Overview

—

Purpose

In February 2011, White House Chief Information Officer Vivek Kundra authored an ambitious federal policy aimed at elevating cloud computing investments to critical urgency across the federal government. Central to its message was the establishment of a “Cloud First” policy, mandating that agencies “modify their IT portfolios to fully take advantage of the benefits of cloud computing in order to maximize capacity utilization, improve IT flexibility and responsiveness, and minimize cost.” In the six years since the policy was released, have agencies responded appropriately? To investigate this and other factors contributing to the current state of cloud technology in the federal government, Government Business Council (GBC) and Deloitte undertook an in-depth research study.

—

Methodology

To assess the perceptions, attitudes, and experiences federal leaders have regarding cloud computing capabilities and migration efforts in government, GBC deployed a survey to a random sample of Government Executive, Nextgov, and Defense One online and print subscribers in November 2016. The pool of 328 respondents includes senior employees from more than 30 departments and agencies, with 56 percent holding positions at the GS/GM-13 level or above. Respondents hail from a range of mission areas, the most represented being either technical/scientific or IT, program/project management, and administrative/office services. 87 percent identify as non-DoD federal civilians and 14 percent identify as DoD or active duty military.

Executive Summary

“Cloud First” increased support for cloud, but its impact isn’t universally felt

1 in 3 respondents indicate that support for cloud technology at their agency has increased since Cloud First came on the scene. At the same time, 26 percent say the level of support has seen no change in the wake of the policy. Interestingly, another 31 percent report that cloud technology has had no noticeable impact on their agency’s mission. Again, while more respondents cite cloud as having a positive impact (24%) than a negative impact (6%), a plurality either remain unconvinced of the efficiencies cloud can deliver or unaware of the potential benefits it offers.

Many cite challenges in migrating applications to the cloud

With 41 percent of respondents describing their migration efforts as mixed, problematic, or non-existent, the effort to transfer existing applications to the cloud has not been an easy journey for most. In fact, just 1 in 10 describe the transition as having been successful. The most common pain points cited for these difficulties are security concerns, lack of skills and IT expertise, and budget constraints. The difficulty of integrating or rewriting existing applications to fit cloud environments is another common hurdle, as those in charge of such efforts struggle to incorporate applications not originally developed with cloud in mind.

Ease of operation, stability, and performance are most valued features, but current applications largely under-deliver in the cloud

When asked to identify development features that would provide applications maximum effectiveness in the cloud, respondents most often cite ease of operation, stability, and performance as top priorities. However, 35 percent agree that their current applications were “not at all” developed to take advantage of cloud’s unique capabilities. Consequently, those with opinions on the matter grade their applications more negatively when it comes to ease of operation, scalability, and agility. Yet in spite of these deficiencies, 14 percent say their organization will continue the lift-and-shift policy of rewriting applications to match cloud environments, with minimal or no attention paid to pursuing cloud-native architectures designed to enhance such desirable metrics.
Research Findings

Support for cloud computing has risen, but perceptions of its impact vary

While a third of respondents indicate that support for cloud technology at their organization has increased in the wake of federal policy, 26 percent say there has been no change in their agency’s prioritization of resources for this endeavor since 2011. At the same time, while more respondents describe cloud’s impact on their organization as positive than negative, a sizeable number remain unconvinced of its influence.

---

Since “Cloud First” was released 6 years ago, has support for cloud computing technologies within your organization increased, decreased, or stayed more or less the same?

- Increased: 33%
- No change: 26%
- Decreased: 2%
- Don’t know: 39%

Percentage of respondents, n=326

Note: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding

---

How would you describe the overall impact that cloud computing technologies have had on your organization thus far?

- Very positive: 2%
- Positive: 22%
- No noticeable impact: 31%
- Negative: 5%
- Very negative: 1%
- Don’t know: 40%

Percentage of respondents, n=291

Note: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding

1 in 3 respondents say their agency’s support for cloud computing technologies has risen since the White House released the Federal Cloud Computing Strategy in 2011.

Also known as the Cloud First policy, its key objectives have been to:

- Articulate the benefits, tradeoffs, and considerations of cloud computing
- Provide a decision framework and case examples to support agencies in migrating towards cloud computing
- Highlight cloud computing implementation resources
- Identify federal government activities and roles and responsibilities for catalyzing cloud adoption

While more respondents say cloud computing has exerted a gross positive impact (24%) on their organization than a negative one (6%), nearly 1 in 3 say no noticeable impact has resulted from cloud implementation.
Security concerns lead the list of challenges facing agency cloud migration efforts / Research Findings

41% of all respondents describe their agency’s efforts to transfer applications to the cloud as mixed, problematic, or non-existent. When asked to elaborate the reasons for these difficulties, over half of this group points to security concerns as the major obstacle. A lack of skills/expertise (39%) and budgeting constraints (37%) are also continuing deterrents.

