leadership was important to prepare for unknown futures—the top-ranked priority for supporting preparedness. And while much of leadership has historically been about setting direction and ensuring compliance, now effective leadership is shifting to preparing for the unpredictable by coaching, teaming, and fostering workers’ ability to learn and adapt. Team leaders are the ones best placed to recognize potential within their teams; they are the people on the ground who can identify who has the capabilities to do what and how those capabilities could be best applied. This means that in addition to capturing workers’ potential for growth and adaptability, organizations should strive to capture leaders’ ability to cultivate potential as well.

TAPPING INTO THE ENTIRE TALENT ECOSYSTEM

As many organizations were discovering they could expand responsibilities and roles, many also realized that a clearer view of—and greater access to—their entire talent ecosystem could make these efforts far more effective. The more precisely an organization knows where to find the capabilities to do what’s needed, and the better able it is to access those capabilities, the more effectively it can deploy and redeploy people to plug operational gaps. This need is especially evident at organizations that are drawing heavily on alternative workers, whether because they are growing quickly and need extra workers to support their growth, or because they needed to reduce on-balance-sheet headcount and are using alternative workers as a more flexible substitute.

This realization reminds us how important it is to be able to immediately access needed capabilities, wherever they may reside. Because of this, we expect organizations to implement common systems for tracking, measuring, and governing workers across both the traditional and the alternative workforce. Further, as the pandemic has driven organizations’ need to flex their workforce up or down, it’s become clear that the talent ecosystem should also extend to workers who have separated from the organization, such as alumni and retirees. Maintaining a data-driven pulse on these separated workers can help an organization track and engage them in case they’re needed once again.

In fact, getting the most value out of a talent ecosystem requires organizations to view the concept of retention differently. It’s less about tracking how many people leave as it is about understanding who is leaving and why. Unlike simple retention rate metrics, understanding who is leaving and why can shed light on whether these

QUESTIONS TO ASK TO CAPITALIZE ON WORKER POTENTIAL

- **Job evolution**: How often are jobs changing, which ones, and to what degree?
- **Future workforce readiness**: How ready is our workforce to perform the work of the future? What are our capability, experience, and skill gaps, and how are we going to close them?
- **Change ability and agility**: Are workers and leaders able to quickly and effectively adapt to constant change?
- **Future leader readiness**: What new trends, challenges, and scenarios are leaders being prepared for? How many of our leaders have the attributes required to succeed?
patterns are desirable or undesirable and inform strategies to make appropriate adjustments. It’s the difference between knowing that 1.1 million workers dropped out of the US labor force in September 2020—and understanding that 80% of those workers were women, a statistic with clear diversity, equity, and inclusion (DE&I) implications.

New approaches to retention should also focus on how to build and maintain relationships with different parts of the workforce ecosystem, whether traditional workers, alternative workers, part-time workers, or former workers. Being able to identify all talent segments across the ecosystem is important, as is understanding workers’ skills, motivations, and employment preferences in each segment. Data-based insights into questions such as these can enable organizations to more easily tailor their workforce to evolving needs.

Especially evident during COVID-19 has been the way the pandemic has affected the employer-worker social contract, particularly as it relates to well-being. Many employers enhanced their focus on their workers’ well-being, and this was not limited to efforts to keep workers from being infected. For example, 66% of our surveyed executives said that their organizations put changes in place during COVID-19 that they thought empowered workers to better integrate their personal and professional lives.

To make outcomes such as this even stronger, it’s important to find actionable ways to use and share information to make measurable progress in new directions. This is where an organization’s sensing capabilities—covering its entire workforce ecosystem, conducted in multiple ways through multiple channels, and performed continually rather than periodically—become paramount. A complex and shifting environment makes it impossible to rely on point-in-time data or data that covers only part of the workforce. Instead, an organization needs a holistic view of its people’s sentiments, norms, and behaviors to understand its culture, pinpoint risks, and decide what must be done to achieve its aspirations. Equally important, organizations also need ways to sense sentiment in the external world so that they can understand and manage how they are perceived by others.

The insights gained from internal and external sensing data can help organizations better align individual and organizational actions with the organization’s values. The data can and should also

## QUESTIONS TO ASK TO TAP INTO THE ENTIRE TALENT ECOSYSTEM

- **Workforce footprint:** How many workers provide direct or indirect services to our organization?
- **Internal talent market health:** How healthy is our internal talent market?
- **Talent ecosystem health:** How much capability can we access across our broader ecosystem?
- **Retention drivers:** Which of our workers are at risk of leaving, and why?

