Redefine work

The untapped opportunity for expanding value
Deloitte Consulting LLP’s Human Capital practice focuses on optimizing and sustaining organizational performance through their most important asset: their workforce. We do this by advising our clients on a core set of issues including: how to successfully navigate the transition to the future of work; how to create the “simply irresistible” experience; how to activate the digital organization by instilling a digital mindset—and optimizing their human capital balance sheet, often the largest part of the overall P&L. The practice’s work centers on transforming the organization, workforce, and HR function through a comprehensive set of services, products, and world-class Bersin research enabled by our proprietary Human Capital Platform.
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Introduction: Opportunity awaits—down a different path

Underneath the understandable anxiety about the future of work lies a significant missed opportunity. That opportunity is to return to the most basic question of all: What is work? If we come up with a creative answer to that, we have the potential to create significant new value for the enterprise. And paradoxically, these gains will likely come less from all the new technology than from the human workforce you already have today.

WHY AREN’T MOST companies realizing this opportunity? To pursue it, we need to first broaden our view of value creation. The problem is that many companies are stuck on a path that makes it hard to see. Despite being lined with colorful billboards advertising “Growth,” “Innovation,” “Customer-Centric,” and “Employee Experience,” the traditional value-creation path aims inexorably toward cost-cutting and efficiencies, marked by quarterly signposts along the way. And there’s constant pressure to move along that path faster, since the road is getting crowded.

You’re not alone. Most companies are on this path, which is understandable given the pressures companies are under. But cost reduction and efficiency aren’t enough. The incremental moves that drive them are, at best, enough to keep you on the path. But if you stay on the path, you won’t reach your destination.

Why isn’t this approach working? Three issues are at play:

• If you focus efforts on efficiency, each successive round of gains becomes harder to eke out. The view of what is possible is constrained—10 percent improvement becomes a stretch goal. Even with technology, especially with technology, it is a game of diminishing returns, and competitors are chasing the same efficiencies, often using the same technologies. Our research has shown that, even as labor productivity has risen, the return on assets for all US public companies has declined at a significant and steady rate, by over 70 percent.¹
  • Of course, companies do still need to keep costs under control and find ways to increase speed and reduce waste. But in a rapidly changing world, the way we’ve been chasing efficiency is less and less effective. We generally seek to reduce cost and increase speed by tightly specifying and standardizing all activities in advance. This approach can leave us scrambling as we encounter situations that weren’t anticipated and customer demand that our “efficient” processes can’t handle.
  • By focusing on cost, you diminish your ability to address new opportunities and risk missing the biggest, and rapidly expanding, opportunities to create more value.

In addition, future of work conversations often only reinforce the pressure to stick to the old path of chasing efficiency and cost reduction. These conversations typically center on one of a few options for companies: 1) Use AI and robotics to automate routine tasks and eliminate as many workers as possible, 2) reskill the workforce so employees can efficiently do other routine tasks that haven’t yet
been automated, or 3) augment the workers so they can perform more of their routine tasks faster and more accurately.

A fourth common conversation, encompassing the gig economy and contingent workforce, considers who will do the work and where, but the discussion is often couched in terms of shifting the same routine tasks to others. Whether through wage arbitrage or by making labor a variable cost, both approaches to the alternative workforce tend to focus narrowly on the easily understood—but limited—value that comes of reducing costs. Not only do these conversations keep companies on the path of diminishing returns—they fuel an increasing sense of win–lose between companies and workers, heightening anxiety and distrust in ways that are unproductive for all parties.

Executives and thought leaders are engaged in a rich conversation about the future of work. Yet few are asking the most basic, fundamental question about what that work should be. If we fail to do that, all the how/where/who questions will be answered with modest, incremental results because they will be answered according to the work we have today.

It doesn’t have to be this way. Companies can begin to address that question today. The answer to that question requires employers to make three shifts:

• Shifting the view of what the objective of work is: from efficiency to expanding value
• Fundamentally redefining work: from executing routine tasks to addressing unseen problems and opportunities
• Cultivating and using our human qualities: from skills to capabilities

Realizing the opportunity of the future of work will require fundamentally redefining the work itself, to unleash workers to address the unseen problems and opportunities in ways that support the growth of companies and workers.

If we take this opportunity seriously, there is no limit to the value that can be created for customers, internal participants, and other stakeholders, and ultimately for the company. But taking this opportunity seriously also implies transformation: Everything must change. Fortunately, the future of work isn’t happening all at once. There is a path forward. Companies can begin to redefine work in small moves through the transition, delivering impact quickly and driving learning about this opportunity.

In this article, we will:

• Explore a broader view of value;
• Delve into what redefined work might look like;
• Understand why the human capabilities are critical;
• Take a deeper dive into three enablers for overcoming obstacles; and
• Consider the path forward for large companies.
A broader view of value

One reason why redefining work is so critical and has so much potential for high impact: It can help focus companies on the big opportunity of expanding value. To realize this opportunity, companies first need to broaden how they think about value—and how meaning relates to value.

There is a difference between value and meaning. There is also a difference between whose perspective you take when you consider value and meaning: the company's or the customer's.

• First, the company's perspective: Value for most companies is about financial value—the bottom line. Financial value for the company derives from revenue in the nominator and expenses in the denominator.
• For the customer, value may mean helping them do more, or achieve more, with less effort or with fewer of their own resources.
• For both the company and the customer, meaning is about making a difference that matters. For the customer, it’s helping them make a difference that matters to them. For the company, the meaning goes beyond the revenue generated or margin captured because it helped the customer do something that was important and meaningful to them.

Ultimately, the most effective driver for maximizing financial value for the company may be through adopting the customer’s perspective and focusing on creating not only value but meaning for the customer. Doing so can drive revenue, margin, and customer loyalty.

The most effective driver for maximizing the financial value for the company will be through adopting the customer’s perspective and focusing on creating not only value but meaning.

To begin, companies need to broaden their view beyond the narrow focus on costs and efficiency in the denominator of the financial value equation. Once they are focused on the numerator, they can move beyond M&A- and market-share-driven growth and focus on creating value for the customer. And the key is not to stop there but, rather, to look for ways to create additional meaning for the customer. This starts by deeply understanding customers’ needs and aspirations, now and in the future. You create new value by addressing the customer’s unarticulated and emerging needs and create meaning by addressing their aspirations. Technology in particular lets us see and address more specific needs and demands, opening up the possibility to create more value.
Beyond the customer, we can move inside and consider where there are unmet needs and aspirations of the internal participants and stakeholders who are delivering value and meaning to the customer. Steven Spear, who wrote several seminal articles on Toyota, notes that the Toyota Production System (TPS) dramatically changed the experience of assembly-line workers at Toyota by creating an environment where people were able to make a much stronger connection between the work they were doing and the impact it had on the customer. TPS also gave them the ability to do something about problems they identified so that they could improve their impact on the customer. By taking a broader view of value, while we expand value for the company, we also expand value and meaning for all of us.

Value isn’t static. Each of us has unmet needs and new aspirations; human nature means that new needs materialize as soon as some are met. If we accept that needs and aspirations of the people being served are limitless, there is always more value that companies can create for customers. The way to create and capture that value will be to deeply understand customers and the environment around them. Companies aiming to maximize profits should look beyond the numbers to understand the environment in which they operate and to apply curiosity, imagination, creativity, and empathy to create more value for the people in it.
Redefining work everywhere: What does the future of human work look like?

The foundation of the future of work is work. Obvious, sure, but understanding work isn’t. Looking to redefine work means asking a fundamental question: What should be the work of the workforce? Redefining work is fundamentally different from automating or augmenting with technologies, or changing the composition of the workforce, or reskilling or leveling up workers to work elsewhere. It is not about adding employee suggestion boxes, 20 percent time, or innovation/entrepreneur centers to the work.

The essence of redefining work is shifting workers’ time, effort, and attention from executing routine tasks to identifying and addressing unseen problems and opportunities.

The idea of work is both familiar and frustratingly abstract. We go to work, we finish our work, we work at something. It is a place, an entity, a calling, tasks to be done or output to achieve. It is how we spend our time and expend our resources, mental and physical.

