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Preface

March 2020

To our clients, colleagues, and other friends:

The life sciences ecosystem encompasses a vast array of entities that discover, develop, and manufacture health care products. Such entities include pharmaceutical manufacturers; biotechnology companies; medical device, diagnostic, and medical equipment manufacturers; and service companies such as drug distributors, contract research organizations (CROs), contract manufacturing organizations (CMOs), and health technology companies.

Finance and accounting professionals in the industry face complex issues and must exercise significant judgment in applying existing rules to matters such as research and development (R&D) costs, acquisitions and divestitures, consolidation, contingencies, revenue recognition, income taxes, financial instruments, and financial statement presentation and disclosure. The 2020 edition of Deloitte's Life Sciences Industry Accounting Guide (the “Guide”) addresses these and other relevant topics affecting the industry this year. It includes interpretive guidance, illustrative examples, recent standard-setting developments (through February 28, 2020), and key differences between U.S. GAAP and IFRS® Standards. In addition, this Guide discusses the outlook for the life sciences industry in 2020. Further, while many of the key accounting and financial reporting considerations stemming from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak are related to topics addressed in this Guide, we encourage you to review Deloitte’s March 25, 2020, Financial Reporting Alert, which discusses accounting and financial reporting considerations associated with COVID-19 that are broadly applicable as well as those that apply specifically to the life sciences industry.

Appendix B lists the titles of standards and other literature we cited, and Appendix C defines the abbreviations we used.

This Guide is available on the Deloitte Accounting Research Tool (DART).

We hope this Guide helps you navigate the various accounting and reporting challenges you face. We encourage you to contact your Deloitte team for additional information and assistance.

Sincerely,

Jeff Ellis       Dennis Howell
U.S. and Global Audit Leader —     Senior Consultation Partner, Accounting
Life Sciences        and Reporting Services
Life Sciences Industry Professional        Practice Director
Practice Director
Deloitte & Touche LLP  Deloitte & Touche LLP
Chapter 4 — Acquisitions and Divestitures

4.1 Introduction

Worldwide, the growing demand for health care services, fueled by aging populations and burgeoning middle classes along with expectations of higher-quality care and a squeeze on funding, is driving a need for new business models. With public finances stretched, governments in countries from the United States and the United Kingdom to Japan, China, and Brazil are rethinking their health care strategies. In such an environment, companies must find new ways to improve the efficiency of their operations, increase their R&D capabilities, and tap into alternative sources of innovation. As a result of these challenges, significant merger and acquisition (M&A) activity has occurred in the life sciences industry in recent years. Manufacturers have continued to search for opportunities to access new markets, mitigate risk, and replace revenues and cash flows lost as a result of pricing pressures and patent expirations.

It is important for entities to correctly apply the guidance on accounting for M&A transactions because of the significantly different accounting outcomes that exist in this area of financial reporting. For example, the application of the guidance in ASC 805 on accounting for business combinations can differ significantly depending on whether the acquired entity is considered a “business” or an “asset.” Similarly, application of the guidance in ASC 205 on the presentation and disclosure of discontinued operations related to divestiture transactions fundamentally affects financial statement presentation.

The sections below discuss some of the accounting issues related to acquisitions and divestitures that life sciences entities frequently encounter, as well as recent SEC comment letter feedback and FASB standard-setting developments related to this topic.

4.2 Industry Issues

In recent years, M&A activity has increased in the life sciences industry as entities have continued to look for ways to expand their pipeline of products in development. An entity must use significant judgment in (1) evaluating whether a transaction represents the acquisition of a “business” as defined in ASC 805-10 and clarified by ASU 2017-01 and (2) accounting for transactions after that determination has been made.

4.2.1 Definition of a Business

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01 (codified in ASC 805) in response to stakeholder feedback indicating that the definition of a business was too broad and that too many transactions were qualifying as business combinations even though many of these transactions may have more closely resembled asset acquisitions. Because the definition under legacy U.S. GAAP has been interpreted broadly, it can be difficult and costly to analyze transactions. The amendments in the ASU are intended to make the application of the guidance more consistent and cost-efficient.
The Background Information and Basis for Conclusions of ASU 2017-01 indicates that the amendments are intended to “narrow the definition of a business and provide a framework that gives entities a basis for making reasonable judgments about whether a transaction involves an asset or a business.” In addition, ASU 2017-01 provides examples that illustrate how an entity should apply the amendments in determining whether a set is a business.

ASU 2017-01 is effective for PBEs for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. For all other entities, the ASU is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The ASU must be applied prospectively on or after the effective date, and no disclosures for a change in accounting principle are required at transition.

Early application is allowed for transactions for which the acquisition or disposal date occurs in a period for which financial statements have not been issued or made available for issuance.

For more information about ASU 2017-01, see Deloitte’s January 13, 2017, Heads Up.

**Connecting the Dots**

Concerns about the definition of a business were among the primary issues raised in connection with the Financial Accounting Foundation’s May 2013 [postimplementation review report](#) on FASB Statement No. 141(R) (codified in ASC 805).

### 4.2.1.1 Significance of the Revised Definition of a Business

An entity uses the definition of a business in ASC 805 in many areas of accounting, including acquisitions, disposals, reporting-unit determinations, and consolidation. For example, this distinction is important because the accounting for an asset acquisition significantly differs from the accounting for a business combination.

The FASB considered addressing the concern about the definition of a business more directly by attempting to reduce or eliminate differences in accounting where the definition is relevant. However, to respond to stakeholder concerns in a timely fashion, the FASB decided to begin this project by clarifying the definition of a business. In a future phase of the project, the FASB plans to consider whether there are differences in the acquisition and derecognition guidance for assets and businesses that could be aligned.

### 4.2.1.2 Single or Similar Asset Threshold

The revised definition of a business provides a practical way to determine when a set is not a business. That is, “[i]f substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets, the set is not considered a business.” When this threshold is met, an entity does not need to evaluate the rest of the implementation guidance. The Background Information and Basis for Conclusions of ASU 2017-01 notes that the assessment may be either qualitative or quantitative. Sometimes, an entity may be able to qualitatively determine that all of the fair value of the acquisition would be assigned to a single asset or a group of similar assets. Paragraph BC19 of ASU 2017-01 offers the following example:

> [i]f the acquisition includes a license for a drug candidate and an at-market contract and the entity concludes that the at-market contract has at the date of assessment little or no fair value assigned to it or the fair value of a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets is so significant that it is very clear that the threshold will be met, the entity may conclude that the threshold has been met.
An entity may also be able to qualitatively determine that the fair value of the acquisition would be assigned to multiple dissimilar assets, in which case the threshold would not be met. In other cases, an entity may need to perform a quantitative assessment.

In addition, the FASB “decided that the threshold could be met if the fair value is concentrated in a group of similar identifiable assets” (e.g., when “an entity acquires . . . multiple versions of substantially the same asset type instead of precisely one asset”). The Board further noted that although it intended “to make the analysis practical, the criteria are intended to weigh the need for practicality with the risk that too many items are grouped together to avoid being considered a business.”

To avoid grouping too many assets together, ASC 805-10-55-5C indicates that when evaluating whether assets are similar, an entity “should consider the nature of each single identifiable asset and the risks associated with managing and creating outputs from the assets (that is, the risk characteristics).” Further, ASC 805-10-55-5C notes that “the following should not be considered similar assets”:

a. A tangible asset and an intangible asset
b. Identifiable intangible assets in different major intangible asset classes (for example, customer-related intangibles, trademarks, and in-process research and development)
c. A financial asset and a nonfinancial asset
d. Different major classes of financial assets (for example, accounts receivable and marketable securities)
e. Different major classes of tangible assets (for example, inventory, manufacturing equipment, and automobiles)
f. Identifiable assets within the same major asset class that have significantly different risk characteristics.

ASC 805-10-55-65 through 55-68 (added by ASU 2017-01) illustrate how a life sciences entity would apply the guidance discussed above:

---

**ASC 805-10**

**Case B: Acquisition of a Drug Candidate**

**Scenario 1**

55-65 Pharma Co. purchases from Biotech a legal entity that contains the rights to a Phase 3 (in the clinical research phase) compound being developed to treat diabetes (the in-process research and development project). Included in the in-process research and development project [are] the historical know-how, formula protocols, designs, and procedures expected to be needed to complete the related phase of testing. The legal entity also holds an at-market clinical research organization contract and an at-market clinical manufacturing organization contract. No employees, other assets, or other activities are transferred.

55-66 Pharma Co. first considers the guidance in paragraphs 805-10-55-5A through 55-5C. Pharma Co. concludes that the in-process research and development project is an identifiable intangible asset that would be accounted for as a single asset in a business combination. Pharma Co. also qualitatively concludes that there is no fair value associated with the clinical research organization contract and the clinical manufacturing organization contract because the services are being provided at market rates and could be provided by multiple vendors in the marketplace. Therefore, all of the consideration in the transaction will be allocated to the in-process research and development project. As such, Pharma Co. concludes that substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in the single in-process research and development asset and the set is not a business.
ASC 805-10 (continued)

Scenario 2

**55-67** Pharma Co. purchases from Biotech a legal entity that contains the rights to a Phase 3 compound being developed to treat diabetes (Project 1) and a Phase 3 compound being developed to treat Alzheimer's disease (Project 2). Included with each project are the historical know-how, formula protocols, designs, and procedures expected to be needed to complete the related phase of testing. The legal entity also holds at-market clinical research organization contracts and at-market clinical manufacturing organization contracts associated with each project. Assume that Project 1 and Project 2 have equal fair value. No employees, other assets, or other activities are transferred.

**55-68** Pharma Co. concludes that Project 1 and Project 2 are each separately identifiable intangible assets, both of which would be accounted for as a single asset in a business combination. Pharma Co. then considers whether Project 1 and Project 2 are similar assets. Pharma Co. notes that the nature of the assets is similar in that both Project 1 and Project 2 are in-process research and development assets in the same major asset class. However, Pharma Co. concludes that Project 1 and Project 2 have significantly different risks associated with creating outputs from each asset because each project has different risks associated with developing and marketing the compound to customers. The projects are intended to treat significantly different medical conditions, and each project has a significantly different potential customer base and expected market and regulatory risks associated with the assets. Thus, Pharma Co. concludes that substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is not concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets and that it must further evaluate whether the set has the minimum requirements to be considered a business.

Connecting the Dots

Life sciences entities may need to exercise significant judgment in performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets. For example, judgment may be required to determine whether:

- Compounds within the same major asset class possess “significantly different risk characteristics.” For example, Scenario 2 of Case B describes two phase III compounds in different therapeutic specialties as possessing significantly different risk characteristics because each project (1) “has different risks associated with developing and marketing the compound to customers,” (2) is “intended to treat significantly different medical conditions,” and (3) “has a significantly different potential customer base and expected market and regulatory risks associated with the assets.” In contrast, the acquisition of multiple approved generic products in the same therapeutic specialty might be considered to be similar assets because they require no further development, are marketed to the same customers, treat similar medical conditions, and may possess similar market and regulatory risks.

- Substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or group of similar identifiable assets. For example, judgment may be necessary in the following circumstances:
  - When CRO contracts or CMO contracts are assumed, the reporting entity may have to use judgment to determine whether the services are being provided at market rates in such a manner that all of the consideration in a transaction would be allocated to an IPR&D project.
If an acquired product has received regulatory approval for a specific indication but certain other indications are still under development, the reporting entity may have to use judgment to determine whether substantially all of the fair value is concentrated in the approved indication or the unapproved indications, given that these assets may not be grouped because they represent different classes of intangible assets. Similar judgments would be required if an acquired product has received regulatory approval in one jurisdiction but not in another jurisdiction.

### 4.2.1.3 Substantive Process

As noted in paragraph BC35 of ASU 2017-01, the amendments in the ASU also “clarify that an input and a substantive process together are required to significantly contribute to the ability to create outputs. The Board wanted to emphasize that the process must be important to the ability to create outputs to make sure that the bar is not set too low.”

The amendments provide different criteria for entities to evaluate in determining whether a set has a substantive process, depending on whether a set has outputs.

### 4.2.1.3.1 A Set With No Outputs

When outputs are not present (e.g., an early-stage company that has not generated revenues), an entity will need to apply more stringent criteria when determining whether a set has a substantive process. ASU 2017-01 points out that “[b]ecause outputs are a key element of a business and [because] a business usually has outputs, . . . when that key element is missing, the other elements should be more significant.” Therefore, to qualify as a business, a set that does not have outputs “must include an organized workforce that has the necessary skills, knowledge, or experience to perform an acquired process (or group of processes) that when applied to another acquired input or inputs is critical to the ability to develop or convert that acquired input or inputs into output.” The existence of any employee does not mean that a set without outputs should be considered a business. ASC 805-10-55-5D notes that in the evaluation of whether an acquired workforce is performing a substantive process, the following factors should be considered:

- A process (or group of processes) is not critical if, for example, it is considered ancillary or minor in the context of all the processes required to create outputs.

- Inputs that employees who form an organized workforce could develop (or are developing) or convert into outputs could include the following:
  1. Intellectual property that could be used to develop a good or service
  2. Resources that could be developed to create outputs
  3. Access to necessary materials or rights that enable the creation of future outputs.

Examples of inputs that could be developed include technology, mineral interests, real estate, and in-process research and development.
ASC 805-10-55-70 and ASC 805-10-55-72 illustrate the assessment that a life sciences entity would perform when a set has no outputs:

**Case C: Acquisition of Biotech**

**55-70** Pharma Co. buys all of the outstanding shares of Biotech. Biotech’s operations include research and development activities on several drug compounds that it is developing (in-process research and development projects). The in-process research and development projects are in different phases of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval process and would treat significantly different diseases. The set includes senior management and scientists that have the necessary skills, knowledge, or experience to perform research and development activities. In addition, Biotech has long-lived tangible assets such as a corporate headquarters, a research lab, and lab equipment. Biotech does not yet have a marketable product and, therefore, has not generated revenues. Assume that each research and development project has a significant amount of fair value.