### TRANSLATING VALUES INTO ACTION

2020 saw a series of events that focused renewed attention on organizational values, such as ethics, fairness, and inclusivity, around the world. Explosive race-driven incidents in the United States prompted organizations to examine their commitment to DE&I, while widespread layoffs and furloughs put a spotlight on the quality of the social contract between employers and workers. Customers asked organizational leaders, and organizational leaders asked themselves, their partners, and their suppliers, to deeply examine what they were doing to promote principled individual and organizational behavior—with the expectation that everyone needs to do more.
be transparently communicated to external stakeholders to inspire confidence in the organization’s integrity and ethics.

As disruption becomes the new normal, organizations are being forced to constantly reassess and reimagine their work, workforce, and workplace strategies. This calls for leaders to fundamentally shift their workforce governance practices by collecting real-time, forward-looking data at the intersection of economic value and social values. But collecting data for its own sake is not the goal. Data-driven insights can enable organizations to constantly challenge the actions they are taking and help determine whether and how they can shift those actions at need. The challenge is to avoid getting caught up in the mechanics of collecting data when the focus should be on using it to inform meaningful action toward new outcomes.

The priority moving forward is to ensure that organizations’ efforts around workforce strategies, data, and insights span the range of stakeholders and that the lens is wide enough to include both short- and long-term measures of progress against economic and societal goals. Doing so will improve organizations’ ability to meet evolving talent needs, build flexibility and resilience, and drive new and better workforce management and results in a world of perpetual disruption.

**QUESTIONS TO ASK TO TRANSLATE VALUES INTO ACTION**

- **Workforce social contract**: How does our organization treat its employees, contractors, and service providers of every type?
- **Meaningful diversity**: Are workers from diverse communities in a position to wield influence in the organization?
- **Human capital brand**: How is our culture, workforce, and leadership being portrayed externally?
- **Culture risk sensing**: What signals are we seeing that point to outliers in worker behaviors and norms?
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A memo to HR
Accelerating the shift to re-architecting work

COVID-19 REQUIRED PEOPLE TO WORK IN RADICALLY NEW WAYS, WHETHER REMOTELY USING TECHNOLOGY OR IN-PERSON WITH SAFETY AND SOCIAL DISTANCING PROCEDURES IN PLACE. IN ADDRESSING THESE CHALLENGES, HR WAS THRUST TO THE FOREFRONT OF ORGANIZATIONS’ EFFORTS TO SURVIVE THE CRISIS—AND GAINED GREATER CREDIBILITY AMONG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES AS A RESULT. AS ORGANIZATIONS EMERGE FROM THE PANDEMIC, HR HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO BUILD ON ITS NEWLY ENHANCED POSITION TO SHIFT ITS ROLE FROM MANAGING WORKERS TO RE-ARCHITECTING WORK, DRIVING BETTER OUTCOMES THAT POSITION ORGANIZATIONS TO THRIVE.

Shifting realities

In our Memo to HR in the 2020 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends report, we called for a bolder, “exponential” HR, one that would expand its focus and extend its influence to better address organizations’ workforce and business issues. The outbreak of COVID-19 gave many HR organizations the chance to do just that. Workforce issues became central business issues as organizations, workers, and leaders strove to adopt new mindsets and ways of working. This compelled HR organizations across sectors and geographies to quickly and creatively solve both workforce and business problems. In doing so, many demonstrated a shift away from their traditional role of standardizing and enforcing workforce policies to a new responsibility of orchestrating work in an agile fashion across the enterprise.

As a result of HR’s handling of COVID-19’s challenges, both business and HR leaders have
become more confident in HR’s ability to help organizations navigate future changes. The 2021 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends survey showed that the proportion of HR executives who were very confident in HR’s ability to navigate future changes doubled, from one in eight in 2019 to nearly one in four in 2020 (figure 5). Confidence in HR among business executives also increased, and the proportion that were “not confident” in HR dropped dramatically from 26% in 2019 to 12% in 2020.