The essence of redefining work is shifting all workers’ day-to-day time, effort, and attention from executing routine, tightly defined tasks to identifying and addressing unseen problems and opportunities. Identifying and addressing those problems and opportunities is work.

We envision employees spending their time engaged in, broadly, four types of activities:

- Identifying unseen problems and opportunities;
- Developing solutions to solve problems and address opportunities;
- Implementing solutions; and
- Iterating and learning (reflecting).

Redefining work means that all workers at all levels are focused on finding and addressing problems and opportunities. For three decades, the Toyota Production System has demonstrated how much value-creating potential resides in frontline assembly-line workers. As Steven Spear describes it, Toyota saw its system as managing the flow of information to engage people at all levels as identifiers and solvers of problems. Similarly, beginning in the 1980s, aluminum manufacturer Alcoa realized that it could get significant competitive advantage by tapping into the problem-solving and innovative capacity of everyone, in particular the frontline workers, including those working in smelting, extrusion, and other heavy physical labor.

The “unseen” is a key aspect of redefining work. Addressing a hidden problem or opportunity has potential to create more value because it has been neither considered nor understood; there is room for far more learning to mine from uncovering and trying to better understand a brand-new situation than from making incremental improvements on a well-defined issue. Some of the unseen opportunities will be new approaches to existing problems.
For workers, seeing new problems and solutions requires a very different effort and engagement than executing routine tasks.

Solving “nonroutine” problems, and seeking fresh opportunities, should be a large and expanding portion of a workload, not a small piece of a larger traditional work pie. Assuming needs and aspirations are indeed limitless, every employee could be working to create more and more meaning and value. If you get this right, and each worker is adding value, you might benefit from hiring even more workers rather than looking to replace people with bots.

Companies should begin by redefining what they want and need from their workforces. Right now, employers are asking too many workers to complete routine, tightly defined, standardized tasks. There is potentially a huge capacity out there to perform higher-value work.

But it won’t all come together right away; automation and AI applications and networks of freelancers won’t emerge all at once. Industries, markets, and even groups within companies fall somewhere on a spectrum relative to the impact of the future of work. Some will feel the effects sooner than others, and some more dramatically. Companies won’t be able to redefine work overnight. Instead, in this period of transition, as they introduce automation to take on certain routine tasks, companies can choose to be thoughtful about the work and how they direct workers’ time. What if, instead of getting rid of employees or turning them on other piles of routine work, we encourage workers to use more and more of their time for problem-solving? Employers could start encouraging workers to exercise and develop new muscles in preparation for a new type of work—and start generating impact for customers, internal participants, and other ecosystem stakeholders.

This isn’t just theoretical, a nice-to-have or a Silicon Valley thing. Even the most established companies have the potential to redefine work, and ultimately all work should become this—regardless of where it is done in the organization. It’s happening today in unexpected places and companies and types of work.

At the same time, we have to acknowledge that this is still a white space. In studying and interviewing executives at more than 50 companies, we found many organizations experimenting with

---

This type of work is already under way

In this section, and throughout, we’ve included a variety of examples to make more tangible the notion of redefined work. In seeking examples, we intentionally avoided software firms and startups and instead focused on finding examples from more traditional enterprises. We did this not because some of these organizations aren’t approaching work in interesting ways—particularly with dev-ops arrangements dramatically altering the pace, power, and proximity between product development and product user—but because this untapped opportunity is not just relevant but imperative for every industry, every function, and every type of worker, including and especially on the front line. Frontline work is all work that involves not managing others but focusing on the activities required to ultimately deliver value to the marketplace, whether interacting directly with the customer or performing support activities ranging from procurement and manufacturing to back-office IT and accounting.
new technologies and management approaches in response to rapidly changing technological capabilities and increasingly personalized and evolving expectations. We found no company that had made a full transformation in a deliberate way as part of implementing automation technologies.

What we did find were examples—across an array of industries, geographies, and workforces—suggestive of new ways of working that could draw

FREE TO CREATE VALUE

At some companies, employees do higher-value work broadly and on a daily basis, accepted as the new normal rather than as one-off occurrences. In each case, workers are using their most human capabilities and driving increasing value for their organizations.

From following scripts to creating solutions. Quest Diagnostics is one of the largest providers of diagnostic testing, information, and laboratory services. As competitive pressures were mounting, Quest found its call centers were losing customers (doctors and patients), prompting leadership to redefine work in these centers. Call agents had traditionally been trained to follow scripts, which inevitably often misdirected calls or lacked answers for patients and doctors needing accurate information. Quest redefined work by removing many of the tight constraints on agents and placing workers into groups expected to solve problems—for customers as well as agents—as they arise and to drive new opportunities to better serve customers. This work resulted in dozens of new initiatives, all driven by frontline employees, including new tools (such as dashboards that connect previously disparate information in one place) and practices (for example, proactively faxing test results) to better meet customer needs. As a result, at the call centers, answer rates increased by 40 percent, live call volume was reduced by 17 percent, and voluntary employee turnover dropped to 16 percent (from a high of 37 percent).6

From execution to empowerment. The Morning Star Co. is a California-based agribusiness and tomato-processing company. In an industry that has been growing 1 percent year over year, Morning Star has grown its volume, revenue, and profit by double-digit percentages annually for the past two decades. The company redefined work by using a self-management approach to empower employees to shape their own responsibilities and relationships with colleagues and set their own personal missions within a framework of creating value. This approach gives frontline workers the time and space to uncover the unseen opportunities and problems for their relevant constituents, and the latitude to act upon them. Under these conditions, all employees can identify and address problems and opportunities in the form of new equipment, techniques, and processes.7

From process compliance to new market value. Koch Industries is a multinational corporation that has grown from a US$21 million oil company to a conglomerate worth more than US$100 billion. Wichita, Kansas-based Koch Industries has redefined work through its market-based management framework, in which employees are measured, compensated, and evaluated by the value they create rather than the tasks they perform. For instance, one group of cowboys realized they were spending weeks at a time searching for lost cattle—and couldn't effectively monitor their herd's health once they did find them. Given latitude, the group was able to identify new ways of working through drone and infrared technology, deploying drones to find missing cattle, and using infrared cameras to better see and monitor the herd's health. These new solutions, developed by the cowboys themselves, have enabled them to spend significantly less time driving across their 10,000-acre ranch as well as better monitoring their livestock's health.8

continued >
From execution at scale to customer adaptive agility. ATB Financial is a regional bank serving the Canadian province of Alberta. The bank completed a lengthy modernization of its core banking system in 2012 and has over the past few years been more aggressively adopting digital technology throughout the organization, with a renewed focus on serving and enabling the end customer. The company used two initiatives—the adoption of agile practices by the Transformation (IT, digital CX, data, and risk) and People & Culture organizations and a companywide transition to the Google suite—as a catalyst for broadly rethinking and redefining work. In the branches, a business-development manager for credit cards no longer pushes out canned training programs or scripted sales pitches for the branch employees to use to push preset products. Instead, she visits the branches weekly to look for opportunities to better understand customer interactions and better support the frontline branch employees, whom the company explicitly encourages to build customer relationships.9

out human capabilities to create more value for companies—and that employees of all levels not only were capable of this new type of work but preferred it. Organizations are rethinking jobs because they recognize the potential of this type of work—or because they have faced tough problems or challenging environments that left them no choice but to seek new ways of working. Imagine what could happen if a company combined this intentional refocusing with automation freeing workers to spend their time identifying and addressing hidden opportunities?

“We already do this, don’t we?”

Some people might be wondering: What’s different about this? Our employees already identify problems and develop new products. We do rapid prototyping. We do continuous improvement. Of course, many workers today engage in some of these activities. But their primary work—what they spend most of their time doing—likely remains routine, predictable tasks. We have many examples of companies trying to give employees space for unstructured, creative work through initiatives designed to fuel passion, spur innovation, or improve engagement: Employers get some ideas for new product or delivery features or process improvements, while workers who participate typically enjoy the experience. But the benefits are limited because the new work is an and, added to workers’ daily to-do list, or some small percentage of time carved out of their normal tasks, rather than a fundamental redefinition of the work.