**55-72** Because the set does not have outputs, Pharma Co. evaluates the criteria in paragraph 805-10-55-5D to determine whether the set has both an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create outputs. Pharma Co. concludes that the criteria are met because the scientists make up an organized workforce that has the necessary skills, knowledge, or experience to perform processes that when applied to the in-process research and development inputs is critical to the ability to develop those inputs into a product that can be provided to a customer. Pharma Co. also determines that there is a more-than-insignificant amount of goodwill (including the fair value associated with the workforce), which is another indicator that the workforce is performing a critical process. Thus, the set includes both inputs and substantive processes and is a business.

4.2.1.3.2 A Set With Outputs

The Background Information and Basis for Conclusions of ASU 2017-01 indicates that when a set has outputs (i.e., there is a continuation of revenues before and after the transaction), “it is more likely that the set includes both an input and a substantive process when compared with a set that is not generating outputs.” Therefore, the criteria for determining whether a set with outputs has a substantive process are less stringent. ASC 805-10-55-5E indicates that the set would include a substantive process if any of the following criteria are met:

a. Employees that form an organized workforce that has the necessary skills, knowledge, or experience to perform an acquired process (or group of processes) that when applied to an acquired input or inputs is critical to the ability to continue producing outputs. A process (or group of processes) is not critical if, for example, it is considered ancillary or minor in the context of all of the processes required to continue producing outputs.

b. An acquired contract that provides access to an organized workforce that has the necessary skills, knowledge, or experience to perform an acquired process (or group of processes) that when applied to an acquired input or inputs is critical to the ability to continue producing outputs. An entity should assess the substance of an acquired contract and whether it has effectively acquired an organized workforce that performs a substantive process (for example, considering the duration and the renewal terms of the contract).

c. The acquired process (or group of processes) when applied to an acquired input or inputs significantly contributes to the ability to continue producing outputs and cannot be replaced without significant cost, effort, or delay in the ability to continue producing outputs.

d. The acquired process (or group of processes) when applied to an acquired input or inputs significantly contributes to the ability to continue producing outputs and is considered unique or scarce.

An organized workforce may signify the existence of a substantive process but would not be required if outputs are present. Paragraph BCS1 of ASU 2017-01 states, for example, that “an organized workforce
might not be required if the set includes automated processes (for example, through acquired technology, infrastructure, or specialized equipment) or other significant processes that contribute to the ability to continue producing outputs."

Further, ASC 805-10-55-5F states the following:

**ASC 805-10**

**55-5F** If a set has outputs, continuation of revenues does not on its own indicate that both an input and a substantive process have been acquired. Accordingly, assumed contractual arrangements that provide for the continuation of revenues (for example, customer contracts, customer lists, and leases [when the set is the lessor]) should be excluded from the analysis in paragraph 805-10-55-5E of whether a process has been acquired.

ASC 805-10-55-82 and ASC 805-10-55-84 illustrate the application of the above guidance to arrangements that involve licensing and distribution rights, which are common among life sciences entities:

**ASC 805-10**

**Case F: License of Distribution Rights**

**55-82** Company A is a distributor of food and beverages. Company A enters into an agreement to sublicense the Latin American distribution rights of Yogurt Brand F to Company B, whereby Company B will distribute Yogurt Brand F in Latin America. As part of the agreement, Company A transfers the existing customer contracts in Latin America to Company B and an at-market supply contract with the producer of Yogurt Brand F. Company A retains all of its employees and distribution capabilities.

**55-84** The set has outputs through the continuation of revenues with customers in Latin America. As such, Company B must evaluate the criteria in paragraph 805-10-55-5E to determine whether the set includes an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create outputs. Company B considers whether the acquired contracts are providing access to an organized workforce that performs a substantive process. However, because the contracts are not providing a service that applies a process to another acquired input, Company B concludes that the substance of the contracts are only that of acquiring inputs. The set is not a business because:

a. It does not include an organized workforce that could meet the criteria in paragraph 805-10-55-5E(a) through (b).

b. There are no acquired processes that could meet the criteria in paragraph 805-10-55-5E(c) through (d).

c. It does not include both an input and a substantive process.

**Connecting the Dots**

When the set has outputs, the presence of an acquired contract that provides access to an organized workforce could meet the less stringent criteria for determining that a substantive process has been acquired and therefore result in a conclusion that the set represents a business. It is important to note that the assessment of an acquired contract is relevant only if the set has outputs. In the life sciences industry, transactions may be limited to the acquisition of (1) an early-stage product candidate or (2) an entity that does not have outputs but may include an acquired service provider contract (e.g., with a CRO or a CMO). In such circumstances, the presence of the acquired contract cannot represent a substantive process. Instead, for the acquired set to represent a business, it would need to include employees who form an organized workforce and an input that the workforce could develop or convert into outputs.
4.2.1.4 **Definition of Output**

The amendments in ASU 2017-01 change the definition of an output to the “result of inputs and processes applied to those inputs that provide goods or services to customers, investment income (such as dividends or interest), or other revenues.” As explained in the ASU’s Background Information and Basis for Conclusions, the definition of outputs was narrowed to be consistent with ASC 606, which “describes goods or services that are an output of the entity’s ordinary activities.” However, not every entity has revenues within the scope of ASC 606. Therefore, the Board decided to incorporate into the definition of output other types of revenues. For example, the reference to investment income in the amendments’ definition of an output was included to ensure that the purchase of an investment company could still qualify as a business combination.

4.2.1.5 **Convergence With IFRS Standards**

Initially, the definition of a business under ASC 805 was substantially converged with that under IFRS 3. However, in January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01 to clarify its definition of a business. In October 2018, the IASB issued *Definition of a Business (Amendments to IFRS 3)*, which amended the definition of a business in IFRS 3 to more closely align it with that in ASC 805. While the IASB's amended definition of a business is not identical to the definition in ASU 2017-01, the IASB amendments' overall framework for determining whether a set is a business or a group of assets is similar to that of the FASB, except that the screen is optional under IFRS Standards but required under U.S. GAAP. Entities that are subject to IFRS Standards are required to apply the IASB's amended definition of a business to acquisitions that occur on or after January 1, 2020.

4.2.1.6 **SEC Considerations**

A registrant must also consider certain SEC reporting requirements when it acquires an asset or a group of assets. For instance, the registrant must separately evaluate whether the asset or group of assets meets the definition of a business for SEC reporting purposes under SEC Regulation S-X, Rule 11-01(d), since this definition differs from the U.S. GAAP definition of a business under ASC 805-10. For more information about the SEC's reporting requirements for an asset acquisition, see Section C.5 of Deloitte’s *A Roadmap to Accounting for Business Combinations* and Section 1.3 of Deloitte’s *A Roadmap to SEC Reporting Considerations for Business Combinations*.

4.2.2 **Asset Acquisitions**

In applying the framework in ASC 805, entities must account for transactions that do not meet the revised definition of a business as asset acquisitions. For such transactions, the accounting requirements related to transaction costs, measurement of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and recognition of intangible assets may differ from those for business combinations.

ASC 805-10-25-1 states, in part:

> An entity shall determine whether a transaction or other event is a business combination by applying the definition in [ASC 805-10], which requires that the assets acquired and liabilities assumed constitute a business. If the assets acquired are not a business, the reporting entity shall account for the transaction or other event as an asset acquisition.

In addition, ASC 350-30-25-2 states that “the cost of a group of assets acquired in a transaction other than a business combination or an acquisition by a not-for-profit entity shall be allocated to the individual assets acquired based on their relative fair values and shall not give rise to goodwill” (emphasis added).
The accounting requirements for an acquisition of net assets or equity interests that is not deemed to be a business combination will differ in certain respects from the accounting requirements for a business combination.

**Q&A 4-1  Accounting for a Business Combination Versus the Acquisition of an Asset Group Determined Not to Be a Business**

**Question**

What are the key differences between the accounting for a business combination and the accounting for an acquisition of an asset group determined not to be a business?

**Answer**

The following table summarizes these differences:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Accounting in a Business Combination</th>
<th>Accounting in an Asset Acquisition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General principle</td>
<td>Fair value model: assets and liabilities are recognized at fair value, with certain exceptions.</td>
<td>Cost accumulation model: the cost of the acquisition, including certain transaction costs, is allocated to the assets acquired on the basis of relative fair values, with some exceptions. This allocation results in the recognition of those assets at other than their fair values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Acquisition of a business as defined in ASC 805-10.</td>
<td>Acquisition of an asset or a group of assets (and liabilities) that does not meet the definition of a business in ASC 805-10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition-related costs or transaction costs</td>
<td>Acquisition-related costs are expensed as incurred, except for costs of issuing debt and equity securities, which are accounted for under other GAAP.</td>
<td>Transaction costs are included in the cost of the acquisition, except for costs of issuing debt and equity securities, which are accounted for under other GAAP. Indirect costs are expensed as incurred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent consideration</td>
<td>Recognized at fair value and classified as a liability, equity, or an asset on the acquisition date on the basis of the terms of the arrangement. Subsequently, any changes in the fair value of contingent consideration classified as a liability or as an asset are recognized in earnings until settled.</td>
<td>Contingent consideration that is accounted for as a derivative is recognized at fair value under ASC 815. Otherwise, such consideration generally is recognized under ASC 450 when it becomes probable and reasonably estimable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>If the sum of the consideration transferred, the fair value of any noncontrolling interests, and the fair value of any previously held interests exceeds the sum of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, goodwill is recognized as the amount of the excess.</td>
<td>Goodwill is not recognized. Instead, any excess of the cost of the acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired is allocated to certain assets on the basis of relative fair values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Accounting in a Business Combination</td>
<td>Accounting in an Asset Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain from bargain purchase</td>
<td>Recognized in earnings on the acquisition date.</td>
<td>Generally not recognized in earnings. Instead, any excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over the cost of the acquisition is typically allocated to certain assets on the basis of relative fair values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>Measured at fair value, if determinable; otherwise, measured at their estimated amounts if probable and reasonably estimable. If such assets or liabilities cannot be measured during the measurement period, they are accounted for separately from the business combination in accordance with ASC 450.</td>
<td>Accounted for in accordance with ASC 450 on the acquisition date and subsequently. Loss contingencies are recognized when they are probable and reasonably estimable. Gain contingencies are recognized when realized and are thus not recognizable in an asset acquisition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>Recognized at fair value if they are identifiable (i.e., if they are separable or arise from contractual rights).</td>
<td>Recognized on the basis of relative fair value under ASC 350-10 if they meet the asset recognition criteria in FASB Concepts Statement 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembled workforce</td>
<td>Not recognized because it is presumed not to be identifiable.</td>
<td>Recognized because it is presumed to meet the asset recognition criteria in FASB Concepts Statement 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR&amp;D</td>
<td>Measured at fair value and recognized as an indefinite-lived intangible asset until completion or abandonment of the related project, then reclassified as a finite-lived intangible asset and amortized.</td>
<td>Expensed under ASC 730 unless the IPR&amp;D has an alternative future use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred taxes</td>
<td>Generally recognized for most temporary book/tax differences related to assets acquired and liabilities assumed under ASC 740.</td>
<td>Generally recognized for temporary book/tax differences in an asset acquisition by using the simultaneous equations method in accordance with ASC 740.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease classification</td>
<td>Under ASC 840-10-25-27, the acquirer retains the acquiree's previous lease classification &quot;unless the provisions of the lease are modified as indicated in paragraph 840-10-35-5.&quot; Under ASC 842-10-55-11, the acquirer retains the acquiree's previous lease classification &quot;unless there is a lease modification and that modification is not accounted for as a separate contract in accordance with paragraph 842-10-25-8.&quot;</td>
<td>ASC 805-50 does not provide guidance on an entity's classification of a lease acquired in an asset acquisition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Accounting in a Business Combination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Accounting in an Asset Acquisition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement period</td>
<td>In accordance with ASC 805-10-25-13, the acquirer reports provisional amounts for the items for which the accounting &quot;is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which the combination occurs&quot; and is allowed up to one year to adjust those provisional amounts. This time frame is referred to as the measurement period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASC 805-50 does not address a measurement period in the context of an asset acquisition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.2.2.1 Cost of the Acquisition

In a business combination, the fair value of the consideration transferred excludes the transaction costs; in an asset acquisition, transaction costs are generally included in the cost of the acquisition. In addition, contingent consideration in an asset acquisition is not accounted for in accordance with ASC 805-30-25-5 through 25-7. Contingent consideration is measured in accordance with other applicable GAAP, such as ASC 450 and ASC 815.

#### 4.2.2.2 Contingencies

An entity accounts for gain or loss contingencies acquired or assumed in an asset acquisition in accordance with ASC 450. A loss contingency is recognized when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the loss can be reasonably estimated. A gain contingency is not recognized until the gain is realized and therefore is not recognizable in an asset acquisition. If an acquiring entity acquires a gain or loss contingency in an asset acquisition but the contingency does not qualify for recognition on the date of acquisition, the entity would allocate the cost of the acquisition only to the recognizable assets acquired and may initially recognize certain assets at more or less than their fair values because of the nonrecognition of the contingency.

#### 4.2.2.2.1 Contingent Consideration

The ASC master glossary defines contingent consideration as follows:

> Usually an obligation of the acquirer to transfer additional assets or equity interests to the former owners of an acquiree as part of the exchange for control of the acquiree if specified future events occur or conditions are met. However, contingent consideration also may give the acquirer the right to the return of previously transferred consideration if specified conditions are met.