Executives that were very confident in HR’s ability to navigate changes in the next three to five years were 2.9 times more likely to report that their organization was very ready to adapt, reskill, and assume new roles. They were also 2.6 times more likely to strongly agree that the changes their organization put in place during the pandemic were 2.9 times more likely to report that their organization was very ready to adapt, reskill, and assume new roles. They were also 2.6 times more likely to strongly agree that the changes their organization put in place during the pandemic

**FIGURE 5**

Confidence in HR’s ability to navigate future changes has increased among both business and HR executives

Based upon HR’s role and impact during the COVID-19 pandemic, what is your level of confidence in HR’s ability to navigate the changes required in the next three to five years?

Note: 2021 survey n=3,630, 2020 survey n=9,000.
empowered workers to successfully integrate the demands of their personal and professional lives. And they were 2.2 times more likely to report being very ready to make or pivot investments for changing business demands.

This is good news for HR. However, our survey data also shows that HR’s highest areas of impact during the pandemic were those within its traditional scope (figure 6). The question now becomes: With HR having created positive momentum and increased business executives’ confidence, how can it capitalize on that momentum to go beyond what is being asked for to what can be? How can HR use this window of opportunity to move from a functional mindset to an enterprise and impact mindset—one that expands HR’s focus and extends its influence from process to mission, from the worker to the human, and from managing workers to re-architecting work?

This isn’t just an academic question. To best help an organization grow and thrive, HR must lean into broader notions of its remit and move from optimizing to redesigning to re-architecting work and reimagining work-related challenges. The reason is simple. When HR has this mindset, organizations are more resilient, workers are more empowered, and organizations achieve better results.

**RE-ARCHITECTING WORK: REIMAGINATION IN ACTION**

Throughout this report, we’ve spoken a great deal about “reimagining” work. To achieve new outcomes and new value takes a step beyond reimagination, however. That step is re-architecting work. While reimagining is the destination, re-architecting is, in our view, the path to get there. That’s because re-architecture enables us to put reimagination into action. It’s one thing to envision new work outcomes, but it’s quite another to be able to craft those outcomes in a way that unlocks human potential and that models not just how work will be done, but how it will be experienced and lived. Re-architecting work, the how of effecting change, takes the potential of reimagination and turns it into reality. It is the final step that empowers organizations to truly thrive.

**FIGURE 6**

**The top-ranked areas of HR impact during the pandemic were all within its traditional scope**

What are the top areas where your HR organization made an impact during the COVID-19 pandemic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Area of focus</th>
<th>Percentage of executives who said that HR made a positive impact</th>
<th>Percentage of executives who said that this was HR’s remit prior to COVID-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Protecting workforce health and safety</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increasing communications with the workforce</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Promoting worker well-being</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n=3,630 (executives).
Our 2021 perspective

OUR HYPOTHESIS
COVID-19 has earned many HR organizations the right to be bolder in orchestrating work throughout the enterprise. To seize this opportunity, HR needs to reorient its mission and mindset towards shaping future success by taking the lead in re-architecting work and reimagining the workforce and workplace accordingly.

HR’s adoption of an enterprise mindset isn’t about reorganizing or restructuring the HR organization, although this is likely a required enabler. It’s not even about getting HR a “seat at the table” (it has had a seat at the executive table for a long time). Rather, it means centering HR’s role on the responsibility to own and re-architect work throughout the organization. When thoughtfully done, re-architecting work can drive new outcomes that create positive changes, from higher productivity to increased agility to greater innovation.

COVID-19 appears to have heightened leaders’ awareness of these potential benefits. In our survey, 61% of combined business and HR executives said that they would focus their thinking around work transformation on reimagining work in the next one to three years; only 29% said that this was the case before the pandemic.

Successfully re-architecting work will require several changes in how both HR and the organization approach work, teams, and capabilities:
- Shifting the focus of work from outputs to outcomes. This change in mindset is critical for achieving results beyond doing what is done today cheaper and faster to doing what is needed to pursue new outcomes and better results
- Looking at the re-architecture of work not as a one-time project or initiative, but as an ongoing capability that needs to be embedded into the organization’s operations
- Putting teams and superteams at the center of how work gets done. This will be needed both within HR and across the broader enterprise to spark innovation
- Approaching workforce development by identifying, cultivating, and capitalizing on workers’ potential, with a focus on uniquely human capabilities such as analysis and synthesis, problem-solving, and social intelligence
- Expanding the definition of capabilities to include the integration of both human and technological capabilities
- Recognizing and proactively managing the leadership and cultural implications that come from embracing new ways of working

Emerging priorities

Some of the most immediate needs for the re-architecture of work fall within the remit of HR itself, given the increasing criticality of work and work-related issues. The chart at the bottom of figure 7 shows the progression of new outcomes HR can drive in the context of the four other trends we’ve highlighted in this report.