The second problem is that management often approaches these activities in a tightly focused way. Approaches such as Six Sigma, for example, have made identifying problems in a process highly standardized and formalized. Similarly, companies may task individuals or teams with developing solutions to predefined problems within narrow boundaries. While such approaches will no doubt solve some problems, they won’t generate the ongoing new value and the learning about value creation that companies need. This is particularly true in an industry or environment in which less and less is routine, fixed, or predictable.

The appetite for new ways of working is strong. But as implemented, most companies miss the basic question: What should the work be? Without that, organizations keep layering in new approaches and structures, just as they layer in new technologies, on top of last century’s processes and outputs and expectations. These approaches vary from the notion of redefining work in other ways: Most are limited to small slices of work or select groups of workers, they often focus on responding to feedback rather than on emerging needs, they tend to address known problems, and they treat problem-solving as an anomaly, a potentially creative foray into a different type of work whose goal is to identify and fix the problems and drive everything back into the routine.
Unleashing and expanding value requires more than just redirecting workers to higher-value activities. In the organizations we talked to, there is a widespread sense of having more work on their plates than they can address. When automation (or outsourcing) frees up capacity, the goal is typically to get fewer workers to handle more volume—an efficiency play. To the extent that they take on higher-value activities, they still do it in a routine, predefined way. Consider a call center with a new voice-recognition system that prepopulates a caller’s information and categorizes the issue for the customer service agent. Typically, the center might expect agents to respond simply by handling twice as many calls, with the ultimate goal of being able to halve the staff. However, even where the rationale is more enlightened, freeing agents to do higher-value work resolving callers’ issues, agents are still expected to follow a script and strict protocols for that portion of the call. For many organizations, routine work has piled up so high that, unless management makes a conscious decision to redefine the work, everyone will continue on in the present mode: doing routine work, higher-value or otherwise.

Another key attribute of this vision of work: It will continually evolve. Problem identification and solution approaches are often used with the intent to fix a process, correct a deviation, or remove an inefficiency, with the goal of feeding back into more structured, tightly defined work, where loosening the structure is only a temporary means to move the process forward. This shouldn’t simply engage employees in a one-off reenvisioning of their work and work processes and practices, moving the organization and workers from a before state to an after, at which point they return to routine execution mode.

Instead, the creative, imaginative identification and solution of unseen problems/opportunities will be their primary work. That means sustained creative opportunity identification, problem-solving, solution development, and implementation—work focused on continuously creating value to internal and external customers, suppliers, partners, and others in the ecosystem.

In this redefined way of working, there may come a point at which a solution has been developed and iterated and becomes routine. If it is something that warrants being standardized to be implemented more broadly, the human problem-solvers will eventually hand it off to the machines and move on to the next opportunity.
Breaking from routine: Work that draws on human capabilities

The way for workers to effectively identify and address unseen problems and opportunities is to cultivate and use their human capabilities to do the identifying, solving, implementing, and iterating activities (see figure 2). For instance, they might employ empathy in understanding the context in which a customer uses a product and encounters problems. They might use curiosity and creativity in choosing and using tools to explore root causes and gather and analyze information. They might use imagination in drawing analogies from other domains, intuiting interactions and relationships, and seeing potential solutions that had remained obscured. They might be improvising around a process, tweaking their behavior and interactions with tools to see if they can do it faster or with better results for the current conditions. Being able to address problems and opportunities in a flexible way is key. Without bringing these human capabilities to bear on the work of problem-solving and solution development, the work may change but companies won’t realize the potential of this opportunity to refocus their most valuable resources.

The opportunity to redefine work isn’t about skills; in our view, skills are too narrow. Reskilling

FIGURE 2
Redefined work draws on the human capabilities in all of the activities needed to address unseen opportunities

Source: Deloitte analysis.
people to do a different type of routine task or to use a new technology to complete the same tasks doesn’t fundamentally change the problem for workers or capture the potential for companies. The same can be said of moving people into an adjacent part of the organization that hasn’t yet become subject to automation or moving a few standout workers into management or product design positions. Only redefining the work itself has the potential to expand value for companies, customers, and workers. Redefining work requires cultivating and drawing on human capabilities.

**Human capabilities are core to being able to identify and address unseen problems and opportunities, creating new value in ways that are different from and complementary to machines.**

defining the work itself has the potential to expand value for companies, customers, and workers. Redefining work requires cultivating and drawing on human capabilities.

**What do we mean by human capabilities?**

These human capabilities include (but are not limited to) those listed in figure 2. They are the basis of redefined work: Without them, workers cannot effectively work in new and nonroutine ways. We are less concerned about whether or not these capabilities are absolutely unique to humans—machines or AI might be capable of certain aspects of a capability such as identifying emotion from word choices or choosing a design path based on previous patterns of inquiry—than that human workers be directed to use, and develop, these capabilities in all of the work that they do. To the extent that we cultivate and use them, humans will be advantaged in these capabilities relative to machines.

Some call these *enduring skills* or *soft skills*, but they are fundamentally different from skills such as word processing or forklift operation or Java-scripting, which tend to be more specific to an environment and to completing a task or process in that environment, such as operating a particular machine or handling a certain type of report. They may align with tools or technologies, but they can become outdated or obsolete, while human capabilities are more universally relevant and can be exercised in different ways in different environments. They are core to being able to identify and address unseen opportunities, creating new value in ways that are different from and complementary to machines. These hidden problems and opportunities aren’t found in survey responses or by scanning the complaint log—at least not explicitly. That’s why management rarely tasks anyone with addressing these issues now. They are hidden from the organization, but not necessarily from the people on the front line. Meanwhile, because unseen and unarticulated needs aren’t visible on logs and surveys, solutions don’t yet exist, and addressing them has the potential to create real value.

We can think about a spectrum of problem-solving and opportunity-addressing capabilities. On one end is being reactive to the problems we encounter. That’s a good starting point: Workers should address problems as they occur rather than hiding or ignoring them. When a valve freezes at 2 a.m., a pipeline worker in northern Minnesota needs to solve the immediate problem of fixing it, either alone or pulling in others with necessary skills or tools. On the other end of the spectrum is thinking more broadly about the problem and looking for opportunities within it, which might mean recognizing that the problem exists beyond that narrow context and the solution might have wider applicability—or that the solution resides within the problem, or that it is symptomatic of a larger issue. So the pipeline worker, understandably wanting to stay warm and indoors at 2 a.m., might talk to peers and supervisors to frame an opportunity to experiment with different lubricants, valve design modifications,
sensors, or preventative procedures that transform the routine maintenance checks and eliminate the fail conditions entirely. Definitions of these human capabilities vary, but here’s how we are thinking about them:

- **Curiosity** is a desire to ask questions, to see and learn more, to ask: Is this really what I’m seeing, and what else is there that I don’t see? Curiosity drives questioning the environment, the participants, the constraints to understand, explore, and probe: *Why is the valve cracking? What conditions have changed in the environment? What would be necessary to maintain the environment? What would accommodate new conditions? What happens if I do Y?* When an employer defines work to allow and expect frontline workers to exert curiosity, they become sensitive to change in environment and begin “to notice novel patterns and opportunities.” It is fundamental for redefining work: The right questions can lead to the unseen problems and opportunities and provide focus. Without curiosity, the rest of the human capabilities are of limited value.

- **Imagination** works with curiosity to address the unseen opportunity; it extends the seeing of the hidden beyond the problem and the current assumptions of what is known and what is possible to get to possible implications and solutions. Imagination probes the context in a way that gets to a deeper understanding of what affects and influences others, making connections and playing with the boundary conditions and the constraints of one’s tools, resources, and environment, to create new knowledge and solutions.

- **Intuition** can lead to more powerful questions and guide the imagination and creativity. Intuition is a highly attuned system that senses and anticipates changes in the environment, “bridging the gap between the conscious and non-conscious parts of our mind,” and allows us to rapidly, without analytic processing, develop options and make decisions. Intuition draws from practice, experience, empathy, and deep contextual knowledge to generate surprising connections and insights that we can’t fully explain. Intuition may guide a line of inquiry to uncover an unseen problem or determine the shape of a potential solution.