While that definition applies to contingent consideration issued in a business combination, contingent consideration may also be issued in an asset acquisition. The acquiring entity should assess the terms of the transaction to determine whether consideration payable at a future date is contingent consideration or seller financing. If the payment depends on the occurrence of a specified future event or the meeting of a condition and the event or condition is substantive, the additional consideration should be accounted for as contingent consideration. If the additional payment depends only on the passage of time or is based on a future event or the meeting of a condition that is not substantive, the arrangement should be accounted for as seller financing.
ASC 805-50 states that any liabilities incurred by the acquiring entity are part of the cost of the asset acquisition, but it does not provide any specific guidance on accounting for contingent consideration in an asset acquisition. However, in EITF Issue 09-2, the Task Force addressed contingent consideration in an asset acquisition. While a final consensus was not reached, the minutes from the September 9–10, 2009, EITF meeting state that “the Task Force reached a consensus-for-exposure that contingent consideration in an asset acquisition shall be accounted for in accordance with existing U.S. GAAP.” For example:

- “[I]f the contingent consideration meets the definition of a derivative, Topic 815 (formerly Statement 133) would require that it be recognized at fair value.”
- “Topic 450 (formerly Statement 5) may require recognition of the contingent consideration if it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of that liability can be reasonably estimated.”
- “Subtopic 323-10 (formerly Issue 08-6) may require the recognition of the contingent consideration if it relates to the acquisition of an investment that is accounted for under the equity method.”

The minutes also state that when contingent consideration related to an asset acquisition is recognized at inception, “such [an] amount would be included in the initial measurement of the cost of the acquired assets. . . . However, if the contingent consideration arrangement is a derivative, changes in the carrying value of a derivative instrument subsequent to inception [would be recognized in accordance with ASC 815 and] would not be recognized as part of the cost of the asset.”

**Connecting the Dots**

We understand that in the absence of a final consensus on EITF Issue 09-2, some continue to analogize to the guidance in FASB Statement 141\(^1\) when accounting for contingent consideration that is outside the scope of ASC 815 and ASC 323-10 (i.e., contingent consideration that is neither a derivative nor related to the acquisition of an equity method investment). Paragraph 27 of Statement 141 provided that “contingent consideration usually should be recorded when the contingency is resolved and consideration is issued or becomes issuable.”

Contingent consideration that is recognized at a later date (i.e., not recognized as of the acquisition date) should be capitalized as part of the cost of the assets acquired and allocated to increase the eligible assets on a relative fair value basis. (However, if the contingent consideration is related to IPR&D assets with no alternative future use, the amount of the contingent payment should be expensed.) Similarly, we believe that if the acquiring entity receives a payment from the seller for the return of previously transferred consideration (i.e., a contingent consideration asset), the entity should allocate that amount to reduce the eligible assets on a relative fair value basis.

There has been diversity in practice related to how entities that recognize contingent consideration at a later date make the resulting adjustments to amortizable or depreciable identifiable assets (e.g., property, plant, and equipment [PP&E] or a finite-lived intangible asset). Some entities have recognized a cumulative catch-up in the amortization or depreciation of the asset as if the amount had been capitalized as of the date of acquisition, and other entities have accounted for the adjustment prospectively in a manner similar to a change in estimate. In the absence of guidance, we believe that either approach is acceptable.

---

\(^1\) FASB Statement 141 was superseded by FASB Statement 141(R), which is codified in ASC 805.
4.2.2.2.1 Contingent Consideration When the Fair Value of the Assets Acquired Exceeds the Initial Consideration Paid

We believe that if the fair value of the assets acquired exceeds the initial consideration paid as of the date of acquisition but includes a contingent consideration arrangement, an entity may analogize to the guidance in ASC 323-10-25-2A and ASC 323-10-30-2B on recognizing contingent consideration in the acquisition of an equity method investment (unless the contingent consideration arrangement meets the definition of a derivative, in which case it would be accounted for in accordance with ASC 815). That guidance states that if an entity acquires an equity method investment in which the fair value of its share of the investee’s net assets exceeds its initial cost and the agreement includes contingent consideration, the entity recognizes a liability equal to the lesser of:

- The maximum amount of contingent consideration.
- The excess of its share of the investee’s net assets over the initial cost measurement.

Like acquisitions of equity method investments, asset acquisitions are accounted for under a cost accumulation model. Therefore, we believe that the guidance above could be applied to asset acquisitions by analogy. (However, if the contingent payment is related to IPR&D assets with no alternative future use, the amount of the contingent payment would be expensed, as illustrated in the example within Q&A 4-2.) Accordingly, if an entity acquires a group of assets in which the fair value of the net assets exceeds its initial cost and the agreement includes contingent consideration that does not meet the definition of a derivative, the entity could recognize a liability equal to the lesser of:

- The maximum amount of contingent consideration.
- The excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over the initial consideration paid.

Once recognized, the contingent consideration liability is not derecognized until the contingency is resolved or the consideration is issued. In accordance with the requirements of ASC 323-10-35-14A for equity method investments, the entity recognizes “any excess of the fair value of the contingent consideration issued or issuable over the amount that was [initially] recognized as a liability . . . as an additional cost” of the asset acquisition (i.e., the amount is allocated to increase the eligible assets on a relative fair value basis). Further, “[i]f the amount initially recognized as a liability exceeds the fair value of the [contingent] consideration issued or issuable,” the entity recognizes that amount as a reduction of the cost of the asset acquisition (i.e., the amount is allocated to reduce the eligible assets on a relative fair value basis).

4.2.2.3 Allocating the Cost

An acquiring entity allocates the cost of an asset acquisition to the assets acquired (and liabilities assumed) on the basis of their relative fair values and is not permitted to recognize goodwill. However, if the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are more reliably determinable (e.g., because the consideration is in the form of noncash assets), the entity measures the cost of the transaction by using these fair values. Fair value is measured in accordance with ASC 820.

Goodwill is recognized only if a business is acquired. Thus, no goodwill is recognized in an asset acquisition. Because goodwill represents the expected synergies and other benefits of combining two businesses, one would not expect goodwill to arise in an asset acquisition. If the acquiring entity’s cost exceeds the fair value of the net assets acquired, the acquiring entity allocates the difference pro rata on the basis of relative fair values to increase certain of the assets acquired.
Bargain purchase gains are generally not recognized in an asset acquisition. If the fair value of the net assets acquired exceeds the acquiring entity's cost, the acquiring entity allocates the difference pro rata on the basis of relative fair values to reduce certain of the assets acquired. However, such pro rata allocation cannot reduce monetary assets below their fair values. In unusual cases, pro rata allocation either reduces the eligible assets to zero or there are no eligible assets to reduce; we do not believe that an entity should reduce monetary assets below their fair values in such circumstances. However, before recognizing a gain, the entity should consider whether (1) it has appropriately recognized all of the liabilities assumed, any contingent consideration, and any separate transactions or (2) whether the assets received are more reliably measurable than the assets given. If only monetary assets are acquired, the entity should also consider whether the transaction is, in substance, an asset acquisition. For example, if the assets being acquired are primarily cash, the substance of the transaction may be a recapitalization.

**4.2.2.3.1 Exceptions to Pro Rata Allocation**

Pro rata allocation of the acquiring entity's cost to the assets acquired on a relative fair value basis results in the recognition of assets at amounts that are more (or less if a bargain purchase) than their fair values. In deliberating ASC 805-10, ASC 805-20, and ASC 805-30, the FASB discussed a number of exceptions to the recognition and fair value measurement principles in a business combination for assets or liabilities for which the subsequent accounting is prescribed by other GAAP and application of such GAAP would result in the acquirer's recognition of an immediate gain or loss. Examples of such exceptions include assets held for sale, employee benefits, and income taxes. ASC 805-50 provides only general guidance on allocating cost in an asset acquisition. However, we believe that the same principles should apply to an asset acquisition. That is, an acquiring entity should not recognize an asset at an amount that would result in the entity's recognition of an immediate gain or loss as a result of the subsequent application of GAAP if no economic gain or loss has occurred (with the exception of IPR&D assets with no alternative future use, as illustrated in the example within Q&A 4-2).

Therefore, we believe that certain assets should be recognized at the amounts required by applicable U.S. GAAP or should not be recognized at amounts that exceed their fair values. Such assets (and liabilities) include:

- Cash and other financial assets (other than investments accounted for under the equity method).
- Other current assets.
- Assets subject to fair value impairment testing, such as indefinite-lived intangible assets.
- Assets held for sale.
- Income taxes.
- Employee benefits.
- Indemnification assets.
Example 4-1

**Excess of Cost Over the Fair Values of the Assets Acquired**

Company A acquires three assets from Company B: machinery and equipment with a fair value of $20,000, a building with a fair value of $50,000, and an indefinite-lived intangible asset with a fair value of $30,000. The total cost of the acquisition, including transaction costs, is $120,000. Company A has determined that the assets do not constitute a business and allocates the cost as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Fair Value (ASC 820)</th>
<th>Percentage of Fair Value*</th>
<th>Cost of the Acquisition Less Ineligible Asset</th>
<th>Allocated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>$ 90,000</td>
<td>$ 25,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>$ 90,000</td>
<td>64,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indefinite-lived intangible asset</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 100,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 120,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Because the indefinite-lived intangible asset is not recognized at an amount that exceeds its fair value, the percentages are calculated on the basis of only the eligible assets ($20,000 ÷ $70,000 and $50,000 ÷ $70,000).

Sometimes the fair value of the net assets acquired exceeds the acquiring entity's cost (i.e., a bargain purchase), though this is unusual. Allocation of a bargain purchase will reduce assets below their fair values. We believe there are two acceptable views on how to allocate the acquiring entity's cost in such cases. Under the first alternative, the same assets that are ineligible for pro rata allocation when cost exceeds the fair value of the assets should also be ineligible for pro rata allocation in a bargain purchase.

Example 4-2

**Excess of Fair Values of the Assets Acquired Over Cost (Alternative 1)**

Assume the same facts as in Example 4-1, except that the total cost of the acquisition, including transaction costs, is $90,000. Company A's cost is allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Fair Value (ASC 820)</th>
<th>Percentage of Fair Value*</th>
<th>Cost of the Acquisition Less Ineligible Asset</th>
<th>Allocated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
<td>$ 17,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
<td>42,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indefinite-lived intangible asset</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 100,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 90,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Because the indefinite-lived intangible asset is recognized at its fair value, the percentages are calculated on the basis of only the eligible assets ($20,000 ÷ $70,000 and $50,000 ÷ $70,000).
Under the second alternative, it is appropriate to allocate a bargain purchase to any asset for which the subsequent application of U.S. GAAP would not result in an immediate gain, such as indefinite-lived intangible assets or assets held for sale.

### Example 4-3

**Excess of Fair Values of the Assets Acquired Over Cost (Alternative 2)**

Assume the same facts as in Example 4-1, except that the total cost of the acquisition, including transaction costs, is $90,000. Company A's cost is allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fair Value (ASC 820)</th>
<th>Percentage of Fair Value*</th>
<th>Cost of the Acquisition Less Ineligible Asset</th>
<th>Allocated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equipment</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indefinite-lived intangible asset</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>90,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This example assumes that an indefinite-lived intangible asset can be recognized at less than its fair value (but not at greater than its fair value), so the total cost must be allocated to all of the acquired assets.

### Q&A 4-2  Allocating the Cost of an Asset Acquisition of IPR&D When Fair Value Exceeds Cost

The example below illustrates how to allocate the cost of an asset acquisition of IPR&D when fair value exceeds cost.

**Example**

Company A acquires exclusive license rights for a compound from Company B in a transaction accounted for as an asset acquisition. Company A pays an up-front fee of $1 million and agrees to make a milestone payment of $2 million to B upon regulatory approval of the compound.

Company A determines that the milestone payment does not represent a derivative. In addition, the fair value of the compound is determined to be in excess of the up-front consideration transferred as of the acquisition date.

Company A accounts for the acquisition of the license as IPR&D (i.e., expensed) because the compound is in early-stage development and has not received regulatory approval. Further, A concludes that it would not be appropriate to record any portion of the contingent milestone payment as of the acquisition date given the conclusion that the acquired license should be accounted for as IPR&D and expensed as of the acquisition date.

**Question**

In the example above, given that the fair value of the compound acquired is greater than the up-front consideration transferred, how should A account for the contingent milestone payment upon acquisition?
Answer

As observed above, when an asset acquisition causes the fair value of an asset group to exceed its cost and the acquisition involves a contingent consideration arrangement, the entity could analogize to the guidance in ASC 323-10-25-2A and ASC 323-10-30-2B on recognizing contingent consideration in the acquisition of equity method investments (i.e., assuming that the contingent consideration arrangement does not meet the definition of a derivative; if the arrangement meets the definition of a derivative, it would be accounted for in accordance with ASC 815). Accordingly, the entity could recognize a liability equal to the lesser of:

- The maximum amount of contingent consideration.
- The excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over the initial cost measurement.

If this guidance were applied, it would appear that some portion of the milestone payment would be recorded as of the acquisition date given that the fair value of the compound is greater than the up-front consideration transferred. However, A has concluded that applying such guidance by analogy would not be appropriate in this case because the acquisition of the license will be accounted for as IPR&D and therefore will be expensed as of the acquisition date. Further, applying this guidance would result in an unintended outcome in which the future milestone payment that otherwise may have been recorded on a later date (i.e., when it was otherwise probable that the milestone would be achieved and most likely capitalized since the milestone payment is triggered only upon regulatory approval) would need to be expensed as IPR&D as of the acquisition date. In such a narrow fact pattern, in which the acquisition is entirely attributable to IPR&D that must be expensed as of the acquisition date, A’s conclusion not to recognize the contingent milestone payment is reasonable under the circumstances.

4.2.2.4 Consideration in the Form of Nonmonetary Assets or Nonfinancial Assets (After Adoption of ASC 606-10 and ASC 610-20)

In recent years, some life sciences companies have entered into transactions to swap products with other life sciences companies to build critical mass in a specialty such as oncology or diabetes care.

While ASC 805-50 provides a general principle for measuring the cost of an asset acquisition, it refers to other GAAP if the noncash consideration is in the form of nonmonetary assets, nonfinancial assets, or in-substance nonfinancial assets. ASC 805-50-30-1 states, in part:

For transactions involving nonmonetary consideration within the scope of Topic 845, an acquirer must first determine if any of the conditions in paragraph 845-10-30-3 apply. If the consideration given is nonfinancial assets or in substance nonfinancial assets within the scope of Subtopic 610-20 on gains and losses from the derecognition of nonfinancial assets, the assets acquired shall be treated as noncash consideration and any gain or loss shall be recognized in accordance with Subtopic 610-20.