The common thread running through these examples is a broadening of outcomes, an expansion of focus, and as a result, increased value to the organization. To see this in action, consider the issue of remote work. A traditional HR response might focus on, for example, providing well-being subsidies to cover ergonomic chairs for home offices—and this is a positive action. But by looking more broadly, HR can make a more substantive impact in addition to supporting workers’ physical health. Underlying the shift to
FIGURE 7
From optimize to redesign to re-architect: HR’s path to achieving new outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THRESHOLD 1</th>
<th>THRESHOLD 2</th>
<th>THRESHOLD 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Well-being</strong></td>
<td><strong>Beyond reskilling</strong></td>
<td><strong>Superteams</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve employees’ health and safety and their access to tools and programs for remote work</td>
<td>Accelerate skill development programs for high-demand skills and roles</td>
<td>Implement and manage change around work automation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend well-being from employees to all workers and all aspects of workforce well-being</td>
<td>Implement new learning technologies and talent marketplaces to build new skills, and provide employees choice in development</td>
<td>Focus on building “superjobs” to augment workers’ skills to improve their productivity with technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the generation of and access to historical employee data</td>
<td>Extend to the full workforce ecosystem with analyses to improve performance, establish benchmarks, and identify issues</td>
<td>Generate forward-looking, real-time insights to sense opportunities and set new directions and actions for business leaders, workers, and external stakeholders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte analysis.
Remote work is the idea that leaders must manage, support, and develop people who are working in radically different ways. Understanding what effective leadership entails under these circumstances gives HR an opportunity to pull a thread to see what may be ahead: to better understand what the organization’s leaders are doing, determine if they have the right competencies, shape leadership development plans and performance indicators and incentives, and, ultimately, prepare next-generation leaders for a future where much of the workforce may be working remotely and flexibly.

Getting to the “re-architect” state will require developing and improving skills and capabilities, such as integrative thinking and a talent for collaboration. Even more importantly, re-architecting work calls for HR to learn from and partner with workers themselves to identify opportunities and craft new approaches. HR cannot gain a clear view of the depth and breadth of an organization’s work from a distance; it needs workers as a guide to uncovering ways to mold the work in ways that move the organization forward.

This means making a shift from treating workers mechanistically to treating them as a creative force.

Doing all this effectively means that HR’s agenda must become one with the business agenda. HR must work closely with other stakeholders throughout the organization, enlisting their collaboration and guidance in the re-architecture of work. In this way, HR can serve as a model of integrative thinking and behavior for the rest of the organization. It’s an opportunity for HR to lead, through example, the organization’s journey to a more “symphonic” way of being.

The experiences of COVID-19 have opened a new door for HR to drive differentiated value for the business and the workforce. Now it’s time for HR to step through this door and begin to realize its true potential as an architect of work. By embracing this role, HR can extend its influence and impact across the entire organization and expand its focus across the entire workforce—not for the sake of HR but to push the organization toward its broader economic and human goals.
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The past decade was marked by considerable economic and social disruption in the world of work. Organizations scrambled to stay in front of those disruptions, making point-in-time adjustments to their business models and processes to try to remain competitive in a quickly changing landscape. But when the events of 2020 created disruptions with orders of magnitude greater than what organizations were used to dealing with, it became unequivocally clear that point-in-time responses were not enough. As plans laid in 2019 were shattered, so too were organizational views of preparedness. Executives recognized that planning for expected and incremental events is not a solid foundation for thriving in multiple unknown futures, and they are shifting their approach to preparedness as a result (figure 1).

FIGURE 1
COVID-19 is shifting organizations’ approach to preparedness toward considering multiple and unlikely futures
Which statement best describes your organization’s preparedness prior to the COVID-19 pandemic? Which statement best describes how your organization will define preparedness moving forward?

