Deloitte.



On the Radar

Leases

The current macroeconomic environment has created ongoing challenges and uncertainty in various areas of accounting, including the accounting for leases. For example, the U.S. 30-year fixed mortgage rate has nearly doubled since 2016, the year in which ASC 842 was issued.¹



¹ Source for graphic: Mortgage Rates — Freddie Mac.

Many commercial real estate entities have encountered increased costs of capital and tightening lending standards while also dealing with higher levels of maturing debt; reductions in the volume of real estate transactions; and evolving real estate demands and preferences related to the way people work, live, and shop. The actual impact of the current macroeconomic environment on commercial real estate assets will differ on the basis of various factors, including geographic location, tenant-specific operations, and in-place lease terms. Commercial real estate entities, including real estate owners, operators, and developers, should continually monitor, evaluate, and update their lease-related accounting and reporting.

Lease Accounting Hot Topics for Entities That Have Adopted ASC 842

Real Estate Rationalization

The COVID-19 pandemic ignited a shift in how entities in almost every industry sector are doing business. Many entities are reevaluating where their employees conduct their required business activities and to what extent they will rely on the use of brick-and-mortar real estate assets on a go-forward basis. Specifically, many entities have initiated a real estate rationalization program to reevaluate their organization-wide real estate footprint. The goal of initiating such programs may be for entities to rightsize their real estate portfolios to manage costs while adequately supporting their evolving business needs. In addition to the macroeconomic challenges and uncertainty mentioned above, expectations related to hybrid-work approaches have led to increased vacancy rates for office properties in certain locales.

We have also observed an increase in entities abandoning properties, subleasing space they are no longer using, or modifying existing leases to change the amount of space or the lease term. Further, as a financing method to improve their liquidity, entities are increasingly entering into sale-and-leaseback transactions involving real estate. As a result of these real estate rationalization efforts, companies are also more frequently evaluating leases for impairment. Each of these topics is addressed below and within this publication. Note that the accounting considerations below apply to entities that have already adopted ASC 842.

See Deloitte's March 30, 2021, *Accounting Spotlight* and May 22, 2023, *Financial Reporting Alert* for further details on the impact of real estate rationalization and commercial real estate macroeconomic trends, respectively, on an entity's lease accounting.

Impairment and Abandonment

The right-of-use (ROU) assets recorded on a lessee's balance sheet under ASC 842 are subject to the ASC 360-10 impairment guidance applicable to long-lived assets. When events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset group may not be recoverable (i.e., impairment indicators exist), the asset group should be tested to determine whether an impairment exists. The decision to change the use of a property subject to a lease could be an impairment indicator.

Although the existence of an impairment indicator would not itself be a reason for a lessee to reevaluate the lease term for accounting purposes, an entity should consider whether any of the reassessment events in ASC 842-10-35-1 have occurred simultaneously with the impairment indicator.

The guidance in ASC 360-10 on accounting for abandoned long-lived assets also applies to ROU assets. In the context of a real estate lease, when a lessee decides that it will no longer need a property to support its business requirements but still has a contractual obligation under the underlying lease, the lessee needs to evaluate whether the ROU asset has been or will be abandoned. Abandonment accounting only applies when the underlying property subject to a lease is no longer used for **any** business purposes, including storage. If the lessee intends to use the space at a future time or retains the **intent** and **ability** to sublease the property, abandonment accounting would be inappropriate.

Common Pitfall

We have seen some companies assert that they are abandoning the property, even though it is only temporarily idled, or that they may still be using it for minor operational needs or may have the intent and ability to sublease it. Under these circumstances, abandonment accounting would not be appropriate. An entity may need to use significant judgment in evaluating whether abandonment has occurred, and a high bar has been set for concluding that a property has been abandoned.

In our experience, establishing management's intent regarding subleasing involves judgment and depends on various facts and circumstances, such as the remaining lease term, the nature of the property, and the level of demand in the rental market. For example, it may be reasonable to conclude that an ROU asset is subject to abandonment accounting when the remaining lease term is shorter and the rental market is, and is expected to remain, weak. On the other hand, it may be more challenging to conclude that management has forgone the opportunity to sublease the property if the remaining lease term is longer, given the increased uncertainty about the level of demand in the rental market over a longer time horizon. It may be particularly difficult to reach such a conclusion in the current environment given the uncertainties related to the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on the real estate strategy of other market participants going forward. There are no bright lines regarding the duration of the remaining lease term in this analysis, and the exercise could differ from one rental market to the next. We would also expect specialized properties to be more difficult to sublease than more generic properties such as retail shopping units and office space. Entities should carefully evaluate their specific facts and circumstances when determining whether the ASC 360 abandonment accounting applies to the ROU asset.