Therefore, an entity begins its evaluation by determining whether the transaction meets any of the exceptions in ASC 845-10-30-3, which states:

A nonmonetary exchange shall be measured based on the recorded amount (after reduction, if appropriate, for an indicated impairment of value as discussed in paragraph 360-10-40-4) of the nonmonetary asset(s) relinquished, and not on the fair values of the exchanged assets, if any of the following conditions apply:

a. The fair value of neither the asset(s) received nor the asset(s) relinquished is determinable within reasonable limits.

b. The transaction is an exchange of a product or property held for sale in the ordinary course of business for a product or property to be sold in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers other than the parties to the exchange.

c. The transaction lacks commercial substance (see the following paragraph) [ASC 845-10-30-4].
We believe that it is unlikely that the condition in (a) above would be met because the fair value of either or both of the assets that were surrendered or the assets (or net assets) that were received should be determinable “within reasonable limits.” Entities therefore should consider whether the transaction (1) represents “an exchange of a product or property held for sale in the ordinary course of business for a product or property to be sold in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers other than the parties to the exchange” or (2) lacks commercial substance. Entities should consider the guidance in ASC 845-10 in making that determination. If any of these exceptions applies, the acquiring entity accounts for the transaction on the basis of the carrying amount of the nonmonetary asset given and recognizes no gain or loss (other than for impairment, if necessary).

If the transaction does not meet any of the three conditions in ASC 845-10-30-3, we believe that entities should then consider whether the consideration given is in the form of nonfinancial assets (or in-substance nonfinancial assets). If so, then the transaction is within the scope of ASC 610-20 if the transaction is with a noncustomer (or ASC 606-10 if the transaction is with a customer).

ASC 805-50-30-1 states, in part, that “[i]f the consideration given is nonfinancial assets or in substance nonfinancial assets within the scope of Subtopic 610-20 on gains and losses from the derecognition of nonfinancial assets, the assets acquired shall be treated as noncash consideration and any gain or loss shall be recognized in accordance with Subtopic 610-20.” Therefore, regardless of whether the assets are being received from a customer or a noncustomer, an entity applies the guidance in ASC 606-10-32-21 and 32-22 for measuring noncash consideration. However, the guidance an entity applies for recognizing the gain or loss depends on whether the assets are being received from a noncustomer or a customer. If the assets are received from a noncustomer, the entity applies the guidance in ASC 610-20 for recognizing the gain or loss, whereas if the assets are received from a customer in exchange for goods or services and the transaction is within the scope of ASC 606-10, the entity applies the guidance in ASC 606-10 on recognizing the gain or loss.

ASC 610-20-15-2 indicates that “[n]onfinancial assets . . . include intangible assets, land, buildings, or materials and supplies and may have a zero carrying value.” In addition, ASC 610-20-15-5 describes an in-substance nonfinancial asset as follows:

[A] financial asset (for example, a receivable) promised to a counterparty in a contract if substantially all of the fair value of the assets (recognized and unrecognized) that are promised to the counterparty in the contract is concentrated in nonfinancial assets. If substantially all of the fair value of the assets that are promised to a counterparty in a contract is concentrated in nonfinancial assets, then all of the financial assets promised to the counterparty in the contract are in substance nonfinancial assets. For purposes of this evaluation, when a contract includes the transfer of ownership interests in one or more consolidated subsidiaries that is not a business, an entity shall evaluate the underlying assets in those subsidiaries.

According to ASC 610-20-15-4(g), ASC 610-20 does not apply to a “nonmonetary transaction within the scope of Topic 845 on nonmonetary transactions.” Therefore, if the assets are not nonfinancial assets (or in-substance nonfinancial assets), entities should consider whether the assets are nonmonetary assets. The ASC master glossary defines nonmonetary assets and liabilities as “assets and liabilities other than monetary ones” and notes that examples of such assets and liabilities include “inventories; investments in common stocks; property, plant, and equipment; and liabilities for rent collected in advance.” We believe that it may be challenging for entities to determine whether an exchange of noncash assets is an exchange of nonfinancial assets within the scope of ASC 610-20 or a nonmonetary exchange within the scope of ASC 845, and there is no additional guidance in U.S. GAAP on how to make this determination. However, we believe that the definition of nonmonetary assets and liabilities is broader than the definitions of nonfinancial assets and in-substance nonfinancial assets.

Entities are required to adopt ASC 610-20 at the same time that they adopt ASC 606. See Deloitte’s Revenue Roadmap for more information.
Connecting the Dots

In many cases, the fair value of the asset given up is determinable within reasonable limits, the transaction is not an exchange to facilitate sales to customers, and the transaction has commercial substance. Consequently, companies will often use the fair value of the asset given up to determine the gain or loss on sale. Because internally developed assets frequently have no carrying value, a gain on these types of transactions is often realized. However, companies should also consider whether they have any continuing involvement with the asset given up (e.g., retaining marketing rights in a certain jurisdiction), which may affect the determination of whether control has been transferred and whether any such gain has been realized.

Note also that certain transactions involving the exchange of inventory between life sciences companies may not meet the exceptions prohibiting the use of fair value and gain or loss recognition. For example, life sciences companies may exchange commercial (finished goods) inventory for use in their respective clinical R&D programs. In these circumstances, life sciences entities should consider the guidance in ASC 845-10-30-15 and 30-16, which state the following:

30-15 A nonmonetary exchange whereby an entity transfers finished goods inventory in exchange for the receipt of raw materials or work-in-process inventory within the same line of business is not an exchange transaction to facilitate sales to customers for the entity transferring the finished goods, as described in paragraph 845-10-30-3(b), and, therefore, shall be recognized by that entity at fair value if both of the following conditions are met:
   a. Fair value is determinable within reasonable limits.
   b. The transaction has commercial substance (see paragraph 845-10-30-4).

30-16 All other nonmonetary exchanges of inventory within the same line of business shall be recognized at the carrying amount of the inventory transferred. That is, a nonmonetary exchange within the same line of business involving either of the following shall not be recognized at fair value:
   a. The transfer of raw materials or work-in-process inventory in exchange for the receipt of raw materials, work-in-process, or finished goods inventory
   b. The transfer of finished goods inventory for the receipt of finished goods inventory. [Emphasis added]

In particular, life sciences entities should consider the classification of inventory (i.e., raw materials, work-in-process, or finished goods) and whether the commercial inventory is “within the same line of business,” since these considerations affect the determination of whether to recognize the inventory given up and received at fair value or at cost.

4.2.2.5 Share-Based Payments

To complete the acquisition of various assets (e.g., patents, licensing arrangements) accounted for as asset acquisitions, a life sciences company may finance the arrangement by settling the transaction in its own equity (or other equity instruments) rather than other traditional consideration.

In June 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-07, which simplifies the accounting for share-based payments granted to nonemployees for goods and services. Under the ASU, most of the guidance on share-based payments granted to nonemployees is aligned with the requirements for share-based payments granted to employees. Accordingly, the ASU supersedes ASC 505-50 and expands the scope of ASC 718 to include all share-based payment arrangements related to the acquisition of goods and services from both nonemployees and employees. See Chapter 9 for further discussion of the ASU.
4.2.2.6 Transactions That Are Separate From an Asset Acquisition

An acquiring entity and the seller of the assets may have a preexisting relationship or other arrangement before negotiations for the acquisition begin, or they may enter into an arrangement during the negotiations that is separate from the acquisition of the assets (e.g., a life sciences company may enter into contemporaneous supply arrangements for product during a specified period while the acquiring entity completes certain regulatory requirements to manufacture and commercialize the product). ASC 805-50 includes only general principles related to accounting for an asset acquisition. We believe that those principles presume that the cost of the acquisition includes only amounts related to the acquisition of the asset or group of assets and not amounts related to separate transactions, even though the guidance does not explicitly say so. Further, we believe that in the absence of specific guidance, an entity should analogize to ASC 805-10-25-20 and ASC 805-10-25-22, which provide guidance on identifying and accounting for transactions that are separate from a business combination. Under this guidance, the acquirer must, when applying the acquisition method, recognize “only the consideration transferred for the acquiree and the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the exchange for the acquiree.” Any separate transactions must be accounted for separately from the business combination in accordance with the relevant GAAP.

Example 4-4

Asset Acquisition and Related Supply Agreement

Company A enters into an agreement with Company B to acquire machinery and equipment that will be used to manufacture Product X. The machinery and equipment do not meet the definition of a business in ASC 805-10. In addition to stipulating a cash amount to be paid by A upon transfer of the machinery and equipment, the agreement specifies that A will provide B with a specified number of units of Product X for two years after the acquisition at a fixed per-unit price that is determined to be below market.

In determining the cost of the asset acquisition, A should take into account both the amount it paid upon transfer of the machinery and equipment and the value transferred to B under the below-market fixed-price supply agreement. Company A would recognize a balance sheet credit on the date of acquisition for the unfavorable supply contract; the credit would be recognized in income as units of Product X are delivered.

Example 4-5

Asset Acquisition That Settles a Dispute

Company A has an agreement with Company B that gives B the exclusive right to distribute A’s goods in a specific region. Company B asserts that A has inappropriately given the distribution right to B’s competitor. Company A and B decide to settle the dispute so that A reacquires the distribution right from B. The distribution right does not meet the definition of a business in ASC 805-10. Company A believes that if it does not reacquire the distribution right, it is liable to B for breach of contract.

In determining the cost of the asset acquisition, A should exclude from this cost any amount related to the dispute’s settlement to avoid the capitalization of what would otherwise be an operating expense if paid separately from the asset acquisition.

See Deloitte’s A Roadmap to Accounting for Business Combinations for more information, as well as Section 6.2.1.2 of this Guide for further discussion related to identifying elements in a litigation settlement, including SEC staff views expressed in a speech delivered at the 2007 AICPA Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments.
4.2.3 Business Combinations

4.2.3.1 IPR&D Intangible Assets Acquired in a Business Combination

Life sciences entities often contemplate opportunities for expanding their current portfolio of development-stage products by making strategic acquisitions. The accounting for costs associated with the purchase of such product rights currently in development as part of a business combination may vary significantly from the typical accounting treatment of R&D costs incurred by life sciences entities as part of their normal operations.

Before a business combination, an acquired entity may incur R&D expenditures that could result in the acquired entity’s development of certain intangible assets that would be expensed as incurred in accordance with ASC 730 unless they had an alternative future use. That is, an acquired entity would probably not record any assets on its books before the consummation of a business combination related to R&D. To the extent that the acquired entity was using, or was planning to use, these unrecognized assets for R&D activities, the assets would represent acquired IPR&D to the acquirer.

Q&A 4-3 Whether to Recognize Intangible Assets Apart From Goodwill for IPR&D Activities Acquired in a Business Combination

Question

Should an entity recognize intangible assets apart from goodwill for IPR&D activities acquired in a business combination?

Answer

Yes. Under ASC 805 and ASC 350, the acquiring entity recognizes acquired IPR&D at fair value as of the acquisition date and subsequently accounts for it as an indefinite-lived intangible asset until completion or abandonment of the associated R&D efforts.

For IPR&D to be recognized as of the acquisition date, the costs incurred by the acquiree must be for R&D activities within the scope of ASC 730. (Refer to Chapter 3 for additional discussion of the types of costs that meet the definition of R&D.) R&D activities are considered to be within the scope of ASC 730 only if such activities are not “conducted for others under a contractual arrangement.” If R&D activities are conducted for others under a contractual arrangement, the costs of such activities should not be recognized as part of the acquired IPR&D.

Example

On June 30, 20X9, Company A, a calendar-year-end company, acquires Company B in a transaction accounted for as a business combination. Before the acquisition, B incurred significant costs related to the R&D of a new line of products, all of which it expensed as incurred under ASC 730. Company A plans to continue these R&D efforts in hopes of releasing the new line of products into the market.

Using the acquisition method of accounting, and in a manner consistent with the fair value measurement guidance in ASC 820, A determines that the fair value of the acquired IPR&D assets is $10 million. Therefore, as of the acquisition date, A would record an indefinite-lived intangible asset of $10 million.

After the acquisition date, A would account for all additional costs it incurs in connection with this project under ASC 730 (i.e., such costs would generally be expensed as incurred).
4.2.3.2 **Identifying IPR&D**

**Q&A 4-4  Considerations for Identifying IPR&D**

**Question**
What considerations should an entity take into account when identifying IPR&D?

**Answer**

The AICPA Accounting and Valuation Guide *Assets Acquired to Be Used in Research and Development Activities* (the “AICPA Guide”) includes guidance on identifying IPR&D. The AICPA Guide observes that “incompleteness” is an essential characteristic of IPR&D. Paragraphs 2.54 and 2.55 of the AICPA Guide state the following:

2.54 At some point before commercialization (that is, before earning revenue), and possibly before the end of the development or preproduction stages, the [AICPA IPR&D Task Force (the “task force”)] believes that the IPR&D project is no longer considered incomplete for accounting purposes (that is, ultimate completion of the project has occurred), and an asset resulting from R&D emerges from what was previously an asset used in R&D.

2.55 The attribute of incompleteness with respect to a specific IPR&D project acquired as part of a business combination suggests that there are remaining technological or engineering risks or regulatory approvals.

**Example 1**

Company T is the owner of patented IP related to a developed product that it currently markets and sells to customers. Company T is also using the IP in certain ongoing R&D activities.

Company A acquires T in a business combination. Company A expects to continue using the IP in the sale of the currently commercialized product as well as in ongoing and identified future R&D activities.

In accounting for the acquisition of the patented IP, A would not assign the acquired IP an indefinite life upon acquisition because the IP (1) is not solely being used for the purpose of an ongoing R&D activity, (2) is already a completed asset that is being used as intended (i.e., it does not exhibit the characteristics of “incompleteness” as defined in the AICPA Guide), and (3) may reasonably be expected to produce economic benefits for a finite period. The fact that the patent is also being used in certain ongoing R&D activities and will be used in identified future R&D activities does not necessarily mean that the patent itself should be assigned an indefinite life. In this fact pattern, the acquired patent would be accounted for as a finite-lived intangible asset in accordance with ASC 350-30-25 and amortized over its assigned life.