Prior to COVID-19 Moving forward

No preparedness strategies
28%
4%

Focus on likely, incremental events
43%
32%

Focus on multiple scenarios
23%
47%

Focus on unlikely, high-impact events
6%
17%

Note: n=3,630 (executives).
What also became clear is that preparedness for the unexpected depends crucially on an organization’s handling of work and the workforce. 2020’s global health, financial, and social equity crises may have had their most profound impacts on work and workers, with organizations making sweeping changes to what, where, how, and by whom work was done. The primacy of work and workers in the ability to navigate the year’s disruptions demonstrates that, when it comes to preparing for unknown futures, organizations have an imperative to structure work and support their workers in ways that enable them to rise to the challenges that disruption may bring.

As we’ve explored in our deep-dive discussions on well-being, reskilling, superteams, workforce strategies, and the role of HR, readying work and workers for uncertain futures depends on building the human element into everything an organization does. To do this, we believe that organizations must embrace three attributes—purpose, potential, and perspective—that allow them to humanize work to create lasting value for their workers, their organizations, and society at large.

**Purpose** grounds organizations in a set of values that do not depend on circumstance. Those values, which sit at the intersection of economic, social, and human interests, serve as a benchmark against which actions and decisions can be weighed. In the face of circumstances that are difficult to predict and plan for, organizations that are steadfast in their purpose are able to infuse meaning into work to mobilize workers around common, meaningful goals.

**Potential** encourages organizations to look more dynamically at what their people are capable of. When the task is to prepare for the familiar, organizations can rely on defined job descriptions, career paths, and learning programs to access and build workforce capabilities. But in a world where organizations must constantly prepare for the unknown, leaders must understand workers’ potential for growth and help them fulfill that potential in ways that allow them to both respond to and anticipate unplanned futures.

**Perspective** challenges organizations to operate boldly in the face of uncertainty. Rather than being paralyzed by a multitude of futures and options, organizations that employ perspective use disruption as a launching pad to imagine new opportunities and possibilities. We assert that the ability to take confident steps forward rests on the re-architecture of work. The re-architecture of work is reimagining put into practice; it is the act, the how, of deliberately designing work in ways that allow human capabilities to flourish in the search for new outcomes and new value.

The 2020 Deloitte Global Human Capital Trends report called on organizations to look at work and the workforce through these three lenses to transcend a perceived conflict between humans and technology. This year, having seen how organizations are making their way through the COVID-19 crisis, we suggest that these three attributes are essential for organizations to move more quickly from survive to thrive in a world of perpetual disruption.
Purpose in a world disrupted: Establishing a north star

2020 taught us the importance of purpose in establishing an organization’s direction in the face of disruption. We saw that organizations that embraced purpose were able to anchor their workers, teams, and leaders to a common understanding of what they were trying to accomplish. These organizations used purpose as a driving force to sift through competing priorities, unite workers under common goals, drive belonging, and focus energy and resources on their most pressing organizational and societal goals.

Ed Bastian, CEO of Delta Air Lines, credits Delta Air Lines’ sense of purpose for helping the organization through the COVID-19 crisis. “When I took over as CEO, we studied what our mission was and what our purpose was, which has helped us postpandemic because we were clear prepandemic. Our people can do their very best when they have leadership support and feel connected to the organization’s purpose. Our mission is to connect people. No one better connects the world.”

Purpose is inherently human because it relies on the uniquely human ability to identify where economic value and social values intersect. Understanding these intersection points can then allow leaders to identify a purpose consistent with both economic value and social values, and to use that purpose to guide their choices on an ongoing basis.

A powerful manifestation of purpose is bringing economic and social imperatives together to drive meaningful change inside an organization and in society. One example of such an organization is Edison International and its largest subsidiary, Southern California Edison (SCE), which decided to publish equal employment opportunity commission (EEOC)-type information on the company’s workforce and inclusion commitments to its employees, board members, and external stakeholder groups. As Natalie Schilling, SCE’s vice president of people, culture, and strategy, puts it: “We want to create more transparency for our employees and leaders about where we have opportunity [to further our commitments to make our organization more inclusive].”

Liji Thomas, SCE’s head of diversity and inclusion, amplifies this view:

Part of being authentic on this journey is to go first and to lead from the front with transparency. In order to be successful and to shift an entire industry, or to create long-term sustainable change in the Fortune 250, we need to have other players at the table that share their data, particularly around pay equity.

“Our people can do their very best when they have leadership support and feel connected to the organization’s purpose.”

— Ed Bastian, CEO, Delta Air Lines
By being transparent about this DE&I-related information—and, by implication, its commitments and values around DE&I—SCE has given itself a platform to add its voice to public conversations on issues that matter to their organization and workforce. That platform allows them to encourage other organizations to create lasting change by following its lead, while also empowering SCE’s workers to raise conversations and topics that can drive real change within the organization.

Human Capital Trends survey told us that “the ability of their people to adapt, reskill, and assume new roles” was either the most important or second most important factor in their organization’s ability to navigate future disruptions. However, only 17% of these same executives said that their organization was “very ready” to adapt and reskill workers to assume new roles, pointing to a substantial disconnect between leaders’ priorities and the reality of how their organizations support workforce development.

This disconnect may exist because many organizations still take a limited view of their workforce. Many tend to think of workers in terms of static role descriptions rather than considering workers as individuals with different backgrounds, experiences, and interests who are capable of continuous growth. Because of this, organizations miss the opportunity to foster their workers’ potential and to harness their passions in the pursuit of organizational goals.

AstraZeneca is an example of an organization that capitalized on worker potential to quickly mobilize its resources to meet a pressing need—in this case, to develop a COVID-19 vaccine. Tonya Villafana, AstraZeneca’s vice president and global franchise head of infection, credits the company’s accelerated response to its ability to tap into a
varied pool of experts, both across the company and through its collaboration with the University of Oxford. But more than this, AstraZeneca not only involved top experts but also added “high performers who were really passionate and wanted to get involved” with the vaccine development team.

They were the right people at the right time to put into that role. Not everyone has to be an infectious disease expert. It was more about having that kind of passion to deliver and the energy to want to do it.4

AstraZeneca also tapped into the potential of its ecosystem. United by a common purpose, the company collaborated with academia and regulatory agencies and employed new ways of working that allowed them to begin vaccine trials in record time, doing in weeks and months what might have taken months or years in the past. They hope the success of those collaborations will lead to meaningful change moving forward. According to Villafana:

I think it has fundamentally changed the industry in terms of how we will work going forward with each other. I hope we’ve learned as a human race that we should do this better.

ServiceNow, a digital workflow company, also recognized the value of leaning into workers’ potential for growth and high performance during the crisis. “We delivered two major, high quality product releases on-time, and the amount of code we wrote during the pandemic actually went up,” ServiceNow CEO Bill McDermott says. “There’s no question that this brought a lot of awareness to the management team about human capacity and how great work can happen from anywhere, if you enable it.” He stresses the importance of trusting workers to bring creativity and initiative to the job. “Talent will work where they are trusted and respected, and their productivity, individuality, and creativity get unleashed in new and unusual ways—unusual because people want to do things that have never been done before, not do things because that’s the way they’ve always done it.”

Perspective in a world disrupted: Re-architecting work to take bold steps forward

To act on the perspective that uncertainty is an opportunity to create one’s own future, organizations need to be poised to take bold steps forward even if they go in a different direction from what was usual and comfortable before.

“[We added] high performers who were really passionate and wanted to get involved [with our vaccine development team] ... Not everyone has to be an infectious disease expert. It was more about having that kind of passion to deliver and the energy to want to do it.”

— Tonya Villafana, vice president and global franchise head of infection, AstraZeneca
ServiceNow is one organization that shifted direction in this way during COVID-19. In March 2020, the company held a “blue sky” strategy session as a forum for leaders to discuss the future of work, the future of digital transformation, and the future of the company. But as they considered these issues under the cloud of the emerging pandemic, CEO Bill McDermott realized, “If we can’t help the world manage the pandemic, there won’t be a blue sky.” He pivoted the meeting to focus instead on how ServiceNow could quickly innovate and bring new products to market that would help organizations maintain business operations during the pandemic. As part of this effort, ServiceNow quickly built and deployed four emergency response management applications as well as a suite of safe workplace applications to make returning to the workplace work for everyone.

Our view is that being prepared to take bold steps forward in uncertainty depends on a deliberate effort to re-architect work, putting work reimagining into action by constantly examining work to find ways to open new pathways to an organization’s goals. We saw the power of work re-architecture during the COVID-19 crisis when organizations had to rethink fundamental assumptions about what work is and how it could be done. The ability to re-architect work according to a different set of assumptions and put those changes into practice proved essential to organizations’ survival, and it can also enable them to thrive long after the pandemic recedes.

Perhaps for this reason, most executives in our survey are now prioritizing how they look at work. Sixty-one percent told us that their work transformation objectives going forward would focus on reimagining work, compared to only 29% who were thinking that way before the pandemic (figure 2).