- **Creativity** is about improvisation, about understanding the resources around you and allocating and combining resources in novel, effective, and efficient ways. It isn’t frivolous. Far from being the province of artsy types, disconnected from real work, creativity can be applied in any domain. For example, the worker responsible for monitoring and maintaining an oil pipeline must regularly consider how to deploy a set of tools and techniques to keep the valves operating, since weather conditions vary daily and even hourly. Likewise, construction workers and event planners never know precisely what conditions they will encounter on a site—or, often, what equipment and capabilities they will have available—and need to quickly figure out how to make do with what they have. Of course, many of the examples we have of workers using creativity are directed at solving problems, often problems that are visible or known, at least as a class—a frozen valve, an unprepped site, a vendor no-show—or that are narrowly focused on a worker being able to complete a particular task. While the potential value of this type of creativity in one’s own daily work is limited, it illustrates the creative potential of workers at all levels. Imagine if those workers could turn that creativity onto broader problems and opportunities, with greater visibility into how their challenges affect and are affected by other participants.

- **Empathy and emotional and social intelligence.** Empathy is the basis of our ability to understand and interpret the context of customers, coworkers, and other participants in a way that can create new value for them. Our empathy extends beyond identifying an emotion based on word choice or even tone or facial analysis, for example, and instead probes *why*
is someone expressing this emotion now, and what led to it in the immediate series of events or over time and what is the best response to support or change it? Emotional intelligence builds on the foundation of empathy to manage and direct emotions in problem-solving and opportunity addressing. Social intelligence further helps an individual worker decide how best to mobilize others around addressing a problem or opportunity: Will others respond to an incentive, an impassioned description of the impact, or be motivated by the intrigue of a technical description of the challenge? In any given organization, the resources you need might be moved to action by burning platforms or compelling opportunities, personal commitment or organizational goals, extrinsic recognition or intrinsic rewards. Understanding and working with these conditions is a most human capability.

The good news is that employees already have these capabilities by virtue of being human. But, just like a leg muscle atrophies in a cast, without exercise the human capabilities of curiosity, imagination, intuition, creativity, and empathy will atrophy. As with muscles, though, they can develop fairly rapidly once you start exercising them. For some people, these capabilities may be underdeveloped and unused from too many years in environments that failed to reward—and may have even punished—demonstrations of human capabilities. They will need to be reawakened.

Note that these human capabilities—in particular the capacities for empathy, for taking on new perspectives and flexibly recombining disparate ideas and resources, and for seeing beyond the present—require exercise, but they also require a level of emotional and social security. That means both a work environment that respects and supports them and a trust in self and self-knowledge that puts an employee more in touch with her capabilities. Flexing our curiosity to ask questions that might sound naïve or challenge the status quo or sharing our imaginative connections—all imply a certain amount of risk. Does the environment encourage or reward it, or am I going to be the outlier for asking whether there’s something more we haven’t considered?

It’s worth asking: Why would workers exercise these muscles? If they have been expected, even rewarded, for letting them atrophy in the past, what would motivate them to make the extra effort, potentially taking on extra risk? A leader can provide context and latitude, but if workers aren’t motivated, they won’t act effectively. This is where passion, about which we’ve written extensively elsewhere, comes in. Workers who are passionate, who have what we call the passion of the explorer, are driven to take on difficult challenges and connect with others because they want to learn faster how to have more of an impact on a particular type of issue or domain. This type of passion is unfortunately rare in the workplace (less than 14 percent of US workers have it), in large part because the tightly structured processes and command-and-control environment of most large companies discourages it, often explicitly.

Part of redefining work is defining it in such a way that it cultivates questing and connecting dispositions and helps individuals discover and pursue the domains where they want to make a difference. Organizations that can cultivate and unlock that passion will tap into the intrinsic motivation of their workforce. Employees that are intrinsically motivated to take on challenges, to learn, to connect with others to make more of an impact that matters—those are employees who will act like owners. And while acting like owners and focusing on value creation may also imply changes to compensation and reward systems, no amount of extrinsic rewards or perks can compete with the power of connecting with people’s intrinsic motivation when the goal is to have individual workers acting with latitude to the company’s benefit.

Fortunately, work that demands creativity and improvisation and rewards curiosity will likely be more stimulating and motivating than following a process manual. By creating an environment that draws out worker passion, employees will be more likely to begin exercising and developing
their human capabilities as a means of having more impact on the challenges that matter to them.

Redefining work ultimately implies that everything that supports work must change. Here, however, we are primarily concerned with understanding how to unleash workers’ human capabilities to address unseen problems and opportunities. The biggest barriers are an inability to see, as a result of a too-narrow view of value and context, and an inability to act, as a result of a too-tight definition around what we are allowed to do. We have identified three enablers that can help overcome these obstacles:

**Value for others.** Help workers broaden their understanding of value based on who they are trying to serve and what is required to support that, and how their actions create value. The way they create value depends on the context.

**Context.** Help workers see and understand the needs, aspirations, and constraints/conditions of customers, internal participants, and other stakeholders. Problems and opportunities are endlessly variable.

**Latitude.** Expect workers to act on problems and opportunities, individually or in groups, in a context-specific way to create value, without having to go through layers of management.

Although all three enablers play a role in overcoming both obstacles effectively, value and context are most relevant for helping people to see the hidden opportunities. Latitude is more important for helping people to act to address them. Work practices\(^8\) and work environment\(^9\) (physical space, virtual space, and management practices) can also be very helpful amplifiers for this type of work. However, in this article we focus on the enablers that will help overcome the biggest challenges to redefining work. We will discuss each of these in more detail, with examples from the wild, in the following sections.
A WORLD OF MANAGEMENT APPROACHES

In our search for examples of the type of redefined work we envisioned, people repeatedly offered up well-known management frameworks and approaches. It wasn’t unusual for others to interrupt with, “Oh—you’re talking about agile, right?” or, “I see—so moving companies to dev-ops?” While the opportunity to redefine work shares some elements of these frameworks, it is probably more helpful to think of them as holding different pieces of the puzzle. There are lessons to be learned from how each works—and doesn’t work—and the conditions in which organizations have implemented them.

Figure 3 highlights a few of the most frequently referenced approaches. Our intent here is not to make a comprehensive comparison but to highlight similarities and differences that might suggest ways in which companies that have adopted one or more of these might build upon that work or revisit it as part of redefining work. In the future of work, redefining the work will be the foundation for driving higher levels of impact, even where other initiatives have had success.
Challeningly, there is often a marked difference between the intent of these approaches and the ways in which they are implemented. We are all familiar with initiatives that purport to foster innovation or new ways of collaboration yet amount to little more than a new suggestions box or social-media platform. For our purposes, we looked to the intention but considered how employers have implemented these approaches in the real world to understand how well-suited they are to help companies learn faster how to create more and more value in the future.

**Responsive vs. anticipatory.** Many approaches focus on being more flexible and responding faster to feedback from customers and internal stakeholders. The potential in redefined work is anticipatory, pointing workers toward problems and opportunities that have not yet manifested.

**Exclusive vs. inclusive.** Most limit creative or innovative work to select teams or workers trained in the problem-solving process. Others use a broad array of employees but only during the time when they are pulled from their normal work to participate on a collaborative, cross-functional effort. The premise is that people will have a large amount of core routine work but should also manage a different type of creative work—effectively being ambidextrous. The potential in redefined work is to be inclusive, redefining work for everyone at all levels, and to manage the entire organization as a problem-solving, opportunity-addressing system.

**Process-oriented vs. practice-oriented.** There is a tendency to “processize” and standardize any management approach, often stripping it of value. In addition, as implemented, there is typically an underlying expectation that the improvements feed back into a standardized, scalable process. The potential in redefining work is to honor problem-solving as a practice, unique to each context, and to acknowledge what we think of as exceptions and anomalies as the company's value-creating, learning engines.

Redefining work provides a foundation to be built upon. As organizations fundamentally rethink work and shift from routine tasks to identifying unseen problems and opportunities, they will need to also rethink their management structures and approaches, laying the groundwork to implement new tools and practices to guide workers and capture even more value.
Looking to define and deliver value for the customer can help shift workers beyond just completing tasks to looking for opportunities to address unmet needs and anticipating those that have yet to emerge. When workers focus on value for the customer (and for others serving the customer), it helps create more value for the company as well. The company is better able to satisfy more demanding customers in a rapidly changing market and develop capabilities to continue to delight them. Ultimately, addressing customers’ real needs and demonstrating real understanding of their needs and aspirations can generate more revenue, more loyalty, and higher margins.