However, paragraph 2.37 of the AICPA Guide clarifies that “to the extent that individually completed intangible assets are solely and directly related to IPR&D projects that are still in development (for example, in the pharmaceutical industry, a patent on a compound that has not yet been approved), such assets may be aggregated with other intangible assets used in R&D activities. That is, an acquirer would recognize one asset for each IPR&D project, which would comprise all the intangible assets used exclusively in that project, and that asset would be assigned an indefinite useful life.”
Further, paragraph 2.56 of the AICPA Guide states:

Both of the following factors would need to be considered when evaluating whether activities making up a specific R&D project are incomplete at the acquisition date:

a. Whether the reporting entity expects\(^ {9,9} \) to incur more than *de minimis* future costs related to the acquired project that would qualify as R&D costs under FASB ASC 730-10

b. Whether additional steps or milestones in a specific R&D project remain for the reporting entity, such as successfully overcoming the remaining risks or obtaining regulatory approvals related to the results of the R&D activities.

\(^ {9,9} \) An entity may choose to evaluate its expectations, but is not required to do so, by employing a probability-weighted expected cash flow method. For example, an entity may believe that it is 50-percent likely that it will obtain regulatory approval for the product derived from its [R&D] efforts; if such approval is obtained, the entity does not expect further cash outflows for additional R&D activities. The same entity believes that if regulatory approval is not obtained (also a 50-percent likely outcome) that it will incur $100 of additional R&D costs. In this simple example, the entity expects to spend $50 on future R&D costs. That amount may or may not be *de minimis*.

In evaluating these factors, entities have raised questions about whether a product can be considered incomplete if all activities have been completed other than obtaining regulatory approval.

### Example 2

Company X enters into an agreement to acquire Company Y that will be accounted for as a business combination. The agreement includes the acquisition of rights to a generic version of a branded product. The product’s Abbreviated New Drug Application has been submitted to the FDA for approval, which is expected in the current fiscal period. Company X does not anticipate incurring any additional expense to bring the product to commercialization.

The AICPA Guide provides the following Q&A in paragraph 2.62:

**Question 3:** Company A acquired Company T in a business combination. At the acquisition date, Company T had an application to market a new drug pending FDA approval. Both Company A and T believe that Company T had completed all necessary tasks related to the filing (including having obtained satisfactory test results), and they believe that they will ultimately obtain FDA approval. Is the project incomplete?

**Answer:** Yes. Industry experience shows that there are uncertainties about obtaining approval for a new drug upon filing with the FDA. FASB ASC 730-10 does not specifically address whether costs of obtaining FDA approval are R&D; however, the task force believes that such future expenditures satisfy the condition that, to be considered incomplete, additional R&D costs must be expected to be incurred by the reporting entity. [Emphasis added]

Therefore, in the fact pattern involving X and Y, X would classify the related product rights as an IPR&D asset until final approval is received from the regulator, at which point the IPR&D asset would become a finite-lived asset (i.e., an asset that resulted from R&D activities).
**Connecting the Dots**

Through business development activities, companies acquire assets in various stages of product life cycles. These assets may include products under “discontinued” status with the FDA at the time of acquisition that the acquirer subsequently intends to commercialize. As defined by the FDA, discontinued drug products represent “approved products that have never been marketed, have been discontinued from marketing, are for military use, are for export only, or have had their approvals withdrawn for reasons other than safety or efficacy after being discontinued from marketing.”

In determining the accounting for purchased assets under “discontinued” FDA status (i.e., IPR&D vs. product rights), acquirers should consider the extent of activities required to commercialize those products as included in ASC 730-10-55-1 (activities typically included in R&D) and ASC 730-10-55-2 (activities typically excluded from R&D).

For example, when an acquired discontinued product has been “kept up-to-date” to meet regulatory requirements (e.g., labeling, packaging), it may be a relatively straightforward administrative effort to bring the product back to market. In this case, preparers might consider it appropriate to capitalize the acquired product rights on the balance sheet (with the intent to classify the product as a finite-lived intangible asset) and defer amortization expense until the product is commercialized. In accordance with ASC 350, amortization of definite-lived intangible assets should be recorded on the basis of the pattern in which the economic benefits are consumed or otherwise used — or, in this case, when the product is sold to a customer (in a manner similar to how an entity would account for construction-in-process assets, the depreciation of which is not recorded until the assets are placed into service).

### 4.2.3.3 Defensive IPR&D Acquired in a Business Combination

In completing an M&A transaction, a life sciences company may acquire an IPR&D asset even though it does not intend to pursue the R&D project to completion. Instead, the company may have strategic intentions to hold or “lock up” the IPR&D asset to prevent competitors from obtaining access to the asset and thereby “defend” the value of other IPR&D assets or developed products in the company’s portfolio.

Chapter 2 of the AICPA Guide addresses relevant considerations related to defensive assets. It notes that while ASC 350-30-35-5A and 35-5B generally govern the accounting treatment for defensive intangible assets, IPR&D is specifically excluded from the scope of that guidance. Accordingly, paragraph 2.31 of the AICPA Guide discusses defensive IPR&D as follows:

> [I]f the reporting entity intends to hold (or lock up) an acquired intangible asset to prevent others from obtaining access to the asset in order to “defend” the value of other intangible assets used in R&D activities, the task force believes that such asset would be considered “used in R&D activities.” Therefore, in accordance with guidance in FASB ASC 350-30-35-17A, the task force recommends that such assets be assigned an indefinite life until the “defended” IPR&D project is completed or abandoned.

At the time of acquisition, the acquiring company would assign the IPR&D asset’s fair value as of the measurement date based on the perspective of a market participant. See Example 1, Case C, “In-Process Research and Development Project” in ASC 820-10-55-32 for further discussion of valuation considerations based on the facts and circumstances related to the defensive IPR&D.
The AICPA Guide highlights that there may be situations in which individually *completed* intangible assets are used in R&D activities. In general, the task force believes that "incompleteness" (as defined in paragraph 2.17 of the AICPA Guide) is an essential characteristic of IPR&D assets. Therefore, the task force believes that when intangible assets used in R&D activities lack that characteristic (i.e., the assets are complete) but are being used in the way they were intended, the intangible assets should not be considered IPR&D assets and should be accounted for in accordance with their nature (and not assigned an indefinite useful life). However, in a manner specific to the pharmaceutical industry, paragraph 2.37 of the AICPA Guide provides the following clarification that preparers may consider in the context of identifying and accounting for the assets:

> [T]o the extent that individually completed intangible assets are solely and directly related to IPR&D projects that are still in development (for example, in the pharmaceutical industry, a patent on a compound that has not yet been approved), such assets may be aggregated with other intangible assets used in R&D activities. That is, an acquirer would recognize one asset for each IPR&D project, which would comprise all the intangible assets used exclusively in that project, and that asset would be assigned an indefinite useful life.

For further insight into the accounting for defensive IPR&D assets, consider the Q&A below, which is adapted from paragraph 2.33 of the AICPA Guide.

### Q&A 4-5  Recording and Subsequent Measurement of Acquired IPR&D Assets Held for Defensive Purposes

Company A acquires Company B. At the time of the acquisition, B owns patented technology and know-how that are in development and, if successfully completed, would compete with an existing pharmaceutical technology under development by A. Company A does not intend to pursue further development of the patented technology and know-how of B. Rather, A will hold B’s patented technology and know-how to “protect” the value of the technology under development by A.

**Question**

What are the relevant “day 1” and “day 2” accounting considerations for recording and subsequently measuring the patented technology and know-how of B?

**Answer**

Company A would assign to the IPR&D assets acquired from B a fair value (in a manner consistent with how a market participant would do so), as well as an indefinite life.

Company A would begin amortizing the acquired assets upon completing the development of its technology. However, if the development efforts were abandoned, A would expense the carrying amount of the acquired technology in the period of abandonment (unless A intended to develop the acquired technology in the event that the development of its existing technology were unsuccessful). It should be noted that although A acquired and held the patented technology and know-how for defensive purposes, A would need to continue evaluating the acquired assets for impairment during the period in which it was developing its own patented technology and know-how.
Connecting the Dots

In assessing the accounting impact of an acquired IPR&D asset, preparers should collaborate cross-functionally within their organization to fully understand the strategic objectives related to the project as well as in context within the existing asset portfolio. The AICPA Guide cautions preparers that when an entity assesses the complement of acquired IPR&D, it may take time for the acquirer to determine what it might ultimately do with certain assets (in evaluating defensive relevance) to inform the appropriate accounting. The task force notes that before concluding that certain acquired IPR&D (that does not constitute the primary asset in a transaction) has no further use, the acquirer would need to determine that continued ownership of the asset will not contribute to an increase in (or maintenance of) the value of other assets that the acquirer owns.

4.2.3.4 Outlicensing Arrangements

Q&A 4-6 Considerations for Evaluating Acquired Intangible Assets That May Be Outlicensed to Others

Question
What considerations should an entity take into account when evaluating acquired intangible assets that may be outlicensed to others?

Answer
The AICPA Guide specifically addresses outlicensing arrangements. Paragraph 2.10 states, in part:

Outlicensed. If the reporting entity intends to outlicense an acquired intangible asset (or acquires an already outlicensed intangible asset) but plans to play an active role in the development of the outlicensed asset (for example, under a collaborative arrangement with another party), the task force believes that such asset would be considered “used in R&D activities.” [Footnote omitted] This is because the reporting entity will use the acquired asset in its R&D activities jointly with another party.

However, the task force believes that if the reporting entity intends to outlicense an acquired intangible asset and does not plan to be actively involved in its development, then such asset would not be considered “used in R&D activities.” If such outlicensing arrangement was in place at the time of business combination, the outlicensed asset would not be considered “used in R&D activities;” it would be considered a contract-based intangible asset, provided it meets the recognition criteria described in the “Asset Recognition Criteria” section in paragraphs 2.06-.07.

In light of the above, we expect that there will be circumstances in which an outlicensed R&D project should be accounted for as a contract-based intangible asset (as defined in ASC 805-20-55-31) rather than an IPR&D asset. This determination is important because an R&D activity that constitutes IPR&D is accounted for as an indefinite-lived intangible asset (until completion or abandonment of the R&D efforts) in connection with a business combination. In contrast, a contract-based intangible would typically be accounted for as a finite-lived intangible asset (subject to amortization).
For example, assume that the IP associated with an R&D project has been fully outlicensed to a third party upon acquisition. The third party is responsible for planning and executing the remaining R&D activities, achieving the R&D advances, and directly incurring the related R&D costs. The acquirer’s (and the combined enterprise’s) interest in the IP is passive since the acquirer stands only to receive contractually obligated milestones and royalties on the basis of the success of the third party’s R&D efforts. In this example, the acquirer will not have any input into the R&D activities, R&D protocols, regulatory approval process, or any aspects of commercialization (e.g., manufacturing, sales, marketing, pricing) being performed by the third party. Further, the acquirer will not incur any costs related to the outlicensed property that meet the definition of R&D under ASC 730. It would therefore be appropriate to account for the R&D project as a contract-based intangible asset; accordingly, the acquirer would determine the useful life of the asset and the method of amortization.

Connecting the Dots
To reach such accounting conclusions, the licensor must carefully analyze the nature and extent of its ongoing involvement with the R&D project. In certain outlicensing arrangements, the licensor retains some level of continuing involvement with the IP. For example, the licensor may have some obligation to reimburse R&D costs incurred by the third party or may continue to have input into the ongoing R&D activities. In such cases, it might be appropriate to account for the R&D activities as IPR&D (provided that all other facts and circumstances have been considered).

4.2.3.5 Determining the Unit of Account for IPR&D

Under ASC 805, an acquiring entity recognizes acquired IPR&D in a business combination at fair value as of the acquisition date. Judgment is required in the determination of the unit of account to be used for acquired IPR&D given that certain separately identifiable IPR&D assets that share similar characteristics are sometimes aggregated into a single unit of account.

The determination of a unit of account will depend on the relevant facts and circumstances of each acquisition. When making that determination, an entity may consider the following factors in paragraph 2.20 of the AICPA Guide:

- “The phase of development of the related IPR&D project.”
- “The nature of the activities and costs necessary to further develop the related IPR&D project.”
- “The risks associated with the further development of the related IPR&D project.”
- “The amount and timing of benefits expected to be derived in the future from the developed asset(s).”
- “The expected economic life of the developed asset(s).”
- “Whether there is an intent to manage costs for the developed asset(s) separately or on a combined basis in areas such as strategy, manufacturing, advertising, selling, and so on.”
- “Whether the asset, whether an incomplete IPR&D project or when ultimately completed, would be transferred by itself or with other separately identifiable assets.”
Example 4-6

On September 30, 20X8, Company X acquires Company Y in a transaction accounted for as a business combination. Company Y has been pursuing a new therapy designed to help patients suffering from Crohn’s disease. In the European Union (EU), all clinical trials have been completed and the appropriate applications have been filed, but the product is awaiting regulatory approval. In the United States, the same product is under development and not as far advanced; the product has only just commenced phase III clinical trials. In addition, if the product is approved in both the EU and the United States, patent protection is expected to expire significantly later in the United States.

Given the above factors, X determines that two IPR&D assets should be recognized: one for the EU and another for the United States. In reaching this determination, X considered that the IPR&D project is in different stages of development in the jurisdictions, remaining costs are expected to be significantly higher in the United States as a result of the additional studies that remained to be completed, and the useful life of the asset is expected to be greater in the United States as a result of the patent protection period.

Refer to the AICPA Guide for additional examples.