Re-architecting work means more than automating tasks and activities. It’s about configuring work to capitalize on what humans can accomplish when work is designed around their strengths. This may be why executives in our survey identified the two factors most related to human potential as the most important to transforming work (figure 3). While technology is important, this is not a challenge for technology alone, but for humans and technology together.
EXECUTIVES ARE SHIFTING THEIR FOCUS FROM OPTIMIZING WORK TO REIMAGINING WORK

How were you thinking about work transformation prior to the COVID-19 pandemic?
How are you thinking about work transformation in the next one to three years?

Prior to COVID-19
Moving forward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Transformation</th>
<th>Prior to COVID-19</th>
<th>Moving forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not transforming work</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimizing work</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesigning work</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimagining work</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n=3,630 (executives).

WORK TRANSFORMATION DEFINITIONS

- **Optimizing work**: Doing the same work more efficiently
- **Redesigning work**: Achieving the same work outputs with new combinations of technology and people
- **Reimagining work**: Achieving new or different work outcomes with new combinations of technology and people
Both workforce capability and technological capability are critical to transforming work

What are the most important actions you are taking or will take to transform work?

- Building an organizational culture that celebrates growth, adaptability, and resilience: 45%
- Building workforce capability through upskilling, reskilling, and mobility: 41%
- Implementing new technologies: 35%
- Establishing new work practices, policies, and incentives: 31%
- Restructuring the organization to support new work outcomes: 22%
- Building portfolios of humans and machines working together: 16%

Note: n=3,630 (executives).

To bring out human potential, leadership is critical. Indeed, leadership was the top factor our survey identified as a driver of change. Sixty percent of executive respondents said that leadership behavior was critical to achieving their future vision of preparedness; they also identified several leadership issues—prioritization; skills, experience, and culture; and visioning—as the three biggest barriers to work transformation.

Delta Air Lines CEO Bastian stresses the need for strong leadership during a crisis. The business experienced a dramatic swing in circumstance, from record-high revenue and performance in February 2020 to only about 3% of that revenue base a mere 30 days later.⁵ “In our 95-year history, our company has never needed its leadership more than it needs it now,” Bastian reflected. But he also gives Delta Air Lines’ workers credit for helping the company weather the crisis. “We’ve had a lot of great leaders who built this company, but it’s the people who are in the chairs today who are more important than at any time in our history. It can be hard, and the stress and the challenges are amazing, but [it is an] honor and a privilege to be serving in a time like this.”⁶

ServiceNow’s McDermott has also risen to the call for strong leadership. “I’m leaning in with everything I’ve got to reform, reshape, and reimagine the future without any interest in harvesting the past, because I truly believe we will never go back to the way things were before the pandemic,” McDermott says. The future, he believes, is one where humans take center stage in enabling an organization’s success. “We’re in a world now that’s all about people. We’re in a truly human moment where any connection that doesn’t absolutely lean into people will go nowhere.”

A call to action

If the past year has shown us anything, it’s that putting people at the heart of an organization’s decisions about work and the workforce pays off in the ability to better stay ahead of disruption.
Putting that ability into practice entails thinking about work and the workforce in terms of purpose, potential, and perspective to build an organization that can thrive in an unpredictable environment with an unknown future. Leaders should find ways to create a shared sense of purpose that mobilizes people to pull strongly in the same direction as they face the organization’s current and future challenges. They should trust people to work in ways that allow them to fulfill their potential, offering workers a degree of choice over the work they do to align their passions with organizational needs. And they should embrace the perspective that reimagining work is key to the ability to achieve new and better outcomes in a world that is itself being constantly reimagined.

ServiceNow’s McDermott may have said it best: “Leaders of consequence understand that what must be done eventually should be done immediately.” Now may be a unique moment in history that gives leaders the impetus to move into a significantly higher level of action and vision. It is up to them—to us—to seize that moment, making meaningful changes in how we approach work and the workforce that position our organizations to thrive.

“We’re in a world now that’s all about people. We’re in a truly human moment where any connection that doesn’t absolutely lean into people will go nowhere....”

