

California: Office of Tax Appeals determines Value Added Tax (VAT) on services includible in sales factor

Overview

In November of 2019, the California Office of Tax Appeals (OTA) issued an opinion providing that Value Added Tax (VAT), charged on services, were includable in the California sales factor denominator for the 2008 tax year.¹ For the 2008 tax year, the taxpayer at issue had included the VAT imposed on services that were collected from its customers and remitted to foreign jurisdictions as gross receipts in the sales factor, pursuant to Cal. Rev. & Tax Code 25120(e). The FTB challenged this determination, relying primarily on Cal. Reg. 25134(a)(1) that explicitly stated that excise and sales taxes were included in the sales factor for sales of tangible personal property, but did not specifically state that it was allowed for sales of services. The OTA decision evaluated the scope of gross receipts for the California sales factor and held that VAT was properly includible under the definition of gross receipts in Cal. Rev. & Tax Code 25120(e).

This tax alert summarizes the facts and key points from the OTA's decision.

Factual background

Robert Half International, Inc. & Subs. (Taxpayer) is a global human resource consulting firm, providing services to customers in the United States, as well as foreign customers. During 2008, the Taxpayer charged VAT and collected it from foreign customers on certain foreign service fees. The Taxpayer included the total amount of the foreign service fees invoiced, including VAT, in its sales factor denominator on its 2008 California corporation franchise tax return.²

Subsequently, the California Franchise Tax Board (FTB) instituted an examination including the Taxpayer's 2008 return. On exam, the FTB asserted VAT should not have been included in the sales factor. Thus, the FTB proposed to increase the Taxpayer's California apportionment factor, due to the removal of the VAT amounts from the denominator, which resulted in additional California tax and interest. The Taxpayer appealed this determination to the OTA.

Analysis and Decision

For tax year 2008, California generally required corporate taxpayers to apportion business income to California pursuant to an apportionment factor consisting of a property factor, payroll factor, and a double-weighted sales factor. The Taxpayer argued that VAT was properly includible in the denominator of its 2008 sales factor and pointed towards the definition of "all gross receipts" in Cal. Rev. & Tax Code 25120(e). The Taxpayer further asserted that this provision has been broadly interpreted by the courts to include the "whole amount received".

Conversely, the FTB argued, among other things, that Cal. Code Reg. 25134(a)(1) was the proper administrative interpretation and specifically addressed the sales factor by providing a listing of items that should be included as "gross receipts." As the regulation provided for the inclusion of excise or sales tax (which included VAT)³ only for sales of tangible personal property (TPP), the amounts related to services were not properly includible. Further, the FTB asserted that its interpretation should be given deference.

The OTA noted the California Supreme Court has interpreted the phrase "all gross receipts" in Cal. Rev. & Tax Code 25120(e) as the "whole amount received, without deduction"⁴ and other courts have similarly taken an expansive view. Further, the OTA stated that before concluding a statute was ambiguous, thus potentially deferring to an agency's interpretation, all the traditional tools of construction should be evaluated. In this analysis, the OTA found the plain

¹ *In the Matter of the Appeal of: Robert Half International Inc. and Subsidiaries*, [OTA Case No. 18011756 \(October 3, 2019\)](#). (Robert Half).

² As the Taxpayer was only required to collect VAT on foreign services, which were outside California, these amounts were only reflected in the denominator.

³ For California purposes, the VAT is treated as an excise or indirect tax.

⁴ *Robert Half*, OTA Case No. 18011756, citing *Microsoft Corp v. Franchise Tax Bd.*, 39 Cal 4th 750, 759 (2006).

External Multistate Tax Alert

language of “gross receipts” to be inclusive (not exclusive) and thus the FTB’s exclusionary interpretation was beyond the statute.

The OTA also rejected the FTB’s policy argument that the inclusion of VAT on services would provide an advantage for foreign trade taxpayers over similarly situated U.S. trade taxpayers. The OTA noted that the same potential inconsistency could exist for VAT on TPP, which is included in Cal. Code Reg. 25134(a)(1)(A). The OTA also noted the option of alternative apportionment under Cal. Rev. & Tax Code 25137 might, but the FTB conceded Rev. & Tax. Code 25137 should not be invoked due to the lack of distortion under the present facts.

Based on the above analysis, the OTA held that VAT on sales of services was properly includible as “gross receipts” in the Taxpayer’s California sales factor for the 2008 tax year.

Considerations

Taxpayers who are required to charge VAT on foreign sales of services may want to consider the analysis in the OTA decision. Although the OTA’s decision is not binding beyond the Taxpayer, it does provide some indication of the OTA’s position on this issue.

Contacts:

If you have questions regarding the impact of VAT on the California sales factor or other California Tax matters, please contact any of the following Deloitte professionals:

Tony Fuller

Managing Director

Deloitte Tax LLP, San Francisco

+1 415 783 7116

tonyfuller@deloitte.com

Bart Baer

Principal

Deloitte Tax LLP, San Francisco

+1 415 783 6090

bartbaer@deloitte.com

Chris W. Campbell

Principal

Deloitte Tax LLP, Los Angeles

+1 213 553 3072

cwcampbell@deloitte.com

Kathy Freeman

Managing Director

Deloitte Tax LLP, Sacramento

+1 916 288 3392

katfreeman@deloitte.com

Shirley Wei

Senior Manager

Deloitte Tax LLP, Los Angeles

+1 213 553 1715

shiwei@deloitte.com

Saba Shatara

Manager

Deloitte Tax LLP, San Francisco

+1 415 783 4765

sshatara@deloitte.com

For further information, visit our website at www.deloitte.com/us/multistatetax

Follow [@DeloitteTax](https://twitter.com/DeloitteTax)

This alert contains general information only and Deloitte is not, by means of this alert, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This alert is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this alert.

About Deloitte

As used in this document, “Deloitte” means Deloitte Tax LLP, a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP. Please see www.deloitte.com/us/about for a detailed description of our legal structure. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting.

Copyright © 2019 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.