

**Deloitte.**



**Applying the new revenue  
recognition standard**

On May 28, 2014, the FASB and IASB issued their final standard on recognizing revenue from customer contracts. The standard, issued as ASU 2014-09 by the FASB and as IFRS 15 by the IASB, outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue from contracts with customers. This supersedes most legacy revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance.

The goals of the effort were to clarify and converge the revenue recognition principles under U.S. GAAP and IFRSs; to develop guidance that would streamline and enhance revenue recognition requirements; and to provide “a more robust framework for addressing revenue issues.” The FASB and IASB believe the new standard will improve the consistency of requirements, comparability of revenue recognition practices, and usefulness of disclosures.



01

02

03

04

05

# Key provisions of the new standard



01

The new model's core principle for revenue recognition is to “depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services.”

This principle was established by both the FASB and IASB and is the underpinning of the entire revenue framework. In particular, it identifies and answers the two most fundamental questions related to revenue.

## The most fundamental questions related to revenue

### When may an entity recognize revenue?

The entity may recognize revenue when it satisfies its obligations under a contract by transferring goods or services to its customer. (That is, when the entity performs, it should recognize revenue.)

### How much revenue may an entity recognize?

The entity may recognize the amount to which it expects to be entitled under the contract. (Estimates may be required because this is an “expected” amount.) The FASB and IASB intentionally chose the wording “be entitled”—rather than “receive” or “collect”—in order to distinguish collectibility risk from other uncertainties that may occur under the contract.

02

03

04

05

# Five-step model

In order to achieve the core principle and determine when to recognize revenue and how much revenue to recognize, the FASB and IASB established a five-step framework (following a scope decision). The five steps provide entities with a model (Figure 1) to identify the contract to be evaluated under the new revenue standard, evaluate the performance obligations (or units of account to which the recognition principles apply), and estimate the amount of consideration to be recognized as revenue for each performance obligation.

The new revenue standard affects other accounting topics as well, including, but not limited to, contract modifications, licensing, contract costs, and presentation. Also, the new revenue standard creates additional quantitative and qualitative disclosure requirements.

**Figure 1: A five-step model for recognizing revenue**



01

02

03

04

05

# Post-issuance activities

After issuing the new revenue standard on May 28, 2014, the FASB and IASB—along with stakeholders from all industries—have focused on implementation efforts related to the adoption of ASU 2014-09 and IFRS 15 (along with related ASUs and IFRSs). Also, there have been eight meetings held by the Transition Resource Group (TRG) (including two FASB-only TRG meetings), where a total of 52 staff papers were discussed. The feedback the FASB received through these meetings led to five ASUs that amend various aspects of ASC 606.

The past few years have also seen significant activity by the 16 AICPA revenue recognition industry task forces. The task forces have been updating the AICPA's industry guides to address 140 accounting matters related to revenue recognition, presentation, and disclosure.

The SEC has been active as well. In addition to monitoring implementation efforts and discussing its expectations for transparent disclosures, the SEC updated its revenue guidance with the issuance of SAB 116, which effectively supersedes SAB Topic 13.

Stakeholders need to understand existing and evolving interpretations of the new revenue standard. Many of these interpretations will be more applicable to some companies than to others. Because the standard was deliberately written to be industry-agnostic, however, interpretations that are relevant to companies in one industry may also be relevant to companies in other industries.

Stakeholders need to understand existing and evolving interpretations of the new revenue standard. Many of these interpretations will be more applicable to some companies than to others.



01

02

03

04

05

# Effective date and transition requirements

Public entities reporting under U.S. GAAP are required to apply the new revenue standard for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within those annual periods. Nonpublic entities reporting under U.S. GAAP are required to apply the new revenue standard for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018; however, nonpublic entities are not required to apply the new revenue standard to interim reporting periods within the initial annual reporting period.

Entities have the option of using either a “full retrospective” or “modified retrospective” method to adopt the guidance in the new revenue standard.

## Full retrospective application

This method takes into account the requirements in ASC 250 (with certain practical expedients).

## Modified retrospective application

Under this method, an entity recognizes “the cumulative effect of initially applying [ASU 2014–09] as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings...of the annual reporting period that includes the date of initial application.” Revenue in periods presented in the financial statements before that date is reported using

guidance in effect before the change. When using this method, an entity applies the guidance in the ASU (as amended by ASU 2016–12 ) to either of the following:

- Incomplete contracts (i.e., contracts for which all—or substantially all—revenue has not been recognized in accordance with legacy revenue guidance as of the date of initial application)
- All contracts, as of the date of initial application (and any new contracts created after that date)

Before selecting a method for adopting the new revenue standard, entities should carefully evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of each method.