However, half of all respondents are unable to gauge their agency’s migration process, underscoring that transition efforts have received low visibility across the federal workforce.

1 in 4 respondents describe their agency’s efforts to transfer applications to the cloud as either problematic or non-existent.

“Other” includes responses such as antiquated equipment, lack of user training about how to use new technology, firewall restrictions, lack of contractor oversight, and an older management not interested in implementing such changes.
Compliance, data sharing drive cloud adoption, but data security challenges such efforts

Research Findings

Respondents were asked to identify both the main factors driving cloud adoption at their agencies as well as the major obstacles facing these adoption efforts. Among potential drivers, compliance with federal mandates (25%) — such as FISMA and FedRAMP — and greater access to expanded data sharing capabilities (23%) receive the most attention. Among impediments, data security concerns is a major challenge according to 1 in 3 respondents.

Primary Drivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with federal mandates</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded data sharing capabilities</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost reductions/savings</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved organizational efficiency</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased mission effectiveness</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced data security</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased adaptability/flexibility</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data center consolidation</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for increased innovation</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased energy efficiency</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data security concerns</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited funding</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of in-house technical expertise</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural resistance</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting federal security requirements (e.g., FedRAMP)</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immobility of legacy systems</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inefficient procurement process</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly defined budget/allocation structures</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of cloud adoption strategy</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of respondents, n=292
Respondents were asked to select all that apply

49% of all respondents are unable to identify the main drivers motivating their organization’s adoption of cloud technologies.

“Other” includes responses such as inconsistent leadership, lack of training, and staffing shortages.
Among those who know, private cloud takes precedence as most common cloud technology in use / Research Findings

To the best of your knowledge, which of the following cloud computing technologies does your department/agency currently deploy?

- Private cloud technologies (i.e., on-site, managed by the department/agency) 14%
- Software as a service (SaaS) 8%
- Community clouds (i.e., clouds shared by multiple departments/agencies) 7%
- Public cloud technologies (i.e., off-site, managed commercially) 6%
- Platform as a service (PaaS) 5%
- Infrastructure as a service (IaaS) 4%
- Hybrid clouds (i.e., clouds combining private and public cloud capabilities) 4%
- Cloud-native architectures (i.e., fully automated self-service deployments) 3%
- Cloud brokerage services 2%
- Other 1%
- None of the above 7%
- Don’t know 68%

Percentage of respondents, n=310
Respondents were asked to select all that apply

Perhaps owing to the technical nature of the subject, nearly 7 in 10 respondents are unable to identify the specific cloud computing technologies their agency uses. Among those who do know, however, 14 percent say their organization depends on private cloud technologies, which are on-site and internally managed. Likewise, more respondents say their agency employs a software as a service (8%) than those who cite platform as a service (5%) or infrastructure as a service (4%).

Just 3% of respondents cite the use of cloud-native architectures in their agency.
Respondents are divided as to whether data consolidation has accelerated cloud migration / Research Findings

In your opinion, to what extent has data consolidation accelerated your agency’s cloud migration efforts?

- Sharply accelerated
- Moderately accelerated
- Barely accelerated
- Has had no influence
- Don’t know

12% of respondents say data consolidation has moderately or sharply accelerated their agency’s cloud migration efforts.

11% believe it has barely accelerated such efforts, while...

13% are of the opinion that data consolidation has had no influence on the migration process.

"Cloud environments are scalable and allow agencies to provision resources as required, on-demand. Consistent with the Cloud First policy, agencies shall use cloud infrastructure where possible when planning new mission or support applications or consolidating existing applications. Agencies should take into consideration cost, security requirements, and application needs when evaluating cloud environments."

Data Center Optimization Initiative (DCOI)
White House Memorandum, signed August 1, 2016. Link
The Case For Cloud-Native

Harnessing the full advantages of the cloud demands agencies rethink core development principles


These are some of the core principles driving the push toward cloud-native architectures (CNAs) and applications in the federal government. While still in alpha stage, 18F’s cloud.gov portal encourages agencies to take advantage of the benefits that CNAs can offer, including:

- The ability to quickly deploy applications that comply with federal policies — without needing to manage infrastructure
- The ability to scale applications on top of existing cloud services
- The freedom to experiment, build, and test prototypes without adding extra expense
- Shortening the path to ATO (Authority to Operate) for each new or updated application, because after your agency gives cloud.gov ATO, only your application needs to be evaluated for security and compliance

Going cloud-native involves a combination of microservices, platform-as-a-service (PaaS) strategy, and devops techniques to speed up deployment and cut the costs associated with conventional application maintenance.

Source: https://cloud.gov/
35 percent of respondents feel their current applications were never developed for the cloud / The Case For Cloud-Native

**What best describes your organization’s current progress in leveraging cloud-native applications?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extensively using applications developed for the cloud</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piloting some applications developed for the cloud</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing possibility of leveraging cloud-native apps</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently considering leveraging cloud-native apps</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding

**1 in 5 respondents** say their organization is either extensively leveraging cloud-native applications or at least piloting early applications developed for the cloud.

At the same time, 8 percent of respondents indicate their agency is still only considering cloud-native possibilities and 9 percent have yet to explore cloud-native development altogether. Most respondents, however, are uncertain where their agency stands in terms of pursuing cloud-native designs. Together, these findings indicate that cloud-native has yet to receive widespread recognition as a major development goal, its impact only observed by a small group of early adopters and preliminary testers.

"We need applications designed to meet the needs of employees rather than just to match the existing system. Applications should increase our functionality, not lose it."

Survey Respondent
Regarding current cloud app performance, respondents give low marks to agility and rapid deployment / The Case For Cloud-Native

When asked to grade their current cloud-enabled applications on a set of metrics, the majority of respondents are either unable to say or express lukewarm ratings across the board. Among all metrics listed, only stability — defined for respondents as ‘sound privacy controls, security integrity, and disaster recovery’ — receives a higher combined rating of ‘good/great’ (20%) versus ‘fair/poor’ (19%). All other application qualities fare more negatively, with particularly low scores given to rapid deployment (i.e., ease of implementing mission-specific functionality) and agility (e.g., ease of making modifications, creating new features).

In addition to stability, respondents are slightly more favorable of applications when it comes to performance (e.g., page load times, uploading/downloading efficiencies) and automation (e.g., of application management, workflow). The fact that other features like scalability (e.g., ability to accommodate tasks of increasing complexity), rapid deployment, and agility are viewed less favorably could indicate that existing applications lack the very cloud-native properties which are critical to maximizing deployment speed, scalable solutions, and the addition of new functionalities when needed.

27% of respondents grade their applications on agility as ‘fair’ or ‘poor,’ versus just 11% who consider it ‘great’ or ‘good.’
Respondents say ease of operation, stability, and performance should receive greatest design priority / The Case For Cloud-Native

When asked how applications can be developed to have maximum effectiveness in the cloud, respondents place a high premium on having ease of operation, stability, and performance in the applications they use. The combination of these factors, and the 17-18 pt. favorability gap they hold over the next listed attribute, suggest that security, speed, and sensible, user-friendly navigation are at the top of respondents’ wish lists when it comes to operating cloud-enabled applications.

*When designing applications to have maximum effectiveness in the cloud, which of the following attributes should receive highest priority in the development process?*

- Ease of operation: 44%
- Stability: 44%
- Performance: 43%
- Agility: 26%
- Scalability: 23%
- Rapid deployment: 18%
- Automation: 16%
- Other: 3%
- None of the above: 2%
- Don’t know: 34%

Percentage of respondents, n=269
Respondents were asked to select all that apply

However, even while ease of operation, stability, and performance receive the majority of attention, at least 1 in 5 also favor applications with greater agility to modify existing features as well as the flexibility necessary to scale applications for solving tasks of increasing complexity.
1 in 5 respondents say their agency will prioritize or explore cloud-native development going forward / The Case For Cloud-Native

19 percent of respondents indicate their agency will either strongly prioritize cloud-native architectures and applications or, at the very least, explore cloud-native options in the near future. Meanwhile, 14 percent are confident their agency will continue current trends of "lift-and-shift," the practice of writing applications to fit existing legacy system requirements in cloud environments. However, another 67 percent are unsure what direction their agency will pursue, perhaps indicative of the technical nature of the subject and the niche audience involved in such decision-making.

To the best of your knowledge, which best describes your own organization’s current commitment toward future development of cloud-native applications?

- Will strongly prioritize cloud-native architectures and applications localized to cloud
- Will explore cloud-native options, but maintain "lift-and-shift" policy of rewriting applications for cloud environment
- Will continue writing applications into existing legacy systems, with minimal or no attention paid to developing cloud-native applications
- Don’t know

Percentage of respondents, n=262
Note: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding
Final Considerations

When considering how to take full advantage of the cloud:

—

Avoid cloud sprawl, resist lift-and-shift, and take stock

Even as federal mandates like Cloud First and the potential for expanded data sharing continue to drive agencies to adopt new cloud technology, a number of major obstacles stand in the way. With 1 in 4 respondents describing their efforts transferring applications to the cloud as problematic or non-existent, and over half attributing these difficulties to security concerns, agencies must find cloud solutions that safeguard information without sacrificing mobility. At the same time, IT leaders should avoid “cloud sprawl,” the result of going all-in on cloud without first having a clear strategy in mind for how to manage multiple cloud environments and the organizational changes demanded by this transition. The current “lift-and-shift” of applications into the cloud may be expedient, but is unsustainable in the long term as cracks in the veneer begin to show: periodic slowdowns, performance disruptions, and buggy navigation — common results of a development process that never originally had the cloud in mind.

