



New revenue standard issued

Potential challenges in the aerospace and defense industry

The new revenue recognition standard will likely present different levels of complexity for many companies in the aerospace and defense industry, including challenges in determining the timing for recognizing revenue, disclosures, and taxes.

Background

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* in May 2014. The standard provides companies with a single model for use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers, and supersedes current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific revenue guidance. The core principle of the model is to recognize revenue when control of the goods or services transfers to the customer, as opposed to recognizing revenue when the risks and rewards transfer to the customer under the existing revenue guidance.

The effective date for public registrants has been deferred to 2018 for calendar year-end (annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017). Early adoption as of the original effective date (2017 for calendar year-end) is permitted. The guidance permits companies to either apply the requirements retrospectively to all prior periods presented, or apply the requirements in the year of adoption, through a cumulative adjustment.

The delayed effective date and transition options are intended to allow companies a reasonable timeframe to comply. However, addressing some areas of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification may require longer lead-time, particularly related to revenue or billing systems where separation and/or allocation changes may be required, so companies should perform a preliminary analysis of the new requirements on a timely basis.

Considerations and challenges

The requirements in the standard may present complexity for many companies in aerospace and defense industry (A&D). Examples of some of the challenges are as follows:

Performance obligations — Under the standard, the performance obligations (i.e., the unit of account under current literature) may not be consistent with a company's current accounting policies or billing practices. Distinct performance obligations within contracts must be treated as separate units of accounting for revenue recognition purposes. Evaluating existing revenue contracts in order to identify such units could be challenging given the complex arrangements A&D companies typically have. Additionally, the data to perform the calculations (e.g., standalone selling price, contract term, transaction price) may be in multiple systems and may not currently meet applicable control requirements.

Revenue timing: "point in time" or "over time" — A&D companies have often used the percentage-of-completion method to recognize revenue from long-term contracts. Under the standard, companies would recognize revenue when control of a good or service is transferred to the customer, which could occur at a point in time or over time. Revenue recognition could be delayed and A&D companies may be required to recognize revenue at a point

in time rather than over time, if continuous transfer-of-control criteria (as defined by the standard) are not met. Contracts currently on percentage-of-completion may need to be assessed to determine if they continue to qualify for recognition of revenue over time. Consideration should also be given to transaction price data maintenance.

Fulfillment cost recognition — The standard requires capitalization of costs of fulfilling a contract when certain criteria are met. However, more general deferral of costs, across different contracts and customers, may no longer be permitted. A&D companies may need to re-evaluate their existing long-term contracts, enhance process, controls, and systems to confirm which costs would continue to qualify for capitalization and deferral.

Contract combination requirements — The standard may require a company that enters into multiple contracts at or near the same time with the same customer to be accounted for as a single contract when the pricing or economics for those contracts are interdependent. These contracts will need to be evaluated together, in terms of determining separate performance obligations, and in terms of allocating the transaction price. These changes might cause some companies to enhance their contract management systems and tools.

Disclosures — The disclosure requirements under the standard require significantly more extensive disclosures than current revenue standards, including quantitative and qualitative information about contracts and the significant judgments used in applying the guidance to those contracts. Data needed for the tabular reconciliation of contract assets and liabilities could require modifications to financial and management reporting processes.

Tax — Certain tax implications may arise as taxpayers often follow the financial reporting revenue recognition methods in the determination of taxable income and transaction tax obligations. The changes may have cash tax implications, may require federal tax accounting method changes, or give rise to new tax temporary differences that may need to be captured, calculated, and tracked through tax provision processes and systems. Taxpayers who do not follow financial reporting methods for tax may also need to consider the impact of these

changes on tax reporting and may need to change their computations and procedures, as well as consider potential tax method changes. Similar implications may arise in foreign jurisdictions that impose tax based upon statutory reporting maintained under either International Financial Reporting Standards or U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, as this change may impact both standards.

Other implications

Organizations may need to consider other project needs, including:

- Implementation of updated or new processes, controls, and systems, where required
- Determination of new monthly closing process steps to accommodate the new requirements
- Steps to estimate the impact of the new standard, to facilitate understanding and planning by other key stakeholders
- Effective training and communication of new requirements
- Effective program and resource management related to this effort

Getting started

Some effective first steps to consider as you begin to evaluate the implications of the potential new standard may include:

- Evaluating significant revenue streams and key contracts to identify the specific revenue recognition changes required and the specific business units where these changes may have the greatest impact
- Addressing the longer lead-time areas where new calculation rules or revised allocation processes may be required
- Establishing a granular project plan and roadmap to manage the effort across multiple business units and countries

How Deloitte Advisory can help

We have an experienced team of professionals, both in the U.S. and globally across the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, who can assist in developing an action plan to help you implement the new revenue recognition standard.

These capabilities includes the full breadth of services and competencies needed to help clients address these issues, and would include accounting assistance, help with process revisions, support in making system changes (including development of system business requirements), tax and other matters.

Contact us:

Jane Altman

Managing Director | Deloitte Advisory

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Tel: +1.303.312.4703

Email: janealtman@deloitte.com

Bryan Anderson

Partner | Deloitte Advisory

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Tel: +1.212.436.4914

Email: bryaanderson@deloitte.com

Mike Dziczkowski

Partner | Deloitte Advisory

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Tel: +1.212.436.2813

Email: mdziczkowski@deloitte.com

Renee Bomchill

Partner | Deloitte Advisory

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Tel: +1.212.436.6836

Email: rbomchill@deloitte.com





This document contains general information only and Deloitte is not, by means of this document, rendering accounting, business, financial, investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice or services. This document is not a substitute for such professional advice or services, nor should it be used as a basis for any decision or action that may affect your business. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor. Deloitte shall not be responsible for any loss sustained by any person who relies on this document.

About Deloitte

As used in this document, "Deloitte Advisory" means Deloitte & Touche LLP, which provides audit and enterprise risk services; Deloitte Financial Advisory Services LLP, which provides forensic, dispute, and other consulting services; and its affiliate, Deloitte Transactions and Business Analytics LLP, which provides a wide range of advisory and analytics services. Deloitte Transactions and Business Analytics LLP is not a certified public accounting firm. These entities are separate subsidiaries of Deloitte LLP. Please see www.deloitte.com/us/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte LLP and its subsidiaries. Certain services may not be available to attest clients under the rules and regulations of public accounting.