4.2.3.6 Subsequent Accounting for Acquired IPR&D Assets

Q&A 4-7 Accounting for Acquired IPR&D Assets After Recognition in a Business Combination

Question

Under ASC 805, the acquiring entity recognizes IPR&D assets at fair value as of the acquisition date. How does an entity account for acquired IPR&D assets after those assets are recognized in a business combination?

Answer

Under ASC 350, the entity subsequently accounts for the acquired IPR&D assets as indefinite-lived intangible assets until completion or abandonment of the associated R&D efforts. ASC 350-30-35-17A further states, in part:

During the period that [the acquired IPR&D intangible] assets are considered indefinite lived, they shall not be amortized but shall be tested for impairment in accordance with paragraphs 350-30-35-18 through 35-19. Once the research and development efforts are completed or abandoned, the entity shall determine the useful life of the assets based on the guidance in [ASC 350-30-35]. Consistent with the guidance in paragraph 360-10-35-49, intangible assets acquired in a business combination or an acquisition by a not-for-profit entity that have been temporarily idled shall not be accounted for as if abandoned.

While acquired assets related to IPR&D activities of an acquiree in a business combination may be recognized as intangible assets, ASC 805 and ASC 350 do not change the accounting for R&D expenditures incurred outside of a business combination. Therefore, subsequent R&D expenditures related to the acquired IPR&D intangible assets should generally be expensed as incurred.

Also, if an entity acquires IPR&D in a business combination that it does not intend to put to the highest and best use (e.g., it has plans to discontinue the R&D project after the acquisition even though a marketplace participant would continue the R&D efforts), it would still be required to recognize an intangible asset at fair value in applying acquisition-method accounting.
Example 1

On June 30, 20X1, Company A acquires Company B in a transaction accounted for as a business combination. Before the acquisition, B had incurred significant costs related to the R&D of a new product, all of which it expensed as incurred in accordance with ASC 730. Company A plans to continue these R&D efforts in hopes of commercializing the product in the future.

Using the acquisition method of accounting, and in a manner consistent with the fair value measurement guidance in ASC 820, A determines that the fair value of the acquired IPR&D assets is $10 million. Therefore, as of the acquisition date, A would record an indefinite-lived intangible asset of $10 million.

On July 1, 20Y2, A concludes that development of the new product is no longer feasible and decides to abandon its project because there is no alternative future use for the acquired IPR&D assets.

From June 30, 20X1, to June 30, 20Y2, A appropriately tested the acquired IPR&D assets ($10 million) for impairment in accordance with ASC 350-30-35-18 and did not record any impairment losses.

Because of A's plans to abandon the project and the fact that the IPR&D assets have no alternative future use, A would expense the entire IPR&D asset balance of $10 million on July 1, 20Y2 (the date of abandonment), in the income statement.

Example 2

Assume the same facts as in Example 1, except that A successfully completes its IPR&D project on July 1, 20Y2, and has developed a commercially viable product that it intends to sell in the marketplace.

In this case, A must assess the useful life of the acquired IPR&D asset as of July 1, 20Y2 (the date the IPR&D project is successfully completed), and amortize the asset over the related product's useful life. That is, the acquired IPR&D asset's useful life is now finite rather than indefinite. In addition, the reclassification to a finite useful life triggers a required impairment test in accordance with ASC 350-30-35-17 as of July 1, 20Y2.

4.2.3.7 IPR&D Impairment Considerations

After a business combination, events or conditions may arise that result in a decrease in the value of indefinite-lived IPR&D assets, potentially leading to impairment. Under U.S. GAAP, guidance is provided on when to test for impairment, how to determine whether impairment should be recognized, and how to measure and record such impairment in the financial statements.

ASC 350-30-35-17 through 35-18A note the following about impairment testing of IPR&D assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASC 350-30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35-17 If an intangible asset that is not being amortized is subsequently determined to have a finite useful life, the asset shall be tested for impairment in accordance with paragraphs 350-30-35-18 through 35-19. That intangible asset shall then be amortized prospectively over its estimated remaining useful life and accounted for in the same manner as other intangible assets that are subject to amortization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASC 350-30

35-17A Intangible assets acquired in a business combination or an acquisition by a not-for-profit entity that are used in research and development activities (regardless of whether they have an alternative future use) shall be considered indefinite lived until the completion or abandonment of the associated research and development efforts. During the period that those assets are considered indefinite lived, they shall not be amortized but shall be tested for impairment in accordance with paragraphs 350-30-35-18 through 35-19. Once the research and development efforts are completed or abandoned, the entity shall determine the useful life of the assets based on the guidance in this Section. Consistent with the guidance in paragraph 360-10-35-49, intangible assets acquired in a business combination or an acquisition by a not-for-profit entity that have been temporarily idled shall not be accounted for as if abandoned.

35-18 An intangible asset that is not subject to amortization shall be tested for impairment annually and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired.

35-18A An entity may first perform a qualitative assessment, as described in this paragraph and paragraphs 350-30-35-18B through 35-18F, to determine whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test as described in paragraph 350-30-35-19. An entity has an unconditional option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and proceed directly to performing the quantitative impairment test as described in paragraph 350-30-35-19. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. If an entity elects to perform a qualitative assessment, it first shall assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (that is, a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired.

Q&A 4-8 Relevant Factors in a Qualitative Impairment Assessment of IPR&D Assets

Question
What factors may be relevant to life sciences entities when they perform a qualitative impairment assessment of IPR&D assets?

Answer
Life sciences entities may encounter various challenges in performing an impairment assessment of IPR&D assets. The entities may consider the following questions when performing a qualitative assessment:

- Regulatory considerations — Has the product received approval in any markets since the previous analysis? Are there changes to the regulatory environment or matters that suggest any loss of value for the asset (e.g., FDA or other regulatory communication suggesting delay)? Have there been any negative results since the previous analysis either internally or through public sources (clinicaltrials.gov)? What is the status of clinical testing, and is the estimated launch date still achievable? Is there any delay in the next expected regulatory milestone or indication according to plan?

- Commercial and legal considerations — Are there any major changes in the competitive landscape for the IPR&D product (e.g., competitive product launched or filed/delayed, price decrease of existing product)? Is the projected market share still realistic? Have there been any changes to the patents or other exclusive rights? Are there changes to the commercial or legal environment that may suggest any loss of value for the asset?
• **Financial and strategic considerations** — Are there future strategic plans to continue/discontinue clinical testing? Is there any change in the amount and timing of the expected future R&D costs? Are any competing products in development expected to affect product launch determinations or subsequent market opportunity? Is there any previous analysis? Are there any changes in the amount and timing of the projected operating costs or projected revenues? Is there any change in the estimated PTRS? Is there sufficient funding available to complete the development of the product and to launch the product? Are there any other financial or strategic reasons that may suggest loss of use or another decline in value?

For further description of the qualitative assessment and relevant impairment considerations, see ASC 350-30-35-18A through 35-18F.

Refer to the AICPA Guide for additional considerations related to performing a quantitative impairment analysis.

**4.2.3.8 Settlement of Preexisting Relationships**

In a business combination, the acquirer and acquiree may have a preexisting relationship, such as a collaborative agreement to jointly develop or promote a particular compound.

**Q&A 4-9 How to Account for a Preexisting Relationship That Was Settled as a Result of a Business Combination**

**Question**

How should an entity account for a preexisting relationship that is treated as having been settled as a result of a business combination?

**Answer**

If a business combination effectively results in the settlement of a preexisting relationship between an acquirer and an acquiree, the acquirer would recognize a gain or loss. ASC 805-10-55-21 indicates how such a gain or loss should be measured:

**ASC 805-10**

55-21 If the business combination in effect settles a preexisting relationship, the acquirer recognizes a gain or loss, measured as follows:

1. For a preexisting noncontractual relationship, such as a lawsuit, fair value
2. For a preexisting contractual relationship, the lesser of the following:
   1. The amount by which the contract is favorable or unfavorable from the perspective of the acquirer when compared with pricing for current market transactions for the same or similar items. An unfavorable contract is a contract that is unfavorable in terms of current market terms. It is not necessarily a loss contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it.
   2. The amount of any stated settlement provisions in the contract available to the counterparty to whom the contract is unfavorable. If this amount is less than the amount in (b)(1), the difference is included as part of the business combination accounting.
Note that if a preexisting contract is otherwise cancelable without penalty, no settlement gain or loss would be recognized. The acquirer’s recognition of an asset or liability related to the relationship before the business combination will affect the calculation of the settlement.

When a business combination results in the settlement of a noncontractual relationship, such as a lawsuit or threatened litigation, the gain or loss should be recognized and measured at fair value. This settlement gain or loss may differ from any amount previously recorded under the contingency guidance in ASC 450.

Connecting the Dots
Certain collaborative arrangements may not be held at fair value (e.g., when there are equity investments in the acquiree). In such cases, a gain or loss should be recognized for the difference between the fair value and carrying value recorded.

4.2.3.9 Initial and Subsequent Accounting for Contingent Consideration

Q&A 4-10 How to Initially Account for Contingent Consideration in a Business Combination

Question
How should an entity initially account for contingent consideration in a business combination?

Answer
In accordance with ASC 805-30-25, contingent consideration is recorded at fair value as part of the total consideration transferred by the acquirer. The acquirer must distinguish between contingent consideration (see ASC 805-10-20) and preexisting contingencies assumed in the acquisition (see ASC 450-10-20). The fair value of contingent consideration is considered to be part of the purchase price and is recorded on the balance sheet either as a liability or within equity (or, less commonly, as an asset).

Connecting the Dots
A contingent consideration arrangement in a business combination between two life sciences companies could involve future FDA approval of a pharmaceutical product. In this case, a company may need to use considerable judgment in determining the fair value of the consideration, particularly when assessing the probability of the FDA approval.

Q&A 4-11 How to Subsequently Account for Contingent Consideration in a Business Combination

Question
How should an entity subsequently account for contingent consideration in a business combination?

Answer
If the acquirer classifies a contingent consideration arrangement as an asset or a liability, it is remeasured to fair value each reporting period until the contingency is resolved. The acquirer recognizes changes in fair value in earnings each period unless the acquirer designates the arrangement as a cash flow hedging instrument to which the provisions of ASC 815-10 apply.
If the contingent consideration is classified as an equity instrument, it is not remeasured. The initial amount recognized for contingent consideration classified as equity is not adjusted, even if the fair value of the arrangement changes. The subsequent settlement of the arrangement on the date the contingency is resolved is accounted for within equity.

Adjustments made during the measurement period that pertain to facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date are recognized as adjustments to goodwill. The acquirer must consider all pertinent factors in determining whether information obtained after the acquisition date should result in an adjustment to the provisional amounts recognized or whether that information results from events that occurred after the acquisition date. For example, earnings targets that are met, changes in share prices, and FDA approvals are all changes that occur after the acquisition date. Changes in fair value resulting from these items are recognized in earnings and not as adjustments to goodwill.

When a contingency related to contingent consideration is not met (e.g., earnings targets specified in an arrangement are not achieved), the acquirer should consider whether this factor represents an indicator that goodwill associated with the business combination should be tested for impairment.

**Example**

Company A acquires Company B for $15 million in a transaction accounted for as a business combination. The parties further agree that if the FDA approves B’s lead compound, A will pay the former owners of B an additional $6 million as well as a royalty equal to 2 percent of future net sales in the United States. The contingent consideration arrangement is classified as a liability and has an acquisition-date fair value of $14 million.

At the end of each reporting period after the acquisition date, the arrangement is remeasured to its fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. For example, if the likelihood of achieving FDA approval increases, the fair value of the contingent consideration would most likely increase, resulting in an additional charge in the income statement. Conversely, if the contingency is not met or its fair value declines, any accrued liability would be reversed into income.

**Connecting the Dots**

After the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued, events may occur that affect the value of contingent consideration recognized as a liability on the balance sheet as part of a business combination. For example, contingent consideration may exist in the form of a regulatory approval–based milestone payment due to the seller, and such approval may occur, or notification of regulatory denial may be received, after the balance sheet date. Questions often arise about whether this type of event should be treated as a recognized or nonrecognized subsequent event. ASC 855-10-55-2(f) notes that changes in the fair value of assets or liabilities (financial or nonfinancial) after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued represent nonrecognized subsequent events. Because contingent consideration liabilities are recognized at fair value, any change in fair value after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued would be treated as a nonrecognized subsequent event. In such circumstances, preparers should evaluate the significance of the change in fair value of the contingent consideration and consider whether it may be of such a nature that it must be disclosed to keep the financial statements from being misleading. For such matters, ASC 855-10-50-2 notes that companies should disclose the nature of the event as well as an estimate of its financial effect (or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made).
4.2.3.10  SEC Comment Letter Themes Related to Business Combinations

Below are examples of certain SEC staff comments that registrants in the life sciences industry and other industries have received regarding their accounting for business combinations.

For more information about SEC comment letter themes that pertain to the life sciences industry, see Deloitte’s SEC Comment Letter Roadmap.

4.2.3.10.1  Business Combination Versus Asset Acquisition Accounting Determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples of SEC Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• You recorded the February and December [20XX] acquisitions as asset acquisitions. Please tell us, for each acquisition, why you believe the acquisitions are not required to be recorded as an acquisition of a business pursuant to ASU 2017-01 [as codified in ASC 805]. In this regard, please specifically address the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o As it appears you acquired both tangible and intangible assets in the February acquisitions and the December acquisition appears to relate to assets with significantly different risks, please confirm our understanding that the acquisitions did not meet the “practical screen” in ASC 805-10-55-5A through 55-5C as the term is used in ASC 805-10-55-5. Refer also to the example in ASC 805-10-55-68.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Please address each of the criteria in ASC 805-10-55-5E in determining whether or not a substantive process was acquired, that together with the input acquired, significantly contribute to the ability to create outputs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With respect to the product rights acquired from [Company A], your response does not consider risks, other than marketing and promotional risks. At a minimum, please address the following potential risks:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o The drugs are intended to treat significantly different conditions which bear the risk of potentially different long-term side effects. Branded drugs are subject to litigation which may not occur for years after being marketed;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Each drug has a significantly different potential customer base with different regulatory risks;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Each drug has different risks with respect to being on drug formulary lists; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Although the products have been marketed for more than [X] years, the competition differs for each of the different drugs, despite the lack of promotional activity for the drugs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In light of the risks, other than marketing and promotional risk, please tell us why you believe the product rights acquired from [A] do not have significantly different risk characteristics and thus meet the “practical screen” test in ASC 805-10-55-5A through 55-5C. If the acquisitions do not meet the “practical screen test” please address each of the criteria in ASC 805-10-55-5E in determining whether or not a substantive process was acquired, that together with the input acquired, significantly contribute to the ability to create outputs.

