

## Case 11-2(b)

### Fair Value Disclosures

Case 11-2(b) is an extension of Case 11-2(a). For this case, assume that the Case 11-2(a) facts remain, with the exception of the additional assumptions listed below for each security. As stated in Case 11-2(a), Family Finance Co. (FFC) accounts for its investments at fair value, with changes in fair value reflected either in earnings (for trading securities) or other comprehensive income (OCI) (for available-for-sale (AFS) securities).<sup>1</sup> Because FFC uses the interest rate swap in a cash-flow hedge, FFC measures the derivative at fair value, presenting the portion of the fair value change that effectively offsets cash flow variability on its corporate debt in OCI and the remainder in earnings.

Additional facts related to specific securities and derivatives owned by FFC are described below. Also refer to the data table at the end of this section for the fair value amounts for each instrument needed to complete the case.

*Students should assume that all amounts discussed below and those included in the data tables are U.S. dollars in thousands.*

#### Instrument 1 — Collateralized Debt Obligation

- FFC classifies its collateralized debt obligation (CDO) within Level 3 of the ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurement*, fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012.
- FFC identified October 1, 2012, as the date on which the CDO's fair value measurement changed in classification from Level 2 to Level 3.
- FFC determined the broker quotes were not significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety because those quotes resulted in a management adjustment to the income-approach discount rate of just 1 percent. On the basis of sensitivity analysis performed by adjusting the discount rate, management determined percentage changes of 2 percent result in a significantly higher or lower fair value. Further, management performed a qualitative assessment of the significance of these inputs to its fair value measurement and concluded that it did not place much weight on these measurements because they were based on proprietary models using unobservable inputs. That is, management could not, without unreasonable effort, conclude with sufficient assurance whether the quotes were prepared in accordance with ASC 820 and reflected current market conditions and market participant assumptions.
- FFC accounts for the CDO as a trading security.

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that as discussed in Case 11-2(a), Instrument 4 is an equity security that does not have a readily determinable fair value and thus is not within the scope of ASC 320, *Investments — Debt and Equity Securities*. However, FFC has elected the fair value option for the security in accordance with ASC 825-10, *Financial Instruments: Overall*, and thus accounts for the investment at fair value with changes in fair value recorded through earnings.

**Instrument 2 — Mortgage-Backed Security**

- FFC classifies its mortgage-backed security (MBS) within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012.
- FFC accounts for the MBS as a trading security.

**Instrument 3 — Auction-Rate Security**

- FFC classifies its auction-rate securities (ARSs) within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012.
- FFC identified November 1, 2012, as the date on which the fair value measurement of the ARSs changed in classification from Level 2 to Level 3.
- FFC accounts for the ARSs as AFS securities.

**Instrument 4 — Equity Security of a Nonpublic Company**

- FFC classifies its investment in Company X within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy during 2012.
- FFC sold the equity security in October 2012 for \$120.

**Instrument 5 — Interest Rate Swap**

- The interest rate (IR) swap is part of a portfolio of IR swaps. FFC individually assessed the IR swaps and classified them within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012.
- FFC does not measure its corporate debt at fair value with changes in fair value reported in earnings.
- FFC executes IR swaps with various counterparties and accounts for its IR swap assets and liabilities on a gross basis on its balance sheet.

**Instrument 6 — Fuel Swap — Gasoline**

- FFC classifies its fuel swap within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012.
- The fuel swap was the only derivative in FFC's commodity derivatives portfolio.
- The fuel swap's fair value at initial recognition (January 2, 2012) was \$0. Furthermore, each of the four annual swaplets<sup>2</sup> had an inception value of \$0.

---

<sup>2</sup> A swaplet is akin to a swap with a single settlement. For example, an IR swap with a duration of two years that re-prices and settles every quarter can also be viewed as a sequential series of eight swaplets at inception (each swaplet is net settled as of the settlement date specified in the swap contract). The fair value measurement of an IR swap considers the expected cash flows of all unsettled swaplets as of the measurement date.

- The first annual swaplet settled on December 31, 2012, resulting in a net cash payment to FFC of \$100.