What does it mean to create value for others?

Value comes from addressing problems and opportunities to satisfy the needs, preferences, and aspirations of people you serve, including customers, internal participants, and external stakeholders. Value for others can be either tangible (financial, operational) or intangible (recognition, feeling, emotions) meaning.

It is important for organizations and workers to think about for whom they are creating value—the company, the organization, the worker, the customer, other participants—as well as who is creating the value: an individual, a workgroup, an ecosystem. If a company is narrowly focused on internal value creation, meaning solving already-visible problems, economic value will likely be driven by chasing efficiency. Similarly, if an individual is narrowly focused on creating value for herself—solving the problems that are getting in the way of her own task completion—the problem-solving will tend to be narrowly focused on efficiency, with correspondingly incremental gains. When individuals broaden their perspective, and turn their attention on the needs and aspirations of others, the problems and opportunities are vast. In addition, as previously noted, the focus on specific value demands that the worker get a better understanding of a situation, which will often turn up additional learning and previously unknown problems and opportunities to address in other dimensions such as sustainability or more fulfilling experiences.

Finally, there is the often-missed potential not only to understand what would create value for the customer (or other participants) now but to anticipate the customer’s future needs. These aren’t just unarticulated needs but not-yet-emerged needs. Addressing these unseen opportunities can create significant differentiating value, but identifying them requires really deeply understanding the customer and expanding the focus both in terms of potential ways to interpret and address their needs and in terms of time horizons to consider their needs.

Why do we need to broaden value creation?

The efficiency-focused mindset limits workers to standardized and tightly integrated types of work, organized into well-defined processes. The result is that the processes and tasks become a proxy for value creation, and workers focus narrowly on completing tasks. The value that workers can create is limited to executing the processes and routine tasks more efficiently. In an exponentially changing world, neither a static set of process nor periodic, large-scale process redesign will allow organizations to respond to rapidly changing market demands. If work is no longer about executing tightly defined
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processes, value helps workers know what to work on. Value creation can guide where workers direct their efforts and how they prioritize.

**Focusing workers on their ability to create value for others** takes them out of the unthinking execution of daily tasks. A broader understanding of types of value and relevant value to the company and for whom they are creating value provides a lens for employees when they are looking at context or acting with latitude. Being tasked with, and measured on, creating value for the customer and having the others’ perspective helps workers see where they are delivering little value and where there are opportunities to create more. These opportunities remain unseen when the worker is focused on executing against a metric and thinking only of financial value to the company. Depending on the workforce and organization, there may be a transition period when employees need more structure and guidance to think about value beyond efficiency and costs. At the same time, organizations should avoid over-specifying the types of value on which workers should focus. The point is to move away from command-and-control and let workers broaden their exploration of potential types of value for the customer and other participants. Over-specifying may well miss the discovery of the most significant value-creating opportunities.

The way value is framed helps workers navigate, serving as the guardrails for inquiry and action, but it also helps motivate. For example, in the 1980s when Alcoa made “perfect safety” the overriding objective for everyone in the organization, it was because in such a potentially dangerous work environment, accidents had real consequences, and safety was something that workers at all levels could rally around. Workers at all levels understood that avoiding injury and harm was important to other workers, stakeholders, and themselves, and that their actions affected this type of value. As a result, Alcoa reduced employees’ chance of injury from a reportable rate of 2 percent to 0.07 percent. Safety was the hook that got frontline employees involved in understanding and addressing problems.

---

**FIGURE 4**

When work constitutes more than standardized processes, value creation can help guide employees

- **Customer needs and aspirations**
  - Current work: Standardized and static
  - Redefined work: Personalized and rapidly evolving

- **Approach**
  - Design tightly specified and repeatable processes and drive process compliance
  - Build in process as needed for guidance

- **Work**
  - Standardized, context-agnostic, routine, focused on task completion
  - Context-specific, value-focused to address hidden problems and opportunities

Source: Deloitte analysis.
and changed how the company worked. Through better understanding the situations around safety issues, the workers also found other ways to create value, such as meeting customer needs, being more cost-effective, or improving the way material was delivered downstream.20

The organization’s understanding of the needs and aspirations of customers, internal participants, and external stakeholders guides what types of problems and opportunities are pursued and how they are approached, or if they are even seen. A clear understanding of for whom the company wants to create value—and what types of value are most important to the organization—guides what employees work on and becomes the lens through which they view problems and opportunities.

At Amazon, the overriding objective is to create value for customers, and the company’s approach toward decisions and approvals supports that focus on customer value. While the degree of empowerment varies at different levels, the company focuses on making sure that all employees from warehouse workers to financial analysts, software engineers to delivery drivers, understand that objective.21 To set an example, thousands of Amazon managers spend two days in a call-center each year to better understand customer needs.22 In another example, the “boss-less” environment at California-based agribusiness Morning Star Co. represents the extreme of using the definition of value and a focus on creating value for others to guide workers in lieu of standardized processes and management. Every employee of the tomato processor must define their own work mission and the value they will create within the organization in an annual document that is negotiated with their coworkers.23

How do organizations help workers focus on creating value for others?

**Help workers understand the value the organization is trying to create and what other types of value are most relevant.** In our previous research on work practices, we describe a practice called *committing to a shared outcome.*24 This practice promotes accountability and visibility through a group, and provides a way to connect the array of possible opportunities to a greater objective.

**Rethink the purpose of processes.** Process can be very useful, providing enough structure that workers can interact with other parts of the organization and are not continually recreating the wheel for activities that add no new value. However, the primacy of process and adherence to process may be misplaced as routine work becomes less valuable for creating value for the customer. Another work practice, *reflect more to learn faster,*25 can help workers, especially those in the front line, to design, reflect, and adjust processes to address the context-specific problems and opportunities they see.
Making work context-specific

IN ORDER TO define and deliver value for customers, workers need context. A richer, broader view of context can help them see a much higher-impact set of opportunities with more value-creating potential for the company. Helping employees see, understand, interpret, and adapt to specific context allows them to better uncover hidden problems and opportunities and to develop more effective approaches and implementations to address them.

What is context?

Context is the conditions and surrounding environment that ultimately define value and meaning. Problems emerge, action is taken, and needs and aspirations are formed in this environment.

The relevance of context is in the interactions and relationships as well as the connection of the immediate context to the larger contexts within which it is embedded. If one element of context changes, it will likely change other elements. It is also dynamic over time, influenced by what has come before, and expectations for what will come later.

Contexts exist at multiple levels of immediacy, each within a larger system. An individual is embedded in a team or unit, which is embedded in a department, embedded in a company, embedded in a market.

Figure 5 illustrates how context presents new problems and opportunities.
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**FIGURE 5**

An analytics manager asked the data analyst on the team to create a new dashboard. What the manager explicitly asked for is important, but what about the context?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of context</th>
<th>Potential opportunities for value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Who the dashboard’s end users will be can inform the level of fidelity required, the design, and its content</td>
<td>If the audience is executives, the analyst may design a dashboard to highlight a few well-articulated, high-impact insights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How frequently the report needs to be refreshed can inform the report’s back-end querying design in the long run</td>
<td>If the dashboard will be used daily, the querying/population process might be highly automated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The urgency of the request affects the build approach that the data analyst may use</td>
<td>If the analytics team must present the dashboard to executives in two days, the analyst may create a working prototype to illustrate the functionalities before building out the back-end structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other initiatives happening in the company in parallel may create synergistic opportunities</td>
<td>If the company is trying to centralize data across the company to have a “single source of truth,” the analyst may proactively adopt the new platform as part of the dashboard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte analysis.
Why does context matter?

Context is central to delivering value to customers, internal participants, and external stakeholders. It shapes the possibility for action or understanding and the available resources. Context helps workers answer key questions: What are the needs and aspirations of the people I serve? What is standing in the way of customers or other participants achieving what they want to achieve? In what way could I help them achieve something more? What prevents them from using all of the tools and resources available? What might create more value for customers, participants, and stakeholders?