Accounting for a transaction as a business combination differs significantly from accounting for a transaction as an asset acquisition, as discussed in Section 4.2.2. Consequently, when acquisitions occur, it is important to determine whether what is being acquired meets the definition of a business under ASC 805. Given the SEC staff's historical focus on how life sciences companies have applied the definition of a business, registrants in the life sciences industry should be mindful that the SEC staff may ask questions about whether an acquired set meets the definition of a business.
4.2.3.10.2 Recognition of Assets and Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples of SEC Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• You disclose . . . that you capitalized the entire consideration as patents and intellectual property to be amortized over the legal remaining patent life. However, it appears that the [acquired] product was a clinical stage drug candidate. Please address the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Tell us how you considered whether any of the purchase price should have been allocated to other assets or in-process research and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Tell us how your accounting treatment complies with ASC 805-50 and ASC 730-10-25-1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Support your conclusion that the intangible asset you have recorded has alternative future use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In your disclosure . . . , you indicate that you acquired $[X] million of intangible assets from [the target company]. Please identify for us the specific intangible assets acquired and their amounts, and describe for us how you determined the fair value of each asset. Tell us why you do not disaggregate these assets or provide more detail of the components of these assets in your disclosure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Please revise [your disclosure] to present your purchase price allocation based on the total consideration transferred instead of total cash paid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• You state that tradenames and trademarks were not valued as tradenames and trademarks will not be maintained going forward. Please tell us how this accounting complies with ASC 805-20-30-6, which requires the acquirer to fair value the nonfinancial asset assuming its highest and best use by market participants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Registrants need to consider the provisions of ASC 805 in making the appropriate accounting determination of whether a transaction represents a business combination or an asset acquisition. Upon completing this assessment, registrants need to assign amounts to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a manner consistent with the accounting model that applies to the transaction. When a transaction is accounted for as an asset acquisition, registrants should keep in mind that R&D costs are only capitalized if the IPR&D asset has an alternative future use. Paragraph 3.14 of the AICPA Guide states that for an asset to have alternative future use, both of the following conditions must be met:

• “[I]t is reasonably expected that the reporting entity will use the asset acquired in the alternative manner and anticipates economic benefit from that alternative use” (footnote omitted).
• The acquired asset “can be used in the alternative manner in the condition in which it existed at the acquisition date.”

The determination of whether an acquired intangible asset to be used in R&D activities has an alternative future use depends on specific facts and circumstances. Registrants should carefully consider the specific facts regarding the completed transaction to ensure that they prepare a robust accounting analysis that supports the overall conclusion.
4.2.3.10.3   Useful Life and Impairment of Intangible Assets

### Examples of SEC Comments

- Please explain to us your basis for determining [an X-year] useful life for the currently marketed products rights intangible assets. In your response, tell us the estimated fair value of each such intangible asset acquired, as well as the useful life you assign to each and explain why the assigned life is reasonable. In addition, please tell us why it is appropriate to use straight line amortization, given the likely impact of future competition from branded and generic drug products over this period.

- Please provide us proposed revised disclosure discussing your impairment to be included in your upcoming Form 10-K that provides more insight into what new information was received during the third quarter prompting your impairment charge and reassessment of the useful life of [the product]. In your revised disclosure discuss the general reasons you reassessed the level and timing of [additional] competition.

- Subsequent to the immediate recognition of the license fee [from Customer A], you determined that the license had no future economic value and accelerated the amortization of the remaining balance of this intangible asset . . . . Please provide us additional analysis supporting this accounting treatment. Also elaborate for us on the following factors you noted in your response:
  - [T]he contract term of exclusivity and any termination provisions; and
  - [A] description of current and future market conditions you considered.

- We note you impaired your product rights, developed technologies and IPR&D by $[X] million, $[Y] million and $[Z] million respectively in [the fiscal year]. In order to provide investors with a better understanding of your financial condition and results of operations, please expand your disclosure to separately identify the underlying products or projects that are associated with these impairments . . . , quantify the charge taken, and expand your disclosure to address the underlying causes, including trends, demands, events or uncertainties that gave rise to the impairment.

Life sciences entities frequently acquire patent rights to approved products in business combinations and asset acquisitions. To determine the useful life of intangible assets, most life sciences companies begin their analysis by considering the patent life of the underlying product (if any). Entities should also consider whether the useful life could be affected by other factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Risk of competition.
- High barrier to market entry, including after the entity's patent expires.
- Regulatory or court decisions related to the patent rights.
- Changes to insurance, government reimbursement policies, or both.

In accordance with ASC 350-30-35-4, “[i]f no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic, or other factors limit the useful life of an intangible asset to the reporting entity, the useful life of the asset shall be considered to be indefinite.” In the life sciences industry, finite useful lives are commonly assigned to internally developed or acquired intangible assets that align with the duration of a patent. In contrast, over-the-counter or generic products may have the characteristics of an indefinite-lived intangible asset.
ASC 350-30-35-15 provides that when an entity determines that an intangible asset has an indefinite useful life, the entity should not amortize the asset until it determines that the asset’s useful life is no longer indefinite. In accordance with ASC 350-30-35-16, the entity is required to evaluate in each performance period the remaining useful life of the indefinite-lived intangible asset “to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life.” If the entity subsequently determines that the asset has a finite useful life, ASC 350-30-35-17 requires the entity to (1) test the asset for impairment in accordance with ASC 350-30-35-18 through 35-19 (i.e., qualitatively and, if necessary, quantitatively) and (2) subsequently amortize the asset “prospectively over its estimated remaining useful life.”

ASC 360-10-35-21 provides examples of events or changes in circumstances that management should consider when assessing whether an intangible long-lived asset should be tested for impairment, including a “significant decrease in the market price of [the] long-lived asset,” a “significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which [the] long lived asset . . . is being used,” and a “significant adverse change in [relevant] legal factors or in the business climate.” Life sciences companies may look to other industry-specific indicators when determining whether an intangible asset should be tested for impairment, including:

- Development progression of a competing product (when the company’s competitor may be “first to market” or may render the company’s product in development obsolete).
- Failure of the drug’s efficacy in clinical trials.
- Regulatory rejection of new drug applications or abbreviated new drug applications, with significant findings.
- A change in the economic lives of similar assets.
- Current or expected changes in participation rates, formulary structure, or reimbursement policies of insurance providers.

The SEC staff has asked registrants to provide additional analysis that explains the basis for their conclusions about the useful life of internally developed and acquired intangible assets and how their determination of useful life aligns with the period of economic benefit from the assets. Further, regarding impairment analyses, the staff has required registrants to provide expanded disclosures about their impairment testing policies, including descriptions of (1) the key assumptions used, (2) how the key assumptions are determined, (3) any uncertainties associated with the key assumptions, and (4) any potential events or circumstances that could adversely affect the key inputs to their impairment tests.
Contingent consideration arrangements are common in business combinations and asset acquisitions between life sciences companies. For example, the buyer may owe the seller (1) future development milestones, (2) sales-based milestones, and (3) royalties. Uncertainty associated with these payments arises from a number of factors:

- Before regulatory approval, uncertainty may arise from potential delays with clinical trials, success of competitor trials, or an inability to obtain regulatory approvals.
- After regulatory approval, uncertainty may arise from product safety concerns, manufacturing issues, potential product recalls, the introduction of competitor products, or possible sales and distribution channel issues.

The SEC staff often asks registrants to provide additional disclosures about the nature and terms of a contingent consideration arrangement and the conditions that must be met for the arrangement to become payable. Since ASC 805 requires entities to recognize contingent consideration at fair value as of the acquisition date in a transaction accounted for as a business combination, the staff may ask registrants to disclose how they determined the fair value of the contingent consideration. In addition, the staff may ask whether the change in the fair value of the contingent consideration should be reflected as a measurement-period adjustment to the amount of goodwill (i.e., if the adjustment is made because of new information obtained during the measurement period about facts or circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date) or in current earnings under ASC 805-10-25-13 through 25-19 and ASC 805-10-30-3. Further, the staff may ask for disclosure of the total amount of contingent consideration that could become payable under the terms of the arrangement.
4.2.4 Divestitures

The determination of whether a group of assets represents a business is important not only in acquisitions but also in divestitures. Specifically, in divestiture transactions related to the disposal of a business, there has been diversity in practice related to the treatment of contingent consideration (as discussed in Q&A 4-12 below). Note that the accounting policy considerations discussed in Q&A 4-12 are relevant only to groups of assets that meet the definition of a business. For considerations related to the sale of assets, see Q&A 2-4, which discusses the accounting for asset dispositions under the new revenue standard, including the need, under certain circumstances, to record variable consideration associated with an asset disposition that otherwise is not considered a revenue activity.

Q&A 4-12 Seller’s (Parent’s) Accounting for Contingent Consideration Upon Deconsolidation of a Subsidiary or Derecognition of a Group of Assets That Is a Business

Under a contingent consideration arrangement, a buyer is obligated to transfer additional consideration to a seller as part of the exchange for control of the acquiree if a specified future event occurs or a condition is met. Entities must evaluate the nature of each arrangement to determine whether contingent future payments are (1) part of the exchange for control (i.e., contingent consideration) or (2) separate transactions. Examples of contingent payment arrangements that are separate transactions include, but are not limited to, payments related to compensation for services, consulting contracts, profit-sharing agreements, property lease agreements, and executory contracts.

This applies only to arrangements in which the payment is contingent consideration. Further, it is assumed in this Q&A that the seller has determined that the arrangement does not meet the definition of a derivative instrument. If the arrangement met the definition of a derivative, it would be accounted for under ASC 815.

Question

How should a seller account for a contingent consideration arrangement upon deconsolidation of its subsidiary or derecognition of a group of assets that is a business?

Answer

This topic is discussed in EITF Issue No. 09-4. At the EITF’s meeting on September 9–10, 2009, the EITF considered the two approaches discussed below with respect to a seller’s accounting for a contingent consideration arrangement upon deconsolidation of a subsidiary or derecognition of a group of assets that meets the definition of a business; however, the Task Force did not reach a consensus on this Issue. Accordingly, in the absence of future standard setting, diversity in practice related to a seller’s accounting for a contingent consideration arrangement may continue. Nevertheless, entities should establish an accounting policy for the initial and subsequent measurement of this type of arrangement. The seller should apply the chosen option to all future transactions. In addition, if an entity believes that it can support an alternative accounting treatment for a specific contingent consideration arrangement (other than the two approaches considered by the EITF), it should consult its accounting advisers.
**Approach 1**

The seller includes the initial fair value of any contingent consideration arrangement in the overall gain or loss on deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Supporters of this approach point to ASC 810-10-40-5, which states that the seller (parent) should include the “fair value of **any** consideration received” (emphasis added) when calculating the gain or loss on deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Accordingly, the “consideration received” should include the fair value of any contingent consideration arrangements between the seller and buyer. Under this approach, the seller would recognize a contingent consideration receivable for the future amounts due from the buyer.

If the seller adopts this approach to initially account for a contingent consideration agreement, it should elect an accounting policy to (1) subsequently remeasure the contingent consideration at fair value as of the end of each reporting period or (2) subsequently apply the gain contingency guidance in ASC 450-30.

**Approach 2**

The seller accounts for the contingent consideration arrangement as a gain (or loss) contingency in accordance with ASC 450. This approach is consistent with the accounting that entities applied to such transactions before the FASB issued Statement 160. Under this approach, the seller typically recognizes the contingent consideration receivable in earnings after the contingency is resolved. Accordingly, to determine the initial gain or loss on deconsolidation of a subsidiary, the seller would not include an amount related to the contingent consideration arrangement as part of the consideration received unless the criteria in ASC 450 are met. Supporters of this approach believe that the FASB did not intend to change practice when it issued Statement 160.

If the seller selects this approach to initially account for a contingent consideration agreement, it should continue to apply this approach in subsequent periods until the contingency is resolved.

**Example**

Parent A has a wholly owned subsidiary that represents a business and has a carrying amount of $100. Parent A decides to sell 100 percent of this subsidiary to Company B, a third-party buyer. As part of the purchase agreement, B agrees to pay A (1) $150 upon the close of the transaction and (2) an additional $50 if the subsidiary’s earnings exceed a specified level for the 12-month period after the close of the transaction. Upon the close of the transaction, A calculates the fair value of the contingent consideration portion of the arrangement to be $30. In addition, the arrangement does not meet the definition of a derivative.

Parent A would compute its initial gain on the sale, which would be recognized upon the close of the transaction, under the two approaches as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approach 1</th>
<th>Approach 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash proceeds</td>
<td>$ 150</td>
<td>$ 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent consideration</td>
<td>$ 30</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consideration</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: subsidiary's carrying amount</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial gain on sale</td>
<td>$ 80</td>
<td>$ 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 New Accounting Standard — Accounting for Goodwill Impairment (ASU 2017-04)

4.3.1 Background

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-02, which provided an accounting alternative initially developed by the Private Company Council (PCC) that allowed eligible private companies to amortize goodwill and perform a simplified impairment test. Feedback received by the Board on the PCC accounting alternative indicated that many public companies and NFPs shared similar concerns with respect to the cost and complexity of the annual goodwill impairment test. In response to this feedback, the FASB added to its agenda a two-phase goodwill simplification project. In October 2016, the Board decided to suspend deliberations of phase 2 and move that portion of the project to its research agenda. A few months later, in January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04 as part of phase 1. Before evaluating whether to make any additional changes to the model for the subsequent accounting for goodwill, the Board will evaluate the effectiveness of ASU 2017-04 and continue to monitor the IASB’s projects on goodwill and impairment.

