Cost transparency
Helping finance create business value
Companies today are under constant pressure to improve profitability and wring cost out of their business. This has prompted them to seek greater transparency into their financial performance and discover actionable insights that can enhance their decision making and create value. The key to unlocking value is cost and profitability transparency that helps finance professionals analyze profitability along such dimensions as product, service, and customer. When finance leaders can get a handle on what’s truly driving profitability, they can recommend actions that may have the greatest positive impact on the bottom line—such as adjusting pricing, reducing product costs, and containing overhead.

While many companies can effectively analyze revenue by these key dimensions, costing data is another matter. Often, this data is poorly managed or not attributed to the right products, customers, or business units, which may lead to suboptimal decisions. To improve performance, companies need costing data that goes beyond what’s necessary for financial reporting or inventory valuation, including the kind of information outlined in Figure 1.

According to a Deloitte survey\(^1\) of financial executives, supporting business strategy and strategic decision making is the most important function of cost information for the majority of organizations, with nearly two-thirds of survey respondents (64 percent) placing this among the top roles of cost information (see Figure 2).

Nevertheless, companies often struggle to understand their true costs due to the complex issues rooted in the systems, processes, and capabilities across the enterprise. Therefore, it’s not surprising that, although information to support strategic decision making is a top priority, more than three-quarters (78 percent) say it’s also among their top areas for improvement.

---

\(^1\) Survey conducted between July and September 2014. Fifty-nine companies completed the survey. Surveyed companies were both domestic and multi-national in scope, though the vast majority of respondents (including multi-nationals) were from US-based operations.
The challenge of obtaining good costing data

Obtaining transparent cost information isn’t easy. A combination of factors, including increased globalization and years of industry consolidation, have left many companies with a disparate array of financial systems and costing methods that make it extremely challenging to understand its financial data. Moreover, for large organizations with multiple business lines, the move to a shared services model has often made attributing costs to products or customer groups challenging, primarily because they need to make changes to how costs are captured and then harmonize both data and allocation models across the organization. Complex supply chains that necessitate ongoing transfer pricing activities can also make it very difficult for companies to get an accurate view of true profitability.

In short, far from being transparent, cost data is murkier than ever. And what many financial executives want from their costing information is still a long way from what they are getting. In fact, according to our survey, only 50 percent of survey participants said they are satisfied with their costing methodology. This holds true across all cost methods, ranging from 55 percent satisfaction for actual costing to 39 percent for standard costing (see Figure 3).
The granularity gap
For many organizations, getting cost data to a more granular level is one of the keys to arming managers with the insights they need to support strategic decision making—from segmenting customers to optimize sales and marketing strategies to increasing accountability for operations and back-office functions. For example, if companies are able to examine costing data at the customer or product level, they can leverage this information in areas such as customer contract negotiations or product line rationalization. The ideal unit of analysis for costing data varies by industry. (See sidebar, “Costing with an industry lens,” on page 5.)

The desire for granularity is reinforced by our survey results: more than 50 percent of respondents either capture or desire to capture cost data at more granular levels, such as customer or distribution channel. However, for those that do measure profitability at these more granular levels, their degree of satisfaction with profitability metrics decreases as the level of detail increases (see Figure 4).

Figure 4: Level of satisfaction with profitability metrics decreases as the level of detail increases

Low satisfaction levels may be indicative of challenges in obtaining meaningful cost information at greater levels of detail—for example, difficulties linking overhead costs to detailed cost objects (such as customers) or inadequate supporting technologies that require significant time and effort to manipulate data. Clearly, companies believe that improvements are needed in the way costs at these lower levels of detail are modeled and measured.

Critical success factors for improving cost data
Most companies understand that they need to improve their costing information. In fact, 93 percent of survey respondents say they are, or will be, taking action to improve the quality of their cost information. However, only 4 percent say they are contemplating a switch in cost methods as part of their effort to improve their costing processes. This corroborates what we have found in our own experience: the effectiveness of cost information is driven less by the cost method chosen, and more by the design and implementation of the cost model used to support that method. This model, when tied to revenues, is the basis for measuring cost objects of interest to management (e.g., products, customers, projects). It also provides the rules used to assign revenue and costs to these objects and those used to evaluate profitability.

In order to gain better insights from their cost and profitability models, companies should define what questions they are trying to answer that impact key business decisions and strategies. Defining these questions can provide the framework for the cost and profitability information required from their models. From this vantage point, finance professionals may be better positioned to build a business case for change and to harness the resources needed to improve costing processes.
Costing with an industry lens

What costing information is valuable—and at what level—depends to some extent on a company’s industry. Based on our experience with clients, following are some example of the types of strategic decisions companies in different industries face and how costing information can help support them.

Automotive:
Complexity is one of the most significant drivers of cost in the automotive industry. In an effort to capture market share—particularly at the low end—many manufacturers offer consumers an array of configurations for each model, backed by myriad incentives and promotions at the dealership level. As a result, it has become increasingly difficult to understand the true cost of a vehicle. Ideally, automotive manufacturers want to understand costs at the individual vehicle identification number (VIN) level. This would allow them to roll those costs up so that they can examine the performance of various vehicle configurations. If they have accurate information about how different models or option packages are performing in a particular market and how incentives and promotions are affecting profitability, they may be able to make better decisions about which configurations to retain and which programs to support with marketing dollars.

Chemicals:
The chemical industry has experienced significant M&A activity in recent years. As a result, many chemical companies face the challenge of integrating multiple financial systems and developing consistent reporting company-wide. Accurate costing is integral to effective portfolio management: companies need transparent costing information in order to evaluate whether projected M&A synergies actually come to fruition. In addition, cost and profitability information at the plant, customer, and product level is critical to evaluating portfolio performance and identifying areas for operational improvement.

Health care:
Many health care providers are scrambling to absorb the impact of the Affordable Care Act, which is affecting their top lines and force the need for even greater efficiencies. Already under significant cost pressure, health care providers need better insights into their true costs and overhead structure. Traditionally, overhead has been allocated based on meaningless cost drivers that aren't related to resource consumption, such as net revenue or accumulated cost ratios. With the move to value-based care, it's even more important for providers to measure the efficiency, effectiveness, and cost of treatments and outcomes.

Oil and gas:
With the price of oil hitting a five-year low, the number one challenge that many oil and gas companies are facing is how to reduce, or at least contain, costs. Many companies are tabling or suspending capital projects until prices recover and re-examining how they conduct their operations to maintain long-term viability. But without transparent costing information, identifying sustainable cost reduction levers to reset their cost base can be challenging. Oil and gas companies need cost information on activities at a level of detail that allows them to evaluate each activity’s criticality to production and its additive value to the organization. With this information, the company can undertake strategic and sustainable cost-reduction actions.
Start with the end in mind
Every roadmap needs a final destination. Yet when it comes to cost and profitability information, many finance executives often don’t think in terms of where they are trying to go. As a result, they may not spend sufficient time thinking about the kinds of questions cost and profitability data needs to—or could—answer. (See the sidebar, “What business questions are companies trying to answer?”) In our experience, many companies waste significant resources trying to fix their data before understanding what they want to do with it and why it’s not delivering what they want.

The business questions should reflect a solid understanding of the company’s strategic priorities and a sense of the major pain points that cost and profitability data can help alleviate. Specifically, executives should agree at the outset not just on the business questions costing data needs to answer, but also on how they will be able to use cost and profitability insights to impact business value. These questions can be used to drive the entire course of the company’s cost data improvement efforts and set the vision for the future state.

Make your vision visual
Words can only get you so far. If business leaders can actually interact with data and see how analytics can yield valuable, useful insights for decision making, excitement to leverage this information for strategic change may quickly begin to build.

In our experience, visual analytics is an effective way to convey cost data to management and support strategic decision making. Visual analytics encompass tools that use dynamic portals with interactivity and drill-down capabilities. They eliminate the need for data dumps, multiple spreadsheets and databases, pivot tables, and painstaking rework every time a question comes up or a team needs to look at data in a different way. The approach to implementation is highly visual: it starts with a sketch—also referred to as a “wireframe”—that maps out what an ideal portal or information dashboard might look like if it were designed to answer critical questions the organization has identified (see Figure 5).

What business questions are companies trying to answer?

- What’s driving profit performance and what areas in the business need attention?
- Where are we making decisions on contribution margin but losing money when considering total product cost?
- What are the levers to reduce overhead and shared services costs?
- What’s the total cost to serve by customer, channel, or region?
- How many variations of a product do we make, and what’s the cost and profit of each product variation and customer group?
- What costs are we putting into the product and not recovering on price?
Using visuals helps companies think about what information they need from an analytic standpoint and in what format they want to receive it. Once the wireframes are finalized, improvement teams can build a rapid working prototype of the visual analytic portal with real data that can answer real questions. For example, the portal could display a heat map of a company’s global sales by country, color-coded by profitability, and using gradients to indicate favorable or unfavorable trends. Users of the dashboard could then drill down to examine each element of cost and profitability to identify product, customer, or channel drivers.

Visual analytics offers a window into the effectiveness of an organization’s costing data and can highlight specific underlying issues that need to be addressed. It also helps identify the roadblocks that are preventing users from getting the information they need and indicates where companies should focus their data improvement efforts in order to develop a robust analytics tool that can be leveraged for strategic decision making. In the meantime, however, they can use the prototype to drive value and decisions in pilot areas.

Don’t try to boil the ocean
The point of the prototype isn’t to answer every costing question the company has defined but to gain an understanding of cost data issues and what needs to be fixed. The “let’s fix all our data first” approach is ill-advised, and not just because it loses sight of—or doesn’t even address—the costing questions that need to be answered. It’s also a quick route to frustration, diminishes buy-in, and can result in rework. The beauty of the visual analytics prototype is that it doesn’t identify only what’s not working; it identifies what is—and leverages this to generate quick value. For example, much of the data companies currently have is useful, providing teams with a running start. They can then begin identifying and addressing the biggest information gaps, gradually improving the accuracy and effectiveness of the prototype with each iteration.

Trying to build and launch a new cost and profitability model for the entire organization all at once isn’t always the right answer. An effective approach may be to choose a specific business issue, preferably one that will yield significant value once addressed (e.g., optimizing channel mix, increasing margins in major product lines, or reducing complexity in manufacturing). And then use the prototype as a pilot to generate enthusiasm for a broader rollout.

Analyze your cost DNA
An analytics prototype can show where the data issues are and what needs to be fixed. There’s a lot of blocking and tackling that goes into fixing costing data, but we find that it helps to think of costing information in terms of four basic elements that comprise its “DNA” (see Figure 6):

- **Data structure** provides the foundation for all costing capabilities. It includes master data records such as bills of materials, routings, chart of accounts, and cost centers. If properly designed, the data structure can enable accurate cost capture and reporting at the lowest level of detail, with the right attributes to meet required reporting objectives.

- **Product and service costing methods** defines the components (e.g., cost method, allocation rules) to calculate costs of products or services. There are multiple cost methods and, as our survey confirmed, there’s no single best method. A company’s operating model, industry, management reporting needs, and cost versus perceived benefits are all factors that determine the choice of a cost method. It’s also important to consider such issues as how allocation rules will be applied (e.g., consumption-based) and distinguish between fixed versus variable costs. Regardless of the product and service costing approach, it needs to be clearly defined and documented.

- **Cost capture** refers to how actual resource usage is recorded for products, services, or corporate functions. It serves as the basis for operational and financial reporting and enables the analysis of actual versus expected inputs and outputs. Without accurate cost capture, neither the data structure nor the cost method can be effective.

- **Cost and profitability models** comprise the business rules and logic that determine how profitability is measured at each level and dimension of the company (e.g., business segment, product line, individual product, customer). It should link operational measures and financial results using a "single source of truth" and define reporting formats and visual analytics wireframes.

Many problems with costing data are rooted in this basic DNA. If the company can peer into each cost and get each of these elements right, its data should be able to deliver the value it’s looking for.
Figure 6: The DNA of a cost

Cost DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data structure</th>
<th>Product and service costing methods</th>
<th>Cost capture</th>
<th>Cost and profitability models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chart of accounts</td>
<td>Cost methods</td>
<td>Work orders</td>
<td>Management reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Standard, average, moving average</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Customer, product, location, etc.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost centers</td>
<td>Material valuation</td>
<td>Transaction processing</td>
<td>Inventory valuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Yield, FX, freight</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit centers</td>
<td>Labor standards</td>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>Intercompany profit e.g. cost-based KPIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Routers, hours, rates</em></td>
<td><em>Material, labor, production</em></td>
<td><em>Eliminations, cost chains</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item masters</td>
<td>Overhead rates</td>
<td>Third-party processing</td>
<td>Cost-based KPIs e.g., cost of quality, variance analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cost drivers, hours</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product families</td>
<td>Product and non-product cost allocations</td>
<td>Variances</td>
<td>Pricing and quotations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Material, labor, overhead</em></td>
<td><em>Material, labor, overhead</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units of measure</td>
<td>New products and R&amp;D</td>
<td>Allocations, assessments, and settlement</td>
<td>Sales and operational planning and forecasting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data governance, integrity, and control
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Operating model – Organization structure, roles, responsibility, delegation of authority

Tax, statutory, and transfer pricing

The power of good costing data

Despite many companies’ desires to improve their cost systems, it can be a challenge to find the resources needed to do so in the face of competing priorities. Our survey results show that resource limitations and perceived cost and/or complexity are the top barriers to improving cost information. Based on our experience, companies that are effective in this area have used pilot projects to generate valuable and actionable costing information that garners support for a broader initiative. We have also seen companies tie their cost information improvement initiative in with other organization-wide efforts, such as cost-reduction and margin improvement programs, ERP implementations, and new product or service rollouts, to raise the visibility of the initiative and convince stakeholders of the benefits it’s capable of delivering.
An effective cost and profitability model allows finance to partner with the business and answer a host of questions without the need for complex and manual data manipulation. Armed with better tools, such as visual analytic portals, the company will have the information it needs to make better strategic decisions and generate more value from its costing data.
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