Context has always been varied, but many companies could long afford to ignore it. When customers accepted, and expected, standardized products and services, even if they didn’t fit their needs exactly, understanding and adapting to unique contexts wasn’t part of how companies created value. Instead, companies created value by pushing products out to the mass market as efficiently as possible: Throughout the organization, work was made standard, context-agnostic, and process-driven.

Today, companies can no longer ignore context. Demand is both more specific to the individual and evolving more rapidly, making context more central to value creation. Customers and stakeholders have more options and are less willing to accept standardized products and services that don’t match their specific needs and preferences. Myriad providers are emerging to offer tailored products and services. This trend is likely to continue—and intensify—as algorithms and data mining make individual needs and behaviors more knowable, visible, and addressable. Once customers stop settling for standardized products, the scalable efficiency model for delivering reliable products at scale will no longer create the same value. Companies can create value by understanding customer needs and aspirations in specific contexts.

The unseen opportunities are hidden in the context. Because problems and opportunities arise from the context, workers at the front line—immersed in the context—are in the best position to identify, describe, and help to address them. This can’t happen, however, if their work is to act in a prescribed and specified way that ignores context or treats contextual variation as an anomaly (see figure 6).

At Zume, a company automating significant parts of food production and delivery for restaurants, the customer success manager used his broad experience of food delivery to create value not only for customers but also for delivery drivers and the support staff who served the drivers and the customers. After six years of driving for pizza chains,

FIGURE 6

When value creation is the goal, context is key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current work</th>
<th>Redefined work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standardized, context-agnostic, routine tasks</td>
<td>Context-specific, value-focused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized products and services</td>
<td>Address unseen problems and opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalable efficiency</td>
<td>Value (for customers, internal participants, and external stakeholders)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte analysis.
Geoff knew firsthand how difficult it could be to locate the right home in a mobile home community or a large complex because multi-unit properties often have just one main address, and delivery apps often failed to capture additional detail such as unit number, leaving drivers wandering around, trying to find the right door. The result: delays, erroneous deliveries, frustrated drivers, and customer complaints. Geoff worked with the product team to add “drop-pin” functionality to allow the customer to mark the precise location for delivery on a map of the area near the address entered, helping to guide the driver to the precise delivery location.

When a maintenance technician from Haier, parent company of GE Appliances, showed up in the rural part of Sichuan to repair a washing machine with a clogged drain, he learned that the farmer who submitted the request had been using the machine to clean sweet potatoes. The farmer casually remarked that he needed to wash a lot of sweet potatoes due to the recent harvest, and this prompted the technician and other Haier employees to learn more about the situation. They surveyed other area farmers and found a need for reliable sweet-potato washers throughout the village. Shortly after, Haier launched the first production run of 10,000 affordable washing machines that could wash sweet potatoes, fruits, and clams. Had the repair technician not picked up on the need that was disguised as a repair request, Haier would have missed the opportunity for this new revenue generator.

The drop-pin feature may seem trivial, but such opportunities to add value for customers and other stakeholders exist everywhere, and in aggregate they can create significant value. Note, also, that Geoff at Zume was deep in the context of food delivery for several years, but prior to joining the company, he lacked an outlet for using that context to create value. At Haier, employees at all levels are given the expectation—and incentives—to find (and act on) ways to create value for the customer. The company recognizes that frontline workers have unique insight into problems and opportunities, and that a company that wants to be customer-centric needs to help all frontline workers, including those who aren’t customer-facing, and understand more of the customer’s context, since that is where opportunity arises.

Help employees see, interpret, and use context to address opportunities

The concept of context isn’t broadly understood in management, much less among frontline workers. The goal isn’t to make everyone conversant in the terms and tools of ethnography but, rather, to help them begin to notice elements of context and identify relationships between elements as part of their day-to-day work. Initially, workers will probably focus mostly on reading and interpreting existing context. There can be a tendency to get consumed by the immediate context yet fall short of getting at what matters most. It isn’t a snapshot. The immediate relates to the past and future, as well as to the larger contexts in which it is embedded and to other contexts. The greatest potential for differentiating value can come from anticipating needs that haven’t yet emerged or manifested. To see these hidden opportunities requires using the existing context and probing into the dynamics around it. How are needs and desires likely to evolve? What will be the unaddressed gaps for internal participants and stakeholders trying to meet those needs?

Letting frontline workers “be the experts” in characterizing and addressing problems and opportunities where they encounter them and in a way suited to the particular needs elevates the importance of context in the organization. When context is a primary consideration, the potential opportunity around a standardized offering, and where it might not meet the needs of a customer or user, is more apparent. Managers can coach frontline workers to broaden their context beyond the existing problem as well. Is this the best opportunity to address, or is there a bigger opportunity if I consider the larger context in which we are embedded? How is this context likely to change in the near term?
or the longer term, and what opportunities might that create?

Advances in technology that enable collection of more real-time data and easier processing, analysis, and reporting of data are beginning to play a big role in bringing context to life. Access to real-time data (operational, net promoter scores), customer feedback, customer use, and interacting with customers/partners/stakeholders in a meaningful way can make context more visible. For example, Haier is investing in a technology platform to make real-time customer orders, requests, and feedback visible to employees on the line and all along the organization, reducing the distance to the customer for all workers. Quid, a private software and services company, reveals hidden patterns and insights in millions of documents online, and Medallia, a customer-experience software company, makes customer feedback visible to every employee.

While technologies can certainly supplement and enhance our ability to see context, understanding and interpreting context remains a very human activity. Machine learning, proliferation of big data, and sensor technology make more context visible and accessible, yet it seems unlikely in the foreseeable future that AI-based technology will be able to understand context at the level of unarticulated needs and aspirations over time. In addition, the value of context comes from how to act upon it. At the same time, these technologies are opening up the ability to not only see context but interrogate and alter it, revealing many new opportunities for value. Consider how a modern American city now has IoT devices everywhere, giving a comprehensive reading of traffic, blockages, deliveries, and transit at any point in time. While the data might feed a machine to optimize the traffic flow, it also provides visibility into context, at a moment in time and with knowledge of what it looked like five minutes ago and a day ago and a week ago. This visibility might allow a city worker to alter the length of a traffic signal, for example, and see how it played out in a broader context to understand whether an observed problem was particular to that time and place or symptomatic of a larger issue. The results of a series of these types of interrogations would shape whether the worker decides to pull in more resources to address an opportunity (e.g., for infrastructure or policy) that is larger because of the way its effects ripple through widening contexts.

We’ve written more extensively elsewhere about the role of seeking and exploring new contexts for provoking workgroups to think differently about a challenge and potential solutions. We’ve also written about how the work environment can be designed to make context more accessible to help fuel learning and passion. As workers have the opportunity to observe, examine, and probe context with others, they can get better at understanding and acting on context to create more value.
Giving and exercising latitude

WHAT CAN I do to address the needs of those I am serving? What tools and resources am I allowed to employ in solving problems? Creating value in an environment where demands are rapidly changing requires that companies be able to sense and act on opportunities as they emerge, even before the unmet needs are fully expressed or understood. There won’t be time for signals to be passed from the front line up through a chain of presentations, validations, and approvals just to take action to better understand the nature of the opportunity. Latitude, particularly on the front line, will be key to capturing value from unseen problems and opportunities.

What is latitude?

In a work context, latitude is a level of freedom of action and thought. Many organizations talk about empowering employees, but their empowerment initiatives are often oriented toward giving employees more say over how they do their tasks—with neither the visibility nor control to address any problems or opportunities they identify. The purpose of latitude is specifically to enable workers to better address unseen problems and opportunities.

Typically, the latitude given an employee depends on function, seniority, and reputation—the freedom enjoyed by a CEO is distinct from that granted a financial analyst. However, organizations can deliberately expand the degree of latitude for employees to accelerate exploration and problem-solving across all levels and functions. Latitude implies yielding strict management oversight wherever possible and relaxing control over employees—particularly on the front line—to enable people to exercise more judgment and discretion over their time, tools, and approaches.

Figure 7 illustrates how latitude exists on a spectrum, ranging from zero latitude to latitude in impact. The appropriate level of latitude will vary from company to company, group to group. Sector-specific characteristics such as FDA regulations in a pharmaceutical company or securities regulations for a bank will, obviously, also affect what level of latitude can be extended. But organizations should fight the tendency to let the need for strong controls in one area permeate the entire company. While regulations may create bright lines that cannot be negotiated—and those should be clearly communicated and maintained—organizations typically have a range of other types of work that could be granted more latitude.

The first enabler, aligning on the value we are trying to deliver, is so important because it provides some guidance that helps make exercising latitude less risky. However, latitude is also highly dependent on the personalities and characteristics of the leader, manager, and worker and their interactions. Here, more than elsewhere, trust and passion come into play, shaping how latitude is extended and how it is perceived and exercised. Even more than understanding value and reading context, effective use of latitude may require coaching.

Latitude to see and act

Latitude enables workers to better address more unseen opportunities by improving both the identification of opportunities and the action to develop and implement approaches to them.

First, employees need to be able to lift their heads from their own tasks and engage with others at the peripheries of their jobs to see problems emerging in the context of their work (or that of...
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others upstream and downstream from them) and better understand how other participants use the outputs of their work. Exercising this type of latitude requires having the space and permission to look beyond the tyranny of your own routine tasks and to consider the received inputs from upstream and the delivered outputs downstream.

Of course, companies are happy for employees to do “creative” or “innovative” work—so long as it’s in addition to assigned tasks. And many workers lack the time and energy to look beyond their immediate line of sight. But technology may finally be on the verge of creating space to exercise latitude for large numbers of workers at all levels and functions (see sidebar, “Technology’s role in redefining work”). As AI-based technology takes on more routine tasks, workers will also be able to consider how the pieces fit together in a broader environment.

At one large life-insurance company, highly educated and well-paid actuaries were spending much of their time on routine tasks: copying data from one system to another, filling out modeling templates, and even performing data entry. By implementing robotic process automation and using third parties to complete the routine tasks, the provider freed up its actuarial talent to focus on more creative and complex problems—not only meeting regulatory requirements and becoming more knowledgeable about them but identifying new ways to better analyze risks, design products, and even develop new financial services to offer the market.30

Once employees have space to exercise latitude, the value comes from having latitude in how they develop approaches and implement solutions to the opportunities they discover. A company doesn’t create and capture value until it takes action to either solve or address the problem or opportunity.

A challenge that many organizations face is the belief that addressing an opportunity requires a task force or elite innovation group and that the front line’s role stops at identifying a problem. As the business environment continues to change more rapidly and become more complex, the more likely it will be that problems and opportunities that workers uncover will be the first of their kind. As such, one standardized solution (designed to

### FIGURE 7

#### The degree of latitude that an organization grants workers can determine possible benefits from hidden opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No latitude</th>
<th>Latitude in what you do/how you do it</th>
<th>Latitude in output/measurement</th>
<th>Latitude in impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A financial analyst is required to create a monthly report on the collection rate of accounts receivable. She is told which templates and formulas to use, and cannot deviate from the prescribed steps.</td>
<td>The financial analyst is required to create a monthly report on the collection of accounts receivable. She decides how to go about creating it—choosing which data sources, formulas, and report layouts to use.</td>
<td>The financial analyst is responsible for supporting the business’s understanding of performance and practices around managing credit. She is able to work with business users to determine what metrics on accounts receivable are most useful to report. She discovers that management used the report to anticipate cash on hand and creates a free cash-flow report to better meet this unarticulated need.</td>
<td>The financial analyst is able to think about the accounts receivable process from the customer’s perspective and identifies a clumsy payment portal that regularly crashes. She is able to pull together a small group to develop and implement a new portal system, improving the organization’s free cash flow.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deloitte analysis.
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scale) handed down from several levels above will be increasingly less likely to meet the needs of the specific problem or opportunity.

The workers who identify the problem or opportunity will increasingly become the de facto experts to explore and act upon them, often as a group. They will also begin identifying problems and opportunities outside of their direct control or influence, either upstream or downstream of their work, and even anticipating needs that haven’t yet emerged. Latitude to solve and address issues includes the degree of freedom to pull resources, tools, and information together, from various parts of the organization and possibly beyond, as demanded by the nature of the opportunity. Frontline workers will likely need guardrails (beyond a focus on value) and coaching to understand what the boundaries of latitude are in the context of the organization, their own experience and capabilities, and the size of potential opportunities.

Management will likely need to find ways to provide more access to tools, colleagues, and resources to empower workers to act upon their findings. Working in groups can help workers develop competence and confidence to act effectively. Given enough latitude, workers may create their own tools to address issues they encounter, even before management is aware. At China-based appliance manufacturer Haier, management empowers and evaluates frontline workers on the level of value they provide, encouraging them to address issues and opportunities themselves. On one refrigeration assembly line, in which workers must be highly specialized and trained in welding, a welder developed a toolkit to enable anyone to weld pipes on compressors. Though not a high-tech solution, the toolkit has prevented failures and significantly improved the group’s quality and efficiency—and was named after the employee who created it.⁴³

Expanding latitude for frontline workers will require both an organizational mindset shift and an individual one. At the same time, many workers are already exercising latitude in the sense that they tinker with and improvise around their existing work processes every day, often without the knowledge, much less the approval, of their managers. Sometimes they do it with a goal of creating value for customers or other stakeholders, but more often it is just the best way to accomplish their objective.

Inevitably, static processes generate exceptions; in fact, many workers already spend more than half of their time handling exceptions. And they tend to address each exception inefficiently because they are just trying to get past it, possibly conceal the process failure, and get back to the routine. While these types of workarounds—whether secret or sanctioned—are common in work, the exceptions become more numerous as the environment changes more rapidly, and they are also getting more complex. Complex exceptions require pulling different types of resources, tools, and information and have more potential for learning embedded in them. These complex conditions that fall outside of what the standardized process can serve carry the potential for creating far more value for others. As exceptions become more numerous, the way to create more customer value will be to break the adherence to rigid process and directly address their articulated and unarticulated needs.

Consider again Morning Star, the California tomato processor. Guided by the colleague letter of understanding that lays out commitments to creating specific types of value, employees have significant latitude to identify and address problems. Two summer workers hired to work on the manufacturing floor were tasked with continuously...
taking samples from various pieces of machinery. Tomatoes naturally have some parts not fit for creating juices and pastes, such as skins, seeds, and vines, which have to be removed through a mechanical process. The tomatoes are run through a fine, rotating screen that catches and separates the “undesirable” pieces before the rest moves down the line. After observing the machines for several weeks as they took samples, the pair of employees noticed that the screens were wearing out relatively quickly, costing time and money to replace, but were being used on only one side, leaving the other half unused. Curious whether the machinery driving the line could reverse the rotation, they devised a plan to test their hypothesis on one machine and recruited the plant electrician to help. After a successful trial run, they tried it on a broader scale. Noticing an improvement, they started to roll it out to the entire manufacturing floor—ultimately extending the life of the screens by over 75 percent and resulting in a greater than US$1 million annual benefit to Morning Star.

Significantly, these two frontline workers were able to do this without going through multiple layers of management, committees, and approval processes. They never made a presentation or spreadsheet until the end of the season, when they proposed scaling it to other sites. This is just one example of the potential value when employees have latitude to take action to solve problems and address opportunities on a daily basis. In Morning Star’s case, where workers of all types and levels are doing this day in and day out, performance relative to its industry peers has accelerated rapidly over time.

Traditionally, management has shied away from providing frontline workers latitude, incorrectly assuming they would lack either the interest or ability to solve complex problems or identify opportunities on their own. Our perspective is that all people have these innate human capabilities of curiosity, imagination, and social and emotional intelligence to take on work that is more challenging and less circumscribed than following a process manual. We invite skeptics to look not only to the case studies outlined throughout this article but to any playground. All children demonstrate these capabilities—and everyone retains them even into adulthood; they’re just waiting to be awoken and tapped into.

