

The Leading Edge

The new roles of the subsidiary to help global enterprises drive transformation amidst the polycrisis


Contents

It's time to rethink	1
The Subsidiary—Viewing its role with a new lens	3
1. The Observer	4
2. Playbook Developer	5
3. Mandate Owner	6
A new agenda for the Global Management team	7
Endnotes	8

It's time to rethink

Global enterprises have no respite from new and unprecedented risks. They must acknowledge several imperatives all at once (Exhibit 1), managing the so-called *polycrisis*¹ leading economists are framing as perhaps the greatest strategic challenge for global enterprises since the Second World War².

Exhibit 1: The 5 global imperatives an enterprise's transformation needs to adapt to...




Source: Monitor Deloitte research

Deloitte's recent study [The Exponential Enterprise](#) establishes that winning enterprises are successfully navigating the polycrisis by leveraging their Capacity for Change to reinforce their Ability to Win through an 'always-on' transformation capability (Exhibit 2). On average, these Exponential Enterprises earn valuations that are 176% higher and 30% less volatile than industry competitors not adhering to this fundamental enterprise design principle³.

Exhibit 2: The two dimensions of Exponential Enterprise: Ability to Win and Capacity for Change

The future enterprise will grow by orienting the business around two dimensions: *ability to win* and *capacity for change*

The *ability to win* comprises all-weather value drivers that grow the enterprise through a wide range of potential future scenarios. The *capacity* for change provides the foundation for the enterprise to continuously and advantageously adapt



Source: Monitor Deloitte Analysis

Yet, for many enterprises, design and control of transformation efforts have long remained within the four walls of headquarters.

Proximity to the CEO's office and having the vantage to examine strategic issues simultaneously are the typical rationale provided. Relatively less attention has been paid to the role of subsidiaries to drive transformation for the enterprise. Historically, subsidiaries have been conduits of resource deployment to fulfill a set of functions dictated by headquarters. Enterprises have rarely considered **a subsidiary's local presence**


as a lever to not just create competitive advantages on a local level, but also on a global, enterprise-wide scale.

In today's digital, hyper-connected, and rapidly changing operating environment, **leaders must revisit the relationship between the global enterprise and local subsidiaries, and interrogate how the latter can be leveraged as drivers of advantageous strategic transformation.**

And that's not just appealing for global headquarters interests. Today, national governments are demanding global

enterprise to demonstrate the value it contributes to the local economy. To that effect, the global enterprise needs to leverage its local subsidiary to showcase its intention and action to be 'good local corporate citizens' and contribute to national economic and capability development. (Exhibit 3). There is a clear win-win value proposition for both the global parent company and the local national economy. As subsidiaries help lift the parent, they can deliver greater socio-economic and technological value to the nation simultaneously.

Exhibit 3: The 5 faces of national stakeholder's expectations from global enterprises



The Subsidiary—Viewing its role with a new lens

Exponential Enterprises will leverage their subsidiaries as an important lever for increasing their Capacity for Change, enhancing their Ability to Win, and supporting their ‘always-on’ transformation capability. To activate this lever, a new relationship paradigm must be established that is more integrated, seamless, real-time, and bi-directional.

We offer the subsidiary to transition from its current state as a resource deployer, to three subsidiary archetypes as future states aligned to the aspirations of the Exponential Enterprise:

1

The Observer


2

Playbook Developer

3

Mandate Owner

Exhibit 4: The evolving archetypes of the future of subsidiary



These archetypes are not mutually exclusive. Rather, the level of sophistication increases down the list, with each subsequent archetype being more sophisticated in terms of task complexity, capabilities required, and trust needed between the subsidiary and the enterprise. The suitability of each will vary according to the enterprise's current capabilities, its self-assessment of exposure to the polycrisis, and the strength of its aspiration to transform.

Let's explore each role in more detail.

1

The Observer

Navigating the polycrisis requires effective, real-time feedback on local market conditions and trends. Enterprise headquarters typically have neither the proximity nor the bandwidth to adequately capture and interpret signals emerging in local markets. How then, can they make quick and advantageous decisions in the face of rapid and complex change? In this context, the subsidiary's Observer role can provide a crucial input.

In this role, the subsidiary will sense, interpret, and communicate local market insights and knowledge to inform the enterprise to make the most advantageous strategic and tactical choices. This will give the global enterprise the necessary 'nimbleness' to stay ahead of competitors and maximise value creation and capture.

The subsidiary can serve as a real-time observer of local and/or regional trends, geopolitical moves, and innovations that may have global potential and implications. Knowledge of these market developments will in turn spur the enterprise to generate an advantageous response that will be executed through the subsidiary. Where new insights have implications on the other parts of the global business, the enterprise can quickly transfer not only knowledge, but market-tested responses.