— Bill McDermott, CEO, ServiceNow
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George Menno
Deloitte Dutch Caribbean
mennogeorge@deloitte.com

Israel
Dan Halpern
Deloitte Israel & Co.
dhalpern@deloitte.co.il

Mexico
Jesus Delgado
Deloitte Consulting Mexico
jedelgado@deloittemx.com

S-LATAM, Costa Rica, Panama, and Peru
Sofia Calderon
Deloitte & Touche SA
socalderon@deloitte.com

Ecuador
Roberto Estrada
Andeanecuador Consultores
restrada@deloitte.com

Uruguay and Colombia
Lucia Munoz
Deloitte SC
luciamunoz@deloitte.com
ASIA-PACIFIC

Asia-Pacific
David Brown
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu
davidbrown@deloitte.com.au

Australia
Pip Dexter
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu
pidexter@deloitte.com.au

China
Jungle Wong
Deloitte Consulting (Shanghai) Co. Ltd.
Beijing branch
junglewong@deloitte.com.cn

India
Gaurav Lahiri
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India LLP
gauravlahiri@deloitte.com

Japan
Yasushi Muranaka
Deloitte Tohmatsu Consulting LLC
ymuranaka@tohmatsu.co.jp

Tsutomu Okamoto
Deloitte Tohmatsu Consulting LLC
tsokamoto@tohmatsu.co.jp

Korea
Gi-Won Choi
Deloitte Consulting
gicho@deloitte.com

New Zealand
Sonia Breeze
Deloitte
sbreeze@deloitte.co.nz

Southeast Asia
Angeline Leong
Deloitte Consulting Ltd.
angleong@deloitte.com

EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST, AND AFRICA

United Kingdom
Will Gosling
Deloitte
wgosling@deloitte.co.uk

Africa
Pam Maharaj
Deloitte Consulting (Pty) Ltd.
pammaharaj@deloitte.co.za

North and South Europe
Petra Tito
Deloitte
ptito@deloitte.nl

Albania and Kosovo
Roden Pajaj
Deloitte Albania sh.p.k
rpajaj@deloittece.com

Austria
Christian Havranek
Deloitte Austria
chavranek@deloitte.at

Julian Mauhart
Deloitte Austria
jmauhart@deloitte.at
Belgium
Nathalie Vandaele
Deloitte Consulting & Advisory
nvandaele@deloitte.com

Central Mediterranean
Drew Keith
Deloitte Consulting SRL
dkeith@deloitte.it

Central Europe and Czech
Pavel Šimák
Deloitte
psimak@deloittece.com

Cyprus
George Pantelides
Deloitte Ltd.
gpantelides@deloitte.com

Denmark
Christina Burgwald
Deloitte Denmark
cburgwald@deloitte.dk

East Africa
George Hapisu
Deloitte Limited
ghapisu@deloitte.co.ke

Finland
Maarit Santtila
Deloitte
Maarit.Santtila@deloitte.fi

France
Philippe Burger
Deloitte Conseil
phburger@deloitte.fr

Francophone Africa
Fabrice Comlan
Deloitte
fcomlan@deloitte.com

Germany
Maren Hauptmann
Deloitte Consulting
mahauptmann@deloitte.de

Greece
Yiorgos Fragkos
Deloitte Business Solutions
yfragkos@deloitte.gr

Hungary
Martin Csepai
Deloitte
mcsepai@deloittece.com

Ireland
Valarie Daunt
Deloitte
vdaunt@deloitte.ie

Luxembourg
Pascal Martino
Deloitte
pamartino@deloitte.lu

Netherlands
Egon Hoppe
Deloitte
ehoppe@deloitte.nl

Nordics and Sweden
Josefine Liljeqvist
Deloitte
jiljeqvist@deloitte.se

Norway
Eva Gjovikli
Deloitte AS
egjovikli@deloitte.no

Poland
John Guziak
Deloitte
jguziak@deloittece.com
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**Portugal**
Nuno Carvalho
Deloitte Consulting
ncarvalho@deloitte.pt

**Russia**
Vera Vitalieva
Deloitte Consulting LLC
vvitalieva@deloitte.ru

**Slovakia**
Zuzana Kostiviarova
Deloitte
zkostiviarova@deloittece.com

**Spain**
Joan Pere Salom
Deloitte Consulting SL
josalom@deloitte.es

**Switzerland**
Veronica Melian
Deloitte
vcmelian@deloitte.ch

**West Africa**
Joseph Olofinsola
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited
jolofinsola@deloitte.com.ng

**Turkey**
Cem Sezgin
Deloitte Turkey
csezgin@deloitte.com
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