Making this shift is no small feat, but management can start by providing the latitude to pull in people and access capabilities, tools, and time rather than handing off opportunities to an elite innovation group. Employees will be less likely to accept and seek broadened levels of latitude if they don’t trust the organization to support them in their quest to solve problems or address opportunities and will instead pass it off to another department.
TECHNOLOGY’S ROLE IN REDEFINING WORK

Technology can be a catalyst for redefining work, with the speed of technological advance both creating the need to redefine work and yielding the tools for doing this work. As figure 8 shows, an array of technologies plays two complementary and non-mutually exclusive roles.

Free up capacity

Technology is increasingly able to either perform, or eliminate the need for, a wider array of current work tasks. The technology ranges from simple robotics process automation (RPA) mimicking the key strokes for data entry and processing tasks in actuarial tables, to industrial software taking on machine coding tasks, to software codifying custom components for product design, to physical robots making dough and taking pizzas out of the oven, to sensored machines mowing lawns and monitoring conditions. Technology is increasingly taking on routine, rule-based, and discrete tasks currently done by humans.

To be clear, using technology to free up capacity does not redefine work by itself. Technology isn’t even a necessary condition. Companies such as W.L. Gore and Morning Star deliberately designed work from the beginning to focus on value creation rather than just process execution, creating opportunities for nonroutine work irrespective of technology. Many companies have freed up capacity by outsourcing. However, the history of innovation approaches show that most companies have such a backlog of routine tasks that, without technology, they are unlikely to meaningfully free up workers’ time.

A specific technology implementation can catalyze the redefinition of work by taking away a large volume of routine tasks all at once. That catalytic effect might extend across an entire function, as was the case with the insurance company implementing RPA, or be more localized, as was the case with the industrial design software taking on specifications and ordering.
Help workers better address unseen problems and opportunities

**Identify problems and opportunities.** Technology can help workers see and understand the context from which opportunities arise. For example, sensor technology can capture nuanced changes in the environment, and big data analytics can help uncover patterns and relationships. At Haier, customer feedback, product sales, and supply chain activities have been made available, often in real-time, to all workers, regardless of role or level, to understand context—the customer reactions, the market’s perception of the product, and the activities of other workers. This gives workers and Haier’s internal micro-enterprises a broad and deep understanding of the needs and aspirations of those they serve.35

**Develop and implement solutions.** Technology can accelerate the design of context-specific solutions by analyzing combinations of inputs and suggesting feasible options, reducing the cognitive burden for the human. For example, generative design technology allows “engineers or designers to input design goals, materials, manufacturing methods, and cost constraints” to develop variations of possible solutions that meets users’ specific needs. As a user makes design choices, the technology responds with new options.36 Computational modeling is similarly transforming the way researchers explore new materials and chemical structures, allowing them to move rapidly from query to result without the overhead of physical modeling and analysis.

**Iterate and learn.** Technology can help workers more easily and inexpensively test and iterate. For example, Plethora, a hardware startup, provides highly customized parts for prototypes to help customers significantly accelerate the iteration process for customized solutions. Using design analysis tools and manufacturing simulations, Plethora is able to give real-time feedback to users and their own factory technicians to make better parts faster.37
How do we get started?

If we take the opportunity of redefining work seriously, it changes everything, and everything must change. Redefining work on a fundamental level, in a way that applies to all work, across an entire company, is no small feat. Realizing the full benefits will require major organizational transformation.

This may sound daunting. The good news is that small moves, smartly made, can set big things in motion. The important thing is to get started.

There are some catalysts for this type of change. One powerful catalyst is automation taking on more routine tasks (see sidebar, “Technology’s role in redefining work”), although most companies have thus far used it primarily to reduce headcount. At the same time, don’t wait for automation to start reconsidering what work is and should be. Look for opportunities to make work less routine rather than just changing the routine. At ATB Financial, a technology implementation of the Google Suite served as a catalyst to rethink what work the finance department does in pursuit of creating more value for internal stakeholders and end customers. Glass manufacturer Corning used the need to significantly speed the pace of materials discovery and process innovation as a catalyst for redefining the work of the large R&D support organization, which includes many hourly workers, including process technicians; the technicians’ new objective was to create value by supporting the R&D scientists’ ability to invent and the company’s ability to innovate rather than stay within the tightly specified boundaries of long-established roles and tasks. Since that catalyst, the group has continued to evolve, discovering opportunities for value to internal participants as they transformed their roles to use new modeling techniques and an array of technologies and agile approaches and developing skills by participating in a broad set of projects using different technologies.

As companies begin to redefine what work is, they will find that other future of work conversations open up again. They may need to rethink where work is done, how it gets done, and who will actually be doing it, this time in the context of the new work. While we’ve laid out the enablers (context, value, and latitude) that can help overcome the biggest obstacles to this type of work, management systems, work environments, operations, leadership and management capabilities, performance management and compensation systems, and other human capital practices will all need to change to support redefining work across the entire organization. Institutions will need to dramatically rethink and unlearn much of what propelled them to success (see figure 9).

As should be clear, redefining work involves significant changes to organizational controls and sources of power. This level of transformation isn’t easy. Change in an organization can quickly get political, and veteran employees may find this type of change threatening. Often, trying to push this level of transformation head-on into the core activates the corporate immune system; antibodies in the core will attack, dooming the effort. An alternative approach is to implement it at the edge, then scale it.
This approach, scaling an edge, might be appropriate if there is a really big, growth-oriented opportunity on the horizon, one that already feels different from business-as-usual for the company. Such a significant opportunity, aligned with underlying trends, can be a catalyst for pulling together a distinct group of people and resources to work in a different way. A hunger for new ways of working aligns with the potential to make a significant impact. As this group of frontline workers begins working on the opportunity, the group is learning about how to work in this redefined way and gaining credibility while the opportunity itself is growing. As the opportunity builds momentum, more and more resources will be drawn to it, ultimately transforming the organization. As the edge scales, the redefined work becomes the new work of the organization.

For those organizations that don’t have an obvious transformative opportunity on the horizon, the easiest entry point may be to target a significant pain point or automation opportunity. Fundamentally redefining work for a small group of frontline workers along the three dimensions explored above—context, value, and latitude—can generate near-term impact even as the organization learns what redefined work will look like and develops new capabilities in that portion of the workforce. This might begin with identifying a small group in which the potential for near-term automation to take on certain routine tasks is the highest or a group that influences a key operating pain point and has potential to make a meaningful impact. Companies can choose to be thoughtful about the work and how they direct workers’ time. Instead of getting rid of employees or reskilling them for other
routine work (in the case of automation), or pushing workers to stricter compliance or higher efficiency (in the case of operational pain points), shift the focus for this smaller set of frontline workers from routine tasks to continual problem-solving and opportunity addressing.

Finding good metrics to monitor and demonstrate impact is key to building credibility and will vary based on each group’s unique needs and context. Operational metrics such as number of solutions implemented, customer renewals, and even net promoter scores are good places to start, but the relevant metrics are those that give you a sense of whether you’re creating more value with the new work.

While this targeted approach can begin building capabilities and generate quick learning and short-term results for the enterprise, keep in mind that it won’t be enough. A targeted approach will affect only a small portion of the workforce, and it won’t deliver the full potential that redefining work at scale across the organization suggests. Ultimately, to redefine work, the learning from these targeted efforts will have to be used to scale an edge to transform the larger organization.

Redefining work is an opportunity, and we’ve outlined three broad enablers to help realize it. The intent is not to create another rigid process or management theology in your organization. Instead, seek to minimize the routine tasks that workers must perform, and maximize the potential for fluid problem-solving and addressing employee opportunities at all levels of the organization.

Fundamentally redefining work is more than a nice-to-have—it is an imperative for businesses that wish to remain competitive in the 21st century. The current trend toward more and more sophisticated automation creates the opportunity to free up capacity—and vulnerability. If you just look to reduce headcount and don’t figure out a way to redefine work, you will likely be vulnerable to others who are using automation to figure out how to create more and more value. Moreover, it is an opportunity to shift the future of work conversation from one based in fear and adversity (the institution versus the individual) to one centered around hope and opportunity (in which both the institution and the individual wins). As organizations capture more and more value through a workforce that continually identifies and addresses unseen problems and opportunities, individuals can benefit from having greater meaning and engagement in their day-to-day work, igniting more worker passion over time. Work is ready, today, for your organization to redefine it.
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