01

02

03

04

05

# Did you know?

The move from legacy U.S. GAAP's risk- and reward-based revenue recognition model to the new revenue standard's control-based model is a fundamental change in how entities are required to think about revenue recognition. Also, the new revenue standard eliminates many of the revenue recognition rules prescribed under legacy U.S. GAAP, and it replaces them with a principles-based framework outlined in the five-step model. In some cases, applying the five-step model and recognizing revenue under the new standard will be straightforward. In other cases, however, applying the new guidance will require significant judgment, increasing the complexity of compliance.

**The 2017 edition of Deloitte's A Roadmap to Applying the New Revenue Recognition Standard (the "Revenue Roadmap") provides numerous examples and interpretations that highlight some of the more challenging aspects of the new revenue standard and how it might require a different way of thinking about revenue recognition. For example:**

## **Did you know...**

...if an entity does not meet the collectibility threshold, it should not default to a cash basis of accounting? In fact, in some situations, an entity will be precluded from recognizing any revenue even if it receives cash from its customer.

*(Section 4.2 of the Revenue Roadmap)*



01

02

03

04

05

# Did you know?

## Did you know...

...an entity may still be able to apply the residual approach to determine the standalone selling price used to allocate the transaction price; however, the application of the approach (and outcome) could be different from the residual method used today?

*(Section 7.2 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...the sale of a good might be recognized over time, while the sale of a service might be recognized at a point in time?

*(Section 8.4.3 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...although a change in the contractual consideration may consist of only a change in the transaction price, it may be viewed from an accounting standpoint as a contract modification, for which the accounting could be significantly different?

*(Section 7.5 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...the principal-versus-agent indicators in the new revenue standard are similar to those under legacy U.S. GAAP, but the analysis for determining whether an entity is a principal or an agent is different? Entities may come to different presentation conclusions as a result of the new standard.

*(Section 10.2 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...the requirement to capitalize incremental costs incurred to obtain a contract with a customer is new and that the capitalized costs might be amortized over the contract term, the entire expected customer life, or any period in between?

*(Section 12.4 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...the determination of whether an entity should capitalize commissions as incremental costs incurred to obtain a contract with a customer will depend on the nature of the commission plan and other conditions tied to the commission?

*(Section 12.2 of the Revenue Roadmap)*



01

02

03

04

05



# Did you know?

## Did you know...

...revenue from a license to intellectual property may be recognized over time, at a point in time, or on the basis of the subsequent sales or usage of the intellectual property?

*(Section 11.5 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...lessors may need to take unique considerations into account when determining the impact of adopting the new revenue standard?

*(Section 15.2.5 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...sales of nonfinancial assets and in-substance nonfinancial assets are subject to the same recognition and measurement principles as sales to customers?

*(Chapter 17 of the Revenue Roadmap)*

## Did you know...

...even if the new revenue standard does not significantly affect the timing of revenue recognition or the amount of revenue that is recognized, the impact of the standard's disclosure requirements will be significant and could require an entity to accumulate new or different information?

*(Chapter 17 of the Revenue Roadmap)*



01

02

03

**04**

05

# Contacts

For additional information regarding the above and other interpretative guidance related to the new revenue standard, refer to the [Revenue Roadmap](#). If you have questions about the information in this publication or the Revenue Roadmap, contact any of the following Deloitte professionals:



**Eric Knachel**  
**Partner**  
Deloitte & Touche LLP  
+1 203 761 3625  
eknachel@deloitte.com



**Kristin Bauer**  
**Partner**  
Deloitte & Touche LLP  
+1 312 486 3877  
kbauer@deloitte.com



**Chris Chiriatti**  
**Managing Director**  
Deloitte & Touche LLP  
+1 203 761 3039  
cchiriatti@deloitte.com



**Mark Crowley**  
**Managing Director**  
Deloitte & Touche LLP  
+1 203 563 2518  
mcrowley@deloitte.com



**Joe DiLeo**  
**Managing Director**  
Deloitte & Touche LLP  
+1 203 761 3195  
jodileo@deloitte.com



**Sandie Kim**  
**Partner**  
Deloitte & Touche LLP  
+1 415 783 4848  
sandkim@deloitte.com





To find out more, please visit [www.deloitte.com/us/revrec](http://www.deloitte.com/us/revrec).

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, its member firms or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte Network”), is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decisions or taking any action that may affect your finances, or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser. No entity in the Deloitte Network shall be responsible for any loss whatsoever sustained by any person who relies on this communication.

#### **About Deloitte**

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee (“DTTL”), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) does not provide services to clients. In the United States, Deloitte refers to one or more of the US member firms of DTTL, their related entities that operate using the “Deloitte” name in the United States and their respective affiliates. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting. Please see [www.deloitte.com/about](http://www.deloitte.com/about) to learn more about our global network of member firms.