

## Regulatory news alert

# ESMA publishes draft guidelines on sound remuneration policies under UCITS V

### Overview

The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) has launched a consultation on proposed guidelines on sound remuneration policies under the UCITS V Directive, which will affect retail investment fund managers.

The draft UCITS guidelines are largely based on those already issued on remuneration under the AIFMD, with a few changes which we have highlighted below. This approach is aimed at achieving a level-playing field in the funds sector across the EU.

The proposed guidelines provide guidance on issues such as application of the payout process rules, categorisation of Identified Staff, proportionality, risk alignment, governance of remuneration and disclosure, and will apply to UCITS management companies and national competent authorities.

Responses to the consultation paper are encouraged and should be sent by 23 October 2015.

The purpose of this alert is to provide a summary of the key developments which may impact remuneration arrangements at affected financial services firms. The full consultation paper can be found [here](#).

### Proportionality

In line with the existing approach under AIFMD and in contrast to the recent approach taken by the EBA on CRD IV, the ESMA guidelines state that for the purposes of UCITS V, the proportionality principle should permit the disapplication of specific UCITS remuneration requirements under certain circumstances and conditions, for example, where management of UCITS accounts for less than 50% of the portfolio managed, the requirement to pay in instruments does not apply.

ESMA notes the provisions common to the UCITS V, AIFMD and CRD IV Directive texts which state that firms should apply the remuneration principles 'in a way and to the extent that is appropriate to their size, internal organisation and the nature, scope and complexity of their activities.'

Whereas the EBA stated in its March 2015 consultation paper that this meant that all CRD IV remuneration principles should apply to all firms as a minimum, ESMA considers that UCITS legislators "envisaged the possibility" that UCITS fund managers would be able to disapply certain remuneration principles.

ESMA supports this interpretation by noting the different nature of UCITS compared to credit institutions. It also notes that applying the EBA interpretation of proportionality to UCITS firms would have adverse cost impacts, not least because it would create a misalignment between the AIFMD and UCITS V remuneration regimes for fund managers.

## The guidelines

The UCITS V guidelines on sound remuneration are broadly similar to the AIFMD guidelines, and include the following requirements based on the directive:

- At least 40 to 60% of variable remuneration should be deferred over a period of at least 3 years (annual pro-rata vesting is permitted)
- 50% of variable remuneration should be awarded in instruments (UCITS fund units, equivalent ownership interests or share-linked instruments or equivalent non-cash instruments), to which a post-vesting retention period should be applied
- Any variable remuneration award is subject to malus and clawback provisions

As highlighted above, the UCITS guidelines generally follow the same approach as the AIFMD guidelines. The major differences between the two are summarised below.

### Specific UCITS V remuneration rules

#### *Definition of 'performance fees'*

UCITS V specifically includes "performance fees" among the categories of payments that fall within the scope of the remuneration principles in the same way that carried interest falls under the scope of the AIFMD.

ESMA proposes that the definition of "performance fees" should be based on the one provided in the IOSCO report on fees and expenses of investment funds; i.e. a variable fee linked to the performance of the UCITS. Performance of the UCITS includes capital appreciation as well as any income linked to the UCITS's assets.

## *Application of different sectoral rules*

Where staff perform activities which fall under more than one regime (e.g. UCITS V and CRD IV), ESMA has set out two alternative approaches, either of which may be adopted depending on which is felt to be more suitable. The two approaches are:

1. Sectoral remuneration principles (CRD, AIFMD or UCITS V) should be applied on a pro-rata basis using an objective method of apportionment such as time spent on each activity.
2. The sectoral remuneration principles which are deemed to be more effective in discouraging excessive risk-taking and aligning the interest of the relevant individuals with those of the investors in the funds they manage, should be applied.

Where approach 2. is taken, ESMA makes it clear that where there is a conflict between different sectoral legislation, the most effective principles should apply. For example, the requirement to pay in fund instruments for AIFMD and UCITS V should prevail over the requirement to pay in CRD instruments for CRD IV.

ESMA also considers that the performance of MiFID ancillary services should be subject to the UCITS/AIFMD remuneration principles.

## *Application of rules to delegates*

The guidelines relating to delegation are similar to those found in AIFMD. The overall aim is to ensure that the remuneration principles are not circumvented and so firms must ensure that:

1. Entities to which investment management is delegated are subject to regulatory requirements that are equally as effective as those found in UCITS V; or
2. Appropriate contractual arrangements are in place which prevent circumvention of the remuneration regulations.

However, there is some additional guidance provided in that ESMA consider that the entity is subject to equally effective remuneration requirements where:

- The delegate is subject to CRD IV or AIFMD remuneration rules; and
- The delegate staff who are UCITS Identified Staff are subject to the CRD or AIFMD rules.

## *Payment in instruments*

Subject to the legal structure of the UCITS and its fund rules or instruments of incorporation, at least 50% of variable remuneration of identified staff should be paid in fund units, share-linked instruments, or equivalent non-cash instruments under UCITS V.

This requirement does not apply where the management of the UCITS accounts for less than 50% of the total portfolio managed by the management company.

It gives further detail on this 50% threshold. It should be based on the value of an individual UCITS fund against the total portfolio of managed UCITS funds. AIFMD portfolios are not to be taken into account in this calculation.

The guidelines also state that neither dividends nor interest should be paid on instruments before vesting.

*Guidelines for management companies which are part of a CRD IV group – UCITS and AIFMD guidelines.*

ESMA has amended both the UCITS V guidelines and the AIFMD guidelines to reflect the EBA's assertion in its recent CRD IV consultation that staff in UCITS or AIFM subsidiaries of a credit institution may be identified as material risk takers at the group level for the purpose of their sectoral remuneration rules.

## Next Steps

ESMA encourages responses to specific questions posed in the consultation paper. These primarily relate to:

- The proposed proportionality approach, and in particular the costs of a different approach (e.g. requiring firms to implement the deferral, payment in instrument and malus/clawback requirements, regardless of size);
- The proposed definition of performance fee;
- How services subject to different sectoral remuneration principles are performed in practice, and the proposed approach to either apportion pay pro rata and/or apply the most effective sectoral remuneration principles;
- The test for whether the management of UCITS accounts for less than 50% of the total portfolio managed by the UCITS management company; and
- Whether there is any specific need for transitional provisions.

Responses should be received by 23 October 2015. ESMA then aims to publish the final guidelines by early Q1 2016, ahead of the transposition deadline for the UCITS V directive (i.e. 18 March 2016). The guidelines would then take effect from the transposition date of the UCITS directive.

## Deloitte Luxembourg Contacts

**Pierre-Jean Estagerie**

Partner | Tax

Tel/Direct: +352 45145 4940

[pjestagerie@deloitte.lu](mailto:pjestagerie@deloitte.lu)

**Benjamin Collette**

Partner | Strategy & Corporate Finance Leader

Tel/Direct: +352 45145 2809

[bcollette@deloitte.lu](mailto:bcollette@deloitte.lu)

**Simon Ramos**

Partner | Regulatory, Operational & Strategy

Tel/Direct: +352 45145 2702

[siramos@deloitte.lu](mailto:siramos@deloitte.lu)

**Marc Noirhomme**

Director | Regulatory Consulting

Tel/Direct: +352 45145 2613

[mnoirhomme@deloitte.lu](mailto:mnoirhomme@deloitte.lu)

# Deloitte UK Contacts

**Helen Beck**

Tel/Direct: 020 7007 8055  
[hebeck@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:hebeck@deloitte.co.uk)

**John Cotton**

Tel/Direct: 020 7007 2345  
[jdcotton@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:jdcotton@deloitte.co.uk)

**Patricia Bradley**

Tel/Direct: 020 7007 0124  
[patbradley@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:patbradley@deloitte.co.uk)

**Tosh Elder**

Tel/Direct: 020 7303 5140  
[telder@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:telder@deloitte.co.uk)

**Sean Davies**

Tel/Direct: 020 7303 4039  
[sedavies@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:sedavies@deloitte.co.uk)

**Stephen Cahill**

Tel/Direct: 020 7303 8801  
[scahill@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:scahill@deloitte.co.uk)

**Olivia Biggs**

Tel/Direct: 020 7007 2819  
[obiggs@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:obiggs@deloitte.co.uk)

**Chris Campbell**

Tel/Direct: 020 7007 8330  
[chrisjcampbell@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:chrisjcampbell@deloitte.co.uk)

**Noemie Hans**

Tel/Direct: 020 7303 3543  
[nohans@deloitte.co.uk](mailto:nohans@deloitte.co.uk)

Deloitte is a multidisciplinary service organisation which is subject to certain regulatory and professional restrictions on the types of services we can provide to our clients, particularly where an audit relationship exists, as independence issues and other conflicts of interest may arise. Any services we commit to deliver to you will comply fully with applicable restrictions.

Due to the constant changes and amendments to Luxembourg legislation, Deloitte cannot assume any liability for the content of this leaflet. It shall only serve as general information and shall not replace the need to consult your Deloitte advisor.

**About Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited:**

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see [www.deloitte.com/lu/about](http://www.deloitte.com/lu/about) for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and its member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, tax, consulting and financial advisory services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and deep local expertise to help clients succeed wherever they operate. Deloitte has in the region of 200,000 professionals, all committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

© 2015 Deloitte General Services

Designed and produced by MarCom at Deloitte Luxembourg