A prime example of a subsidiary playing the Observer role is in the cosmetics industry, which has been rapidly evolving in the face of accelerating technological change. An Asian subsidiary of a European conglomerate cosmetics company had observed a shift in channel preference

for mass-market make-up brands as brick-and-mortar sales declined and e-commerce platforms took off locally. This local observation eventually led to the enterprise's decision to shift from an online-and-offline mix to an e-commerce-driven play in the subsidiary's market, where 80% of their customer segment was shopping online.⁴

A more sophisticated role emerges when the enterprise not only 'observes' but also 'builds' mechanisms to convert local trends into global IP. For example, a global pharmaceutical company has set up global R&D hubs in a large Asian country to link the local innovation ecosystem with the company's global ecosystem in order to feed novel ideas on drug innovation to the global enterprise.



Playbook Developer

The polycrisis will impact different markets with different momentum. A global enterprise will need to rely more on its subsidiary to build the fit-for-purpose response. The global enterprise will need to translate these responses into playbooks that can inform other local markets. This will reduce efforts to re-invent the wheel and improve speed to response. In this context, the subsidiary's Playbook Developer role comes into play.

In this Playbook Developer role, the subsidiary will not only take on the role of an observer, but also demonstrate to the global enterprise new pathways to respond to the trends. The subsidiary effectively becomes the source of new playbooks that the global enterprise can tweak and scale in other parts of the world as and when the need arises.

The Playbook Developer role can manifest in three ways:

First, the subsidiary can be empowered to develop 'new to the world' strategies to overcome immediate uncertainties. Once the new strategy proves successful in the local market, the enterprise can then tailor the approach for other regions to replicate the success. For example, after observing an 80% surge in demand for heritage-related travel in their home country, the European subsidiary of a global travel marketplace launched a new feature on their app that would provide consumers with direct access to heritage homes. Seeing the popularity of the new feature in the subsidiary's market, the global enterprise decided to launch this feature in other parts of Europe. Another example is in the fuel retailer industry. A regional subsidiary developed a playbook for its customer strategy in Southeast Asia encompassing the creation of a digital platform providing value-added services beyond physical fuel stations. The success of this local initiative led the enterprise to deploy this playbook in other regions.

Second, the subsidiary can serve as a 'sandbox' for testing new business models. As the term 'sandbox' suggests, the subsidiary is used to test an unproven initiative without impacting the rest of the enterprise. Only when the initiative has proven successful does the enterprise

roll it out in other applicable parts of the business. For example, the regional subsidiary of an oil and gas company is piloting a sustainability-led reward points program in Southeast Asia to test if nudging consumers to 'invest in green' via reward points can change consumer behaviours.

Third, the subsidiary can serve as an incubator for new internal and market-facing technologies and innovations. For many global enterprises, it is crucial to incubate technologies and innovations not only within its subsidiaries (which have higher odds of operational success), but also in regions that are rich with research and scientific resources. For example, a global technology giant had chosen a Southeast Asia to set up a US\$50 million R&D subsidiary that will develop an edge computing technology in conjunction with the country's 5G mobile network rollout. By being focused and deliberate about the subsidiary choice for incubation, the enterprise not only reduces incubation risks, but also greatly enhances the value of its technology assets through subsequent application in other parts of the business.

3

Mandate Owner

In the Mandate Owner role, the subsidiary is given ownership of specific areas of transformation on behalf of the global enterprise. This model implies the subsidiary is ‘in charge’ of a global mandate, working side-by-side with global teams to think through the vision, strategy, and operationalisation of the mandate.

This role would require the global enterprise to make the most shifts in the relationship it has with the subsidiary. Consider climate change. In our report, *CEOs and the Climate Action*⁵, we argue that the impediment to climate action is not intention but rather the ability to navigate five tensions⁽¹⁾. Navigating these tensions at a global level is suboptimal when the source of tension is rooted in local markets. On a case-by-case basis, a decentralized model is advantageous where subsidiaries are given a greater mandate to navigate these tensions given the local economic, political, regulatory, and technological

environment. For example, an electronics conglomerate has established its APAC sustainability office in Southeast Asia. The company realised that Southeast Asia would be the next frontier for climate change action as 75% of the 300 million people facing vulnerabilities from the rise of sea-level are in six nations, with all of them in Asia and three in Southeast Asia subsidiaries⁶. The move signalled to its stakeholders that to ensure action, climate change strategies are stronger when developed not in headquarters, but in local geographies where context and conditions can be more fully appreciated.