**Required:**

- Using the case facts and the fair value amounts provided in the fair value data table below, prepare the annual quantitative disclosure tables required by ASC 820 as of December 31, 2012, for each of the six instruments:
  - The fair value measurements as of the reporting date (i.e., December 31, 2012) separately for each class of assets and liabilities. **Use blank table formats 1a and 1b below to complete the required quantitative disclosures.** (Note that participants are also required to identify the classes of assets and liabilities to include in Tables 1a and 1b.)
  - For assets and liabilities measured at fair value by means of significant unobservable inputs on a recurring basis, a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances (i.e., annual table) separately for each class of assets and liabilities, including where the gains or losses included in earnings are reported in the income statement. **Use blank table format 2 below to complete the required quantitative disclosures.** (Note that participants are also required to identify the classes of assets and liabilities to include in Table 2.)
  - For certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (1) the amount of the total gains or losses for the period included in earnings that are attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to those assets and liabilities still held as of the reporting date (i.e., December 31, 2012) and (2) a description of where those unrealized gains or losses are reported in the income statement. **Use blank table format 2 below to complete the required quantitative disclosures.**
  - For assets and liabilities measured at fair value by means of significant unobservable inputs, quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement. **Use blank table format 3 below to complete the required quantitative disclosures.** (Note that participants are also required to identify the classes of assets and liabilities to include in Table 3.)
- Identify any qualitative disclosures required under ASC 820 for each of the six instruments as of December 31, 2012.

Data Tables						
Fair Value Data Table						
(U.S. dollar amounts in thousands)						
Instrument	Beginning Balance — 1/1/2012	Purchase Value / Date	Fair Value at Transfer Date	Ending Balance — 12/31/2012	Income Statement Line Item	
1. CDO	N/A	50 / 6/1/12	40	25	Trading revenues	
2. MBS	N/A	85 / 9/1/12	N/A	75	Trading revenues	
3. ARS	75	N/A	55	50	Other revenues	
4. Equity security of nonpublic company (PEI)	90	N/A	N/A	0	Other revenues	
5. IR swap (asset)	N/A	0 / 1/2/2012	N/A	40	Trading revenues	
5a. IR swap portfolio — gross asset values	200	Various	N/A	140	Trading revenues	
5b. IR swap portfolio — gross liability values	(125)	Various	N/A	(100)	Trading revenues	
5c. IR swap portfolio — net assets by counterparty	105	Various	N/A	60	Trading revenues	
5d. IR swap portfolio — net liability by counterparty	(30)	Various	N/A	(20)	Trading revenues	
6. Fuel swap	N/A	0 / 1/2/2012	N/A	375	Trading revenues	
PEI = private equity investments.						
Instrument 5 — IR Swap Portfolio (Support for Break-up by Counterparty to Compute Gross Values)						
Beginning Balance	Total	Counterparties				
		A-1	A-2	B-1	B-2	
Asset	200	20	40	20	120	
Liability	-125	-30	-25	-40	-30	
Net	75	-10	15	-20	90	
Ending balance	Asset	140	40	50	30	20
	Liability	-100	-15	-15	-50	-20
	Net	40	25	35	-20	0

<b>Fuel Swap Data Table</b>							
Pay Fixed, Receive Float (Fuel Swap)							
Four-year swap settles annually, executed 1/1/12, matures 12/31/15; Inception transaction price = zero (at-market swap), each of the four annual swaplets had an inception fair value = zero							
Fair Value by Period by Swaplet	Swaplet Fair Value					Cash Flow From Settlements Occurring at End of Quarter	
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total		
Fair value — Year 1 (1/2/12 — beginning of period)	0	0	0	0	0		
Fair value — Year 1 (12/31/12 — end of period)	N/A — settled	120	125	130	375	Y1	100

  

<b>Unobservable Inputs Data Table</b>	
<b>Collateralized Debt Obligation</b>	
Credit spread	2%
Discount for lack of marketability	5%
<b>Auction-Rate Security</b>	
Estimate of future coupon rates	4.30%
Constant prepayment rate	4.00%
Credit spread	2.00%
Discount for lack of marketability	15%
<b>Auction-Rate Security</b>	
U.S. unleaded gasoline forward price curve (per gallon)	\$2.00 - \$4.00
CVA	4.00%