Subleases

A lessee may enter into a sublease if the lessee no longer wants to use the underlying asset but has identified a third party to which the asset will be leased. In a sublease, the original lease between the lessor and the original lessee (i.e., the head lease) typically remains in effect and the original lessee becomes the intermediate lessor. Generally, the lessee/intermediate lessor should account for the head lease and the sublease as separate contracts and should consider whether the sublease changes the lease term of the head lease or its classification. The head lessor's accounting is unaffected by the existence of the sublease.

Modification of Existing Lease Arrangements

In the current environment, tenants may negotiate with lessors to exit early from a leased space, decrease the amount of leased space, or terminate the lease in its entirety. Some lessees are modifying existing lease agreements by (1) eliminating or scaling back office space as a result of hybrid models in a post-pandemic working environment and (2) reducing space because of changes in the current environment to cut or maintain costs. The accounting for a lease modification under ASC 842 depends on whether the modification is accounted for as a separate contract as well as the nature of the modification.

Common Pitfall

Many amended contracts describe a lease amendment as an early termination. In evaluating these types of amendments, a lessee must determine whether the amendment is actually a modification to reduce the lease term. If a termination takes effect after a specified period (even a relatively short period), the lessee still has the right to use the leased asset for that period. In such cases, the modification consists of a reduction in the lease term rather than a full or partial termination. The guidance on full or partial terminations only applies when all or part of the lessee's right of use ceases contemporaneously with the execution of the modification (i.e., the space is immediately vacated). As a reminder, an immediate charge to the income statement is only appropriate when the lease is fully or partially terminated.

Evaluation of Lease Options

When determining the lease term at lease commencement, an entity should determine the noncancelable period of a lease, which includes lessee renewal option periods whose exercise is believed to be reasonably certain (and includes lessee termination option periods when exercise is reasonably certain not to occur). The likelihood of whether a lessee will be economically compelled to exercise or not exercise an option to renew or terminate a lease is evaluated at lease commencement. In performing this assessment, an entity would consider contract-based, asset-based, entity-based, and market-based factors (e.g., the market rental rates for comparable assets), which may be affected by changes in the macroeconomic environment.

A lessor would not reassess the lease term unless the lease is modified and the modification is not accounted for as a separate contract.

Sale-and-Leaseback Arrangements

A sale-and-leaseback transaction is a common and important financing method for many entities and involves the transfer of a property by the owner ("seller-lessee") to an acquirer ("buyer-lessor") and a transfer of the right to control the use of that same asset back to the seller-lessee for a certain period.

It is important for an entity to evaluate the provisions of any sale-and-leaseback arrangement since the contract terms may significantly affect the accounting. For example, the seller-lessee would not be able to derecognize the underlying asset (i.e., a failed sale) or recognize any associated gain or loss on the sale if (1) the contract includes a provision that grants the original owner (future tenant) an option to repurchase the property or (2) the leaseback would be classified as a finance lease. Rather, both parties would account for the transaction as a financing arrangement. The below graphic outlines key considerations related to the accounting for a sale-and-leaseback arrangement.

"Successful" sale-and-leaseback gain recognition
 Entire gain resulting from the sale recognized immediately
 Special considerations for off-market terms
 "Successful" sale-and-leaseback accounting
 Account for leaseback in a manner consistent with other leases
 Seller-lessee applies lessee model; buyer-lessor applies lessor model
 A "failed sale" will be accounted for as a financing arrangement by both parties transaction

Lease Collectibility

In addition to the impairment considerations described above, lessors should be aware that net investments in leases (arising from sales-type and direct financing leases) are subject to the CECL impairment model, which is based on expected losses rather than historical incurred losses. See **Section 5.3** of Deloitte's Roadmap *Current Expected Credit Losses* for further discussion of the application of the CECL model to lease receivables.

Lessors with outstanding operating lease receivables must apply the collectibility model under ASC 842-30. Entities should apply this collectibility model in a timely manner in the period in which amounts under the lease agreement are due. Under the ASC 842-30 collectibility model, an entity continually evaluates whether it is probable that future operating lease payments will be collected on the basis of the individual lessee's credit risk. When collectibility of the lease payments is probable, the lessor will apply an accrual method of accounting. When collectibility is not probable, the lessor will limit lease income to the cash received, as described in ASC 842-30-25-13. Entities should continue to assess the impact of the current environment when determining whether to move tenants either to or from this cash basis of accounting as opposed to the accrual method of accounting.

Ongoing Accounting Standard-Setting Activities

Since the issuance of ASU 2016-02 several years ago, the FASB has released various ASUs to provide additional transition relief and make certain technical corrections and improvements to the standard.

Most recently, in March 2023, the FASB issued **ASU 2023-01**, which amends certain provisions of ASC 842 that apply to arrangements between related parties under common control. ASU 2023-01 allows non-PBEs, as well as not-for-profit entities that are not conduit bond obligors, to make an accounting policy election of using the written terms and conditions of a common-control arrangement when determining whether a lease exists, as well as the accounting for the lease (including lease classification), on an arrangement-by-arrangement basis. Accordingly, a non-PBE, as well as a not-for-profit entity that is not a conduit bond obligor, that makes this election may not be required to consider the legal enforceability of such written terms and conditions, as described above.

ASU 2023-01 also amends the accounting for leasehold improvements in common-control arrangements for all entities.

The FASB continues to evaluate stakeholder feedback on the adoption of ASC 842. Stay tuned for future refinements in accounting standard setting as a result of these initiatives.

For a comprehensive discussion of the lease accounting guidance in ASC 842, see Deloitte's Roadmap *Leases*.

Contacts



Kristin Bauer Audit & Assurance Partner Deloitte & Touche LLP +1 312 486 3877 kbauer@deloitte.com

If you are interested in Deloitte's lease accounting service offerings, please contact any of the following Deloitte professionals:



Tim Kolber
Audit & Assurance
Managing Director
Deloitte & Touche LLP
+1 203 563 2693
tkolber@deloitte.com



Matt Hurley
Audit & Assurance
Advisory Partner
Deloitte & Touche LLP
+1 615 313 4365
mhurley@deloitte.com

Dbriefs for Financial Executives

We invite you to participate in **Dbriefs**, Deloitte's live webcasts that give you valuable insights into important developments affecting your business. Topics covered in the **Dbriefs for Financial Executives** series include financial reporting, tax accounting, business strategy, governance, and risk. Dbriefs also provide a convenient and flexible way to earn CPE credit — right at your desk.

Subscriptions

To subscribe to Dbriefs, or to receive accounting publications issued by Deloitte's Accounting and Reporting Services Department, please register at My.Deloitte.com.

The Deloitte Accounting Research Tool

Put a wealth of information at your fingertips. The Deloitte Accounting Research Tool (DART) is a comprehensive online library of accounting and financial disclosure literature. It contains material from the FASB, EITF, AICPA, PCAOB, and SEC, in addition to Deloitte's own accounting manuals and other interpretive guidance and publications.

Updated every business day, DART has an intuitive design and navigation system that, together with its powerful search and personalization features, enable users to quickly locate information anytime, from any device and any browser. While much of the content on DART is available at no cost, subscribers have access to premium content, such as Deloitte's FASB Accounting Standards Codification Manual. DART subscribers and others can also subscribe to Weekly Accounting Roundup, which provides links to recent news articles, publications, and other additions to DART. For more information, or to sign up for a free 30-day trial of premium DART content, visit dart.deloitte.com.

On the Radar is prepared by members of Deloitte's National Office. This publication contains general information only and Deloitte is not, by means of this publication, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor. Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

The services described herein are illustrative in nature and are intended to demonstrate our experience and capabilities in these areas; however, due to independence restrictions that may apply to audit clients (including affiliates) of Deloitte & Touche LLP, we may be unable to provide certain services based on individual facts and circumstances.

About Deloitte

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. In the United States, Deloitte refers to one or more of the US member firms of DTTL, their related entities that operate using the "Deloitte" name in the United States and their respective affiliates. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting. Please see www.deloitte.com/us/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.