(1). The five tensions are: Profit today versus build for tomorrow, Follow versus pave the way, Compete versus collaborate, Pursue incremental versus transformational change, Focus on a narrow set of stakeholders interests versus a broader stakeholder set

A new agenda for the Global Management team

We have entered a 'perfect long storm'⁷ where winners will be those that choose to 'play long' and 'act fast' through continuous transformation. To that end, new mechanisms for networked cooperation between subsidiaries and headquarters need to be formed and new ways to combine diverse resources need to be built. To determine the future of subsidiary for their organisations, leaders should consider the following questions:

1

What are the key opportunities and risks that if capitalised or mitigated will help your organisation become an exponential enterprise? To what degree should the response be localised to accelerate the change?

2

Is your enterprise's ways of working with your subsidiary enabling or mitigating the change to deliver the desired response? Would any of the three roles discussed above give your company the needed push to deliver the response?

3

What is stopping your enterprise from enabling these roles? What should be the key quick wins and long-term initiatives to overcome them?

Winning enterprises will be those ahead of the curve in responding to the polycrisis, not just to gain that sliver of competitive advantage, but also to ensure business longevity. Reimagining the role of the subsidiary is an important step towards that goal.



Endnotes

1. World Economic Forum, We're on the brink of a 'polycrisis' – how worried should we be? <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2023/01/polycrisis-global-risks-report-cost-of-living/>
2. Nouriel Roubini, Sleepwalking on Megathreat Mountain <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/megathreats-and-polycrisis-descend-on-davos-by-nouriel-roubini-2023-01>
3. Deloitte, The Exponential Enterprise, <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/consulting/articles/exponential-enterprise.html>
4. Cosmetics Design Asia, Staying put: L'Oréal confirms that Maybelline will continue to have offline presence in China - CEO <https://www.cosmeticsdesign-asia.com/Article/2022/08/10/l-oreal-confirms-that-maybelline-will-continue-to-have-offline-presence-in-china-ceo>
5. CEOs and Climate Action, <https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/issues/climate/ceos-and-climate-action.html>
6. South-east Asia among regions hardest hit by climate change, must prioritise adaptation: IPCC <https://www.straitstimes.com/singapore/south-east-asia-among-regions-hardest-hit-by-climate-change-must-prioritise-adaptation-ipcc>
7. Confronting a Perfect Long Storm, Tharman Shanmugaratnam, June 2022, <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/fandd/issues/2022/06/confronting-a-perfect-long-storm-tharman-shanmugaratnam>

Authors

Mohit Mehrotra

Monitor Deloitte Asia Pacific Lead
momehrotra@deloitte.com

Samrat Bose

Editor & Contributing Writer
Director, Monitor Deloitte Southeast Asia
sambose@deloitte.com

Ashwini Vaidya

Contributing Writer
Senior Consultant, Monitor Deloitte
Southeast Asia
ashvaidya@deloitte.com

Bill Jarmuz

Contributing Writer
Senior Manager, Monitor Deloitte US
bjarmuz@deloitte.com

Fathan Amartya Mahardika

Contributing Writer
Strategy Analyst, Monitor Deloitte
Southeast Asia
fmahardika@deloitte.com

Jasmine Doo

Contributing Writer
Consultant, Monitor Deloitte
Southeast Asia
jadoo@deloitte.com

Contributors

Francisco Salazar Araiz

Monitor Deloitte Global Leader
frsazalar@deloitte.com

Robert Hillard

Consulting Leader,
Deloitte Asia Pacific
rhillard@deloitte.com.au

Akira Kato

Senior Manager, Monitor Deloitte Japan
akkato@tohmatsum.co.jp

Andrew Blau

Eminence & Insights US Leader
Deloitte Consulting US
ablau@deloitte.com

Andrew Dick

Principal, Monitor Deloitte Australia
andrewdick@deloitte.com.au

Anjani Kumar

Executive Director, Monitor Deloitte India
kumaranj@deloitte.com

Chris Rodgers

Monitor Deloitte New Zealand Lead
croddgers@deloitte.co.nz

Takeshi Fujii

Monitor Deloitte Japan Lead
tfujiii@tohmatsum.co.jp

Hyon Bo Sim

Monitor Deloitte Korea Lead
hsim@deloitte.com

Jia Ming Li

Monitor Deloitte China Lead
jjiamli@deloitte.com.cn

Kellie Nuttall

Monitor Deloitte Australia Lead
knuttall@deloitte.com.au

Piyush Jain

Monitor Deloitte Southeast Asia Lead
pijain@deloitte.com

Siddharth Shah

Director, Monitor Deloitte India
sidshah@deloitte.com

Monitor **Deloitte.**

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organisation”). DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity, provide services from more than 100 cities across the region, including Auckland, Bangkok, Beijing, Bengaluru, Hanoi, Hong Kong, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Melbourne, Mumbai, New Delhi, Osaka, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Taipei and Tokyo.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organisation”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication. DTTL and each of its member firms, and their related entities, are legally separate and independent entities.