—

The secure path forward is “cloud-native”

Instead, agencies should think seriously about organizing their cloud in a way that hosts applications most effectively. One way agencies can move in this direction is by pursuing a “cloud-native” approach. Rather than devoting an already constrained IT budget to maintaining legacy hardware and infrastructures, organizations can seek expert guidance and tools from industry leaders in the cloud-native space, allowing for more automated maintenance, secure iterations, and development of applications that truly realize the full capabilities of the cloud. Such applications will not only be more secure, but agile, scalable, and navigationally intuitive for the end users who need them most.

—

Leverage the trailblazers

The good news? The brilliant folks at 18F and GSA are already leading the way. Through cloud-native workshops like Cloud.gov, agencies can begin investing in smarter cloud management and application design immediately. The platform has already implemented more than 300 applications in partnership with several agencies, and that number will rise as more realize the benefits of cloud-native architectures. The Department of Education’s College Scorecard and 18F’s Federalist project are only the beginning. By leveraging these trailblazers and the expertise of cloud-native industry leaders, agencies can avoid the sprawl once and for all. Innovation is here, and its vehicle is cloud-native.
Respondent Profile

A majority of respondents hold senior positions within the federal government

**Employment Situation**
- Active duty military: 2%
- Federal government civilian (non-DoD): 87%
- DoD civilian: 12%

**Job Grade**
- SES: 3%
- GS/GM-15: 9%
- GS/GM-14: 13%
- GS/GM-13: 31%
- GS/GM-12: 16%
- GS/GM-11: 11%
- GS/GM-10 or below: 11%
- General/Admiral: 0.8%
- Colonel/Captain: 0.4%
- Other: 6%

**Reports/oversees**
- 1 to 5: 18%
- 6 to 20: 11%
- 21 to 50: 5%
- 51 to 200: 3%
- Over 200: 3%
- None: 59%

87% of respondents identify as federal government civilians working outside DoD.

56% of respondents identify as working at the GS/GM-13 level or above.

40% of respondents are supervisors who oversee at least one employee, either directly or through direct reports.
**Most widely represented cohorts are respondents with technical or program management background**

**Respondent Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job function</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical/scientific</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program/project management</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative/office services</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition &amp; procurement</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare professions</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law enforcement/public safety</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency leadership</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit/inspectors general</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy research/analysis</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities, fleet, &amp; real estate</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications/PR</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were asked to choose which single response best describes their primary job function.

**Departments and agencies represented**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interior</th>
<th>Congress/Legislative Branch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>Government Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Secretary of Defense</td>
<td>Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security Administration</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services</td>
<td>Central Intelligence Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Aeronautics &amp; Space Administration</td>
<td>National Science Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Small Business Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice</td>
<td>Other independent agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Departments and agencies are listed in order of frequency. Note: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding.
About

Government Business Council

As Government Executive Media Group's research division, Government Business Council (GBC) is dedicated to advancing the business of government through analysis, insight, and analytical independence. An extension of Government Executive’s 40 years of exemplary editorial standards and commitment to the highest ethical values, GBC studies influential decision makers from across government to produce intelligence-based research and analysis.

Report Author: Daniel Thomas

Contact

Will Colston
Senior Vice President
Marketing & Strategic Insights
Government Executive Media Group
Tel: 202.266.7423
Email: wcolston@govexec.com
govexec.com/insights
@GovExecInsights

Deloitte

Deloitte provides industry-leading audit, consulting, tax, and advisory services to many of the world's most admired brands, including 80 percent of the Fortune 500. Our people work across more than 20 industry sectors with one purpose: to deliver measurable, lasting results. We help reinforce public trust in our capital markets, inspire clients to make their most challenging business decisions with confidence, and help lead the way toward a stronger economy and a healthy society. Learn more at www.deloitte.com/us/federal-cloud

Contact

Douglas J Bourgeois
Managing Director
Technology Strategy & Architecture
Deloitte Consulting LLP
Tel/Direct: +1.571.814.7157
Email: dbourgeois@deloitte.com

Legal Disclaimer

This publication contains general information only and Deloitte is not, by means of this publication, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This publication is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor. Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this publication.

About Deloitte

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee (“DTTL”), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) does not provide services to clients. In the United States, Deloitte refers to one or more of the US member firms of DTTL, their related entities that operate using the “Deloitte” name in the United States and their respective affiliates. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.

Copyright © 2017 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.