The guidance in ASU 2017-04 is summarized below.

4.3.2 Key Provisions

ASU 2017-04 most notably removes “step 2” from the impairment model, thus eliminating the requirement for entities to complete a hypothetical purchase price allocation.

The FASB also determined not to give entities the option of performing step 2 and to instead require them to adopt the simplified impairment test prospectively. Therefore, under the amendments in ASU 2017-04, entities would perform their annual (or any necessary interim) goodwill test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. Entities would recognize any impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit’s fair value (not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit). Entities still have the option of performing the qualitative assessment for reporting units to determine whether a quantitative impairment test is necessary.

In addition, ASU 2017-04 requires entities to apply the same impairment model for a reporting unit with a zero or negative carrying amount as the model for a reporting unit with a positive carrying amount by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount. Further, entities are required to quantitatively disclose the amount of goodwill allocated to reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts.

ASU 2017-04 also:

- Clarifies that “the effect of foreign currency translation should not be an allocation of the cumulative translation adjustment to the reporting unit, but should be determined on the basis of the assets and liabilities assigned to that reporting unit.”
- Clarifies that “an entity should consider income tax effects from any tax deductible goodwill on the carrying amount of the reporting unit when measuring the goodwill impairment loss, if applicable.”
4.3.3 Effective Date and Transition

For PBEs that are SEC filers, ASU 2017-04 is effective for annual and any interim impairment tests for periods beginning after December 15, 2019. PBEs that are not SEC filers should apply the new guidance to annual and any interim impairment tests for periods beginning after December 15, 2020. For all other entities, including NFPs, ASU 2017-04 is effective for annual and any interim impairment tests for periods beginning after December 15, 2021. Early adoption is allowed for all entities as of January 1, 2017, for annual and any interim impairment tests occurring on or after January 1, 2017. The amendments in the ASU must be applied prospectively.

For more information about ASU 2017-04, see Deloitte's February 1, 2017, Heads Up.
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ASU 2017-12, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities

ASU 2017-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), Revenue From Contracts With Customers (Topic 606), Leases (Topic 840), and Leases (Topic 842): Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to the Staff Announcement at the July 20, 2017 EITF Meeting and Rescission of Prior SEC Staff Announcements and Observer Comments (SEC Update)

ASU 2017-14, Income Statement — Reporting Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), and Revenue From Contracts With Customers (Topic 606) (SEC Update)

ASU 2018-01, Leases (Topic 842): Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842
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ASU 2018-07, Compensation — Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting

ASU 2018-08, Not-For-Profit Entities (Topic 958): Clarifying the Scope and the Accounting Guidance for Contributions Received and Contributions Made

ASU 2018-10, Codification Improvements to Topic 842, Leases

ASU 2018-11, Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements


ASU 2018-17, Consolidation (Topic 810): Targeted Improvements to Related Party Guidance for Variable Interest Entities

ASU 2018-18, Collaborative Arrangements (Topic 808): Clarifying the Interaction Between Topic 808 and Topic 606

ASU 2018-20, Leases (Topic 842): Narrow-Scope Improvements for Lessors

ASU 2019-01, Leases (Topic 842): Codification Improvements

ASU 2019-04, Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments — Credit Losses, Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, and Topic 825, Financial Instruments

ASU 2019-05, Financial Instruments — Credit Losses (Topic 326): Targeted Transition Relief

ASU 2019-08, Compensation — Stock Compensation (Topic 718) and Revenue From Contracts With Customers (Topic 606): Codification Improvements — Share-Based Consideration Payable to a Customer

ASU 2019-10, Financial Instruments — Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842): Effective Dates

ASU 2019-11, Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments — Credit Losses

ASU 2019-12, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Simplifying the Accounting for Income Taxes

ASU 2020-01, Investments — Equity Securities (Topic 321), Investments — Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323), and Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Clarifying the Interactions Between Topic 321, Topic 323, and Topic 815 — a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force

Concepts Statements

No. 5, Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises

No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements

No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting — Chapter 8, Notes to Financial Statements
Proposed ASUs

No. 2015-310, Notes to Financial Statements (Topic 235): Assessing Whether Disclosures Are Material

No. 2015-340, Government Assistance (Topic 832): Disclosures by Business Entities About Government Assistance

No. 2017-200, Debt (Topic 470): Simplifying the Classification of Debt in a Classified Balance Sheet (Current Versus Noncurrent)

No. 2017-210, Inventory (Topic 330): Disclosure Framework — Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Inventory

No. 2017-280, Consolidation (Topic 812): Reorganization


No. 2019-730, Debt — Debt With Conversion and Other Options (Subtopic 470-20) and Derivatives and Hedging — Contracts in Entity’s Own Equity (Subtopic 815-40): Accounting for Convertible Instruments and Contracts in an Entity’s Own Equity

No. 2019-770, Reference Rate Reform (Topic 848): Facilitation of the Effects of Reference Rate Reform on Financial Reporting


No. 2019-790, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Codification Improvements to Hedge Accounting

Other FASB Proposal


International Standards

IFRS 2, Share-Based Payment

IFRS 3, Business Combinations

IFRS 5, Non-Current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations

IFRS 9, Financial Statements

IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements

IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 15, Revenue From Contracts With Customers

IFRS 16, Leases

IAS 1 (Revised 2007), Presentation of Financial Statements

IAS 7, Statement of Cash Flows

IAS 10, Events After the Reporting Period

IAS 12, Income Taxes
IAS 17, Leases
IAS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance
IAS 27 (Revised 2011), Separate Financial Statements
IAS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation
IAS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets
IAS 38, Intangible Assets
IAS 40, Investment Property

**IRC**
Section 78, “Gross Up for Deemed Paid Foreign Tax Credit”
Section 163(j), “Interest; Limitation on Business Interest”
Section 199, “Income Attributable to Domestic Production Activities”
Section 382, “Limitation on Net Operating Loss Carryforwards and Certain Built-In Losses Following Ownership Change”
Section 383, “Special Limitations on Certain Excess Credits, etc.”
Section 409A “Inclusion in Gross Income of Deferred Compensation Under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans”
Section 422, “Incentive Stock Options”
Section 423, “Employee Stock Purchase Plans”

**PCAOB Literature**

**SEC Literature**

**FRM**
Topic 1, “Registrant’s Financial Information”
Topic 2, “Other Financial Statements Required”
Topic 3, “Pro Forma Financial Information”
Topic 5, “Smaller Reporting Companies”
Topic 7, “Related Party Matters”
Topic 9, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Position and Results of Operations (MD&A)”
Topic 10, “Emerging Growth Companies”

**Interpretive Release**
33-10403, Updates to Commission Guidance Regarding Accounting for Sales of Vaccines and Bioterror Countermeasures to the Federal Government for Placement Into the Pediatric Vaccine Stockpile or the Strategic National Stockpile
Proposed Rule Release
No. 33-10635, Amendments to Financial Disclosures About Acquired and Disposed Businesses

Regulation S-K
Item 101, “Description of Business”
Item 103, “Business; Legal Proceedings”
Item 201, “Market Price of and Dividends on the Registrant’s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters”
Item 301, “Selected Financial Data”
Item 302, “Supplementary Financial Information”
Item 303, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”
Item 305, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk”
Item 402, “Executive Compensation”
Item 404, “Transactions With Related Persons, Promoters and Certain Control Persons”
Item 407, “Corporate Governance”
Item 503, “Prospectus Summary”
Item 601, “Exhibits”

Regulation S-X
Rule 1-02(w), “Definitions of Terms Used in Regulation S-X (17 CFR part 210); Significant Subsidiary”
Article 2, “Qualifications and Reports of Accountants”
Rule 3-02, “Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income and Cash Flows”
Rule 3-05, “Financial Statements of Businesses Acquired or to Be Acquired”
Rule 3-09, “Separate Financial Statements of Subsidiaries Not Consolidated and 50 Percent or Less Owned Persons”
Rule 3-10, “Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered”
Rule 3-14, “Special Instructions for Real Estate Operations to Be Acquired”
Rule 3-16, “Financial Statements of Affiliates Whose Securities Collateralize an Issue Registered or Being Registered”
Rule 4-08(g), “General Notes to Financial Statements: Summarized Financial Information of Subsidiaries Not Consolidated and 50 Percent or Less Owned Persons”
Rule 4-08(h), “General Notes to Financial Statements: Income Tax Expense”
Rule 4-08(n), “Accounting Policies for Certain Derivative Instruments”
Article 8, “Financial Statements of Smaller Reporting Companies”
Rule 10-01(b), “Interim Financial Statements: Other Instructions as to Content”
Article 11, “Pro Forma Financial Information”
Rule 11-01 “Presentation Requirements”

**SAB Topics**
No. 1.M, “Financial Statements; Materiality”
No. 5.A, “Expenses of Offering”
No. 5.Y, “Miscellaneous Accounting; Accounting and Disclosures Relating to Loss Contingencies”
No 11.A, “Miscellaneous Disclosure; Operating-Differential Subsidies”
No. 13, “Revenue Recognition”
No. 14.B, “Share-Based Payment; Transition From Nonpublic to Public Entity Status”
No. 14.D.1, “Certain Assumptions Used in Valuation Methods; Expected Volatility”
SAB 116, “Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 116”

**SEC Securities Act of 1933 General Rules and Regulations**
Rule 144, “Persons Deemed Not to be Engaged in a Distribution and Therefore Not Underwriters — General Guidance”

**Superseded Literature**

**EITF Issues**
Issue 00-21, “Revenue Arrangements With Multiple Deliverables”
Issue 01-10, “Accounting for the Impact of the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001”
Issue 02-16, “Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received From a Vendor”
Issue 01-8, “Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease”
Issue 08-6, “Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations”
Issue 09-2, “Research and Development Assets Acquired in an Asset Acquisition”
Issue 09-4, “Seller Accounting for Contingent Consideration”

**FASB Interpretations**
No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations* — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143
No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109
FASB Statements

No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies

No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows

No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan — an amendment of FASB Statements No. 5 and 15

No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment

No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

No. 141, Business Combinations

No. 141(R), Business Combinations

No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of ARB No. 51
## Appendix C — Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABO</td>
<td>accumulated benefit obligation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFS</td>
<td>available for sale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>artificial intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICPA</td>
<td>American Institute of Certified Public Accountants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT</td>
<td>alternative minimum tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>active pharmaceutical ingredient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APIC</td>
<td>additional paid-in capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>FASB Accounting Standards Codification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>accelerated share repurchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASU</td>
<td>FASB Accounting Standards Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCF</td>
<td>beneficial conversion feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAT</td>
<td>base erosion anti-abuse tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEMTA</td>
<td>base erosion minimum tax amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>branded prescription drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGR</td>
<td>compound annual growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>critical audit matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCF</td>
<td>cash conversion feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CECL</td>
<td>current expected credit loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC</td>
<td>controlled foreign corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>contract manufacturing organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CODM</td>
<td>chief operating decision maker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRO</td>
<td>contract research organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>corporate social responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTA</td>
<td>deferred tax asset</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DTL</td>
<td>deferred tax liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>exposure draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDGAR</td>
<td>SEC electronic data gathering, analysis, and retrieval system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGC</td>
<td>emerging growth company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITF</td>
<td>Emerging Issues Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESPP</td>
<td>employee stock purchase plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASB</td>
<td>Financial Accounting Standards Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDII</td>
<td>foreign derived intangible income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFO</td>
<td>first in, first out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIN</td>
<td>FASB Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOB</td>
<td>free on board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRM</td>
<td>SEC Division of Corporation Finance Financial Reporting Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVTOCI</td>
<td>fair value through other comprehensive income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAAP</td>
<td>generally accepted accounting principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILTI</td>
<td>global intangible low-taxed income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO</td>
<td>group purchasing organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>held for investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFS</td>
<td>held for sale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAS</td>
<td>International Accounting Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IASB</td>
<td>International Accounting Standards Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRIC</td>
<td>IFRS Interpretations Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRS</td>
<td>International Financial Reporting Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIR</td>
<td>investigator-initiated research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>intellectual property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPO</td>
<td>initial public offering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR&amp;D</td>
<td>in-process research and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRC</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>incentive stock option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>information technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCD</td>
<td>liquid-crystal display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBOR</td>
<td>London Interbank Offered Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFO</td>
<td>last in, first out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLC</td>
<td>limited liability company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;A</td>
<td>merger and acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD&amp;A</td>
<td>Management’s Discussion &amp; Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSL</td>
<td>medical science liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFP</td>
<td>not-for-profit entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOL</td>
<td>net operating loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQSO</td>
<td>non-qualified stock option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSO</td>
<td>nonstatutory option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCI</td>
<td>other comprehensive income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEM</td>
<td>original equipment manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>public business entity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBO</td>
<td>projected benefit obligation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCAOB</td>
<td>Public Company Accounting Oversight Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Private Company Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP&amp;E</td>
<td>property, plant, and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRV</td>
<td>priority review voucher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTRS</td>
<td>probability of technical and regulatory success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q&amp;A</td>
<td>question and answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>research and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;E</td>
<td>research and experimentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMS</td>
<td>risk evaluation and mitigation strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>return on capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROU</td>
<td>right of use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaaS</td>
<td>software as a service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>Staff Accounting Bulletin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Securities and Exchange Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>small to medium-sized entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPI</td>
<td>solely payments of principal and interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRC</td>
<td>smaller reporting entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>Standard &amp; Poor’s 500 Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD</td>
<td>Treasury Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRG</td>
<td>transition resource group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTB</td>
<td>unrecognized tax benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIE</td>
<td>variable interest entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWAP</td>
<td>volume-weighted average daily market price</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following is a list of short references for the Acts mentioned in this Guide:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOBS Act</td>
<td>Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities Act</td>
<td>Securities Act of 1933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCJA</td>
<td>Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>