Pensions Automatic Enrolment ("AE") Saving costs and remaining compliant



Since the introduction of Pensions Automatic Enrolment ('AE') in October 2012, employers of all sizes may now be required to enroll their workers in workplace pensions. With The Pensions Regulator increasing enforcement activity and spot-check reviews, organisations need to ensure their data and process management is robust and can deliver the required insights.

Overview



- All employers now operate one or more workplace pensions and pension providers have been offering schemes designed to support automatic enrolment for some years; but in practice we continue to see cases of non-compliance across a range of AE areas.
- In recent years, the Pension Regulator has significantly increased the number of proactive reviews of AE compliance, with a
 number of reviews being opened in recent months. Several common issues are regularly being identified and we are seeing the
 Regulator using its powers to take action against non-compliance.
- Issues noted range from problems arising because the scheme and processes have not been reviewed since AE was first implemented through to issues arising from processes and controls being incorrectly applied.
- Employers need to be ready to produce the data required to evidence compliance with the legislation. Furthermore, employers
 need robust processes in operation behind the data platforms. There can be gaps in the process both in the day-to-day
 compliance tasks and more broadly in the overall compliance function.
- In reviewing current processes and controls both cost and efficiency savings can also be identified, particularly where processes
 have not been considered or reviewed since AE was implemented. Savings can be identified through considering if current
 contribution structures are still appropriate in addition to identifying where processes can be automated and made more
 efficient (moving away from the status quo). Salary sacrifice for pensions is an additional area where savings can be easily
 achieved and is seen as a benefit to both the member and the employer.
- We therefore recommend that employers consider undertaking a review of their current AE and wider pension arrangements to identify savings, efficiencies and to review their level of compliance.

Common pitfalls



- Late and/or missing contributions where pension contributions are paid late or missed altogether for certain members due to ineffective processes being in place.
- · Under / over payments of contributions where calculations are performed incorrectly, or incorrect amounts are processed.
- · Late or non-enrolment of staff this can create periods of under contribution for both employees and the employer.
- Incorrect salary sacrifice treatment and/or incorrect tax relief application this is a significant issue we often see with salary sacrifice not being implemented properly alongside AE, or with processes being outdated and no longer compliant.
- Inconsistent data across payroll, HR, finance and the pension provider this problem can lead to incorrect pension statements, tax non-compliance and AE non-compliance.
- Investment of contribution being made late due to ineffective processes, meaning members are missing out on investment returns.
- Errors with life styling of investment contributions.
- Late auto-enrolment, due to poor on boarding processes.
- Incorrect opt-out processes meaning opt-outs aren't effective.

© 2021 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved. Fair Pay: Strategy & Compliance 1

The Good Work Plan National Minimum Wage

Holiday Pay

Pensions Automatic Enrolment

Diversity and Inclusion

Pensions Automatic Enrolment ("AE") Saving costs and remaining compliant



How Deloitte can help

We can support your business to review current processes and systems to identify cost savings and efficiencies, in addition to highlighting areas of compliance risk. Our approach is first to understand your processes, identify opportunities and the changes required before developing an action plan to address any risks and benefit identified.

- **Understand** We will work with your key stakeholders to understand your member population, the current contribution structures and the relevant systems, processes and controls used within your current AE solution.
- Identify opportunities and risks We will perform more detailed testing and checking, using analytics tools we have
 developed, to identify where there may be compliance risks (whether that be based on the level of contributions paid,
 contributions remitted on time, confirming appropriate communications are sent to members in the correct timescales
 etc.). In addition, we will consider whether there is a better contribution structure or perhaps an opportunity to introduce
 or improve pension salary sacrifice arrangements. During this phase we will also consider the systems which are currently in
 place to determine whether there are inefficiencies or risks which could be removed. This phase will involve some detailed
 testing of the policies and systems in place.
- Calculate and quantify Having identified the opportunities and risks we will then provide a summary of the potential cost savings or non-compliance risks.
- Change planning We will work with the relevant stakeholders to address and action the recommendations from the identify and quantify stage. During this phase we will help you to develop a project plan and assign stakeholder responsibilities.
- Implementation and testing This may take different forms dependent on the recommendations and findings identified but may include considering a new contribution structure for certain members or removing the need for manual intervention within current systems, or even supporting you in considering/selecting a new systems provider.
- Communications Where changes have been implemented, it is likely these will need to be communicated to members, as
 well as there being a need to communicate the process changes and updates to those key stakeholders involved in
 delivering AE requirements. We will support you with those communications to deliver a seamless change.



Contacts

Partners

Helen Kaye

hkaye@deloitte.co.uk +44 113 292 1316

Harvey Smith

hasmith@deloitte.co.uk +44 121 696 8773

John Lewis

jlewis@deloitte.co.uk +44 121 696 8773

James Warwick

jwarwick@deloitte.co.uk +44 20 7007 1461

Hayley McKelvey

hmckelvey@deloitte.co.uk +44 20 7303 3940

Mark Groom

mgroom@deloitte.co.uk +44 20 7007 2770

Michael Nicolaides

mnicolaides@deloitte.co.uk +44 20 7303 8874

Director - Legal

Caron Gosling

cgosling@deloitte.co.uk +44 20 7007 2594

Director – Fair Pay

Christopher Robson

christopherrobson@deloitte.co.uk +44 121 695 5958

© 2021 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved. Fair Pay: Strategy & Compliance 2



This publication has been written in general terms and we recommend that you obtain professional advice before acting or refraining from action on any of the contents of this publication. Deloitte LLP accepts no liability for any loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication.

Deloitte LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303675 and its registered office at 1 New Street Square, London EC4A 3HQ, United Kingdom.

Deloitte LLP is the United Kingdom affiliate of Deloitte NSE LLP, a member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"). DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL and Deloitte NSE LLP do not provide services to clients. Please click here to learn more about our global network of member firms.

